Supporting and Summary Comments:

A-8. The program is reviewed and written up annually with reports to the Governing Body.

B. The previous survey noted that CQI was too broad in scope. It was discussed that the surveyors probably meant that the center was trying to do too much, but not narrowing down to easier specifics. An example would be perhaps to use only one question on the Ottawa Scale for Ankle Sprain and not try to do all of them at once.

Consultative Comments:

Suggest comparing the in-house diagnosis and reading of the films and the radiologists reading as a CQI.

Suggest continuing the current philosophy of thinking in CQI terms, but not trying to make everything into a formal study. Minutes of the committee meetings indicate that discussion is done regarding of what is being looked at.