## Chapter 13 - Factorial Analysis of Variance

Note: Because of severe rounding in reporting and using means, there will be visible rounding error in the following answers, when compared to standard computer solutions. I have made the final answer equal the correct answer, even if that meant that it is not exactly the answer to the calculations shown. (e.g. 3(3.3) would be shown as 10.0, not 9.9)
13.1 Mother/infant interaction for primiparous/multiparous mothers under or over 18 years of age with LBW or full-term infants:

Table of cell means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {total }}=\sum X^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum X\right)^{2}}{N}=2404-\frac{352^{2}}{60}=338.93 \\
& S S_{\text {Parity }}=n s \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{i .}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
& =10(3)\left[(5.40-5.87)^{2}+(6.33-5.87)^{2}\right] \\
& =30(0.4356)=13.067 \\
& S S_{\text {size }}=n p \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{. j}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
& =10(2)\left[(4.200-5.87)^{2}+(6.10-5.87)^{2}+(7.30-5.87)^{2}\right] \\
& =20(2.79+0.05+2.04)=20(4.89) \\
& =97.733 \\
& S S_{\text {cells }}=n \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{i j}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
& =10\left[(4.5-5.87)^{2}+\ldots+(8.2-5.87)^{2}\right] \\
& =10(12.853)=128.53 \\
& S S_{P S}=S S_{\text {cells }}-S S_{P}-S S_{S}=128.53-13.067-97.733 \\
& =17.733 \\
& S S_{\text {error }}=S S_{\text {total }}-S S_{\text {cells }}=338.93-128.53 \\
& =210.40
\end{aligned}
$$

Source $d f \quad$ SS MS

|  |  |  | F |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parity | 1 | 13.067 | 13.067 | 3.354 |
| Size/Age | 2 | 97.733 | 48.867 | $12.541^{*}$ |
| P x S | 2 | 17.733 | 8.867 | 2.276 |
| Error | 54 | 210.400 | 3.896 |  |
| Total | 59 | 338.933 |  |  |
| ${ }^{*} p<.05$ | $F_{.05}(2,54)=3.17$ |  |  |  |

13.3 The mean for these primiparous mothers would not be expected to be a good estimate of the mean for the population of all primiparous mothers because $50 \%$ of the population of primiparous mothers do not give birth to LBW infants. This would be important if we wished to take means from this sample as somehow representing the population means for primiparous and multiparous mothers.
13.5 Memory of avoidance of a fear-producing stimulus:

Area of Stimulation

|  |  | Neutral | Area | Area B | Mean23.27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 50 | 28.6 | 16.8 | 24.4 |  |
| Delay | 100 | 28.0 | 23.0 | 16.0 | 22.33 |
|  | 150 | 28.0 | 26.8 | 26.4 | 27.07 |
|  | Mean | 28.2 | 22.2 | 22.27 | 24.22 |

$\sum X=1090 \quad \sum X^{2}=28374 \quad N=45 \quad n_{i j}=5 \quad a=3 \quad b=3$
$S S_{\text {total }}=\sum X^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum X\right)^{2}}{N}=28374-\frac{1090^{2}}{45}=1971.778$
$S S_{\text {Delay }}=n a \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{i .}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2}$
$=5(3)\left[(23.27-24.22)^{2}+(22.33-24.22)^{2}+(27.07-24.22)^{2}\right]$
$=5(3)(0.90+3.57+8.12)=30(12.60)$
$=188.578$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\text {Area }} & =n d \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{. j}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
& =5(3)\left[(28.20-24.22)^{2}+(22.20-24.22)^{2}+(22.27-24.22)^{2}\right] \\
& =356.044
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {Cells }}=n \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{i j}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
& =5\left[(28.60-24.22)^{2}+(16.80-24.22)^{2}+\ldots+(26.4-24.22)^{2}\right] \\
& =916.578 \\
& \mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{DA}}=\mathrm{SS}_{\text {cells }}-\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{D}}-\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{A}}=916.578-188.578-356.044=371.956 \\
& \mathrm{SS}_{\text {error }}=\mathrm{SS}_{\text {total }}-\mathrm{SS}_{\text {cells }}=1971.778-916.578=1055.200 \\
& \text { Source } d f \quad \text { SS MS }
\end{aligned}
$$

13.7 In Exercise 13.5, if A refers to Area:
$\alpha_{1}=$ the treatment effect for the Neutral site

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\bar{X}_{.1}-\bar{X}{ }_{.} \\
& =28.2-24.22=3.978
\end{aligned}
$$

13.9 The Bonferroni test to compare Site means.

$$
\begin{aligned}
t & =\frac{\bar{N}-\bar{A}}{\sqrt{\frac{M S_{\text {error }}}{n_{N}}+\frac{M S_{\text {error }}}{n_{A}}}}
\end{aligned} \quad t=\frac{\bar{N}-\bar{B}}{\sqrt{\frac{M S_{\text {error }}}{n_{N}}+\frac{M S_{\text {error }}}{n_{B}}}} .
$$

We can conclude that both the difference between Groups $N$ and $A$ and between Groups $N$ and $B$ are significant, and our familywise error rate will not exceed $\alpha=.05$.
13.11 Rerunning Exercise 11.3 as a factorial design:

The following printout is from SPSS
Tests of Between-Subjects Effects
Dependent Variable: Recall

| Source | Type III Sum of Squares | df |  | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corrected Model | $1059.800^{\text {a }}$ |  | 3 | 353.267 | 53.301 | . 000 |
| Intercept | 5017.600 |  | 1 | 5017.600 | 757.056 | . 000 |
| Age | 115.600 |  | 1 | 115.600 | 17.442 | . 000 |
| LevelProc | 792.100 |  | 1 | 792.100 | 119.512 | . 000 |
| Age * LevelProc | 152.100 |  | 1 | 152.100 | 22.949 | . 000 |
| Error | 238.600 |  | 36 | 6.628 |  |  |
| Total | 6316.000 |  | 40 |  |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 1298.400 |  | 39 |  |  |  |

a. R Squared $=.816$ (Adjusted R Squared $=.801$ )
[ The Corrected Model is the sum of the main effects and interaction. The Intercept is the correction factor, which is $(\Sigma X)^{2}$. The Total (as opposed to Corrected Total) is $\Sigma X^{2}$. The Corrected Total is what we have called Total.]

## Estimated Marginal Means

## 3. Age * LevelProc

Dependent Variable: Recall

|  |  |  |  | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | LevelProc | Mean | Std. Error | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 6.500 | .814 | 4.849 | 8.151 |
|  | 2.00 | 19.300 | .814 | 17.649 | 20.951 |
| 2.00 | 1.00 | 7.000 | .814 | 5.349 | 8.651 |
|  | 2.00 | 12.000 | .814 | 10.349 | 13.651 |

The results show that there is a significance difference between younger and older subjects, that there is better recall in tasks which require more processing, and that there is an interaction between age and level of processing (LevelProc). The difference between the two levels of processing is greater for the younger subjects than it is for the older ones, primarily because the older ones do not do much better with greater amounts of processing.
13.13 Made-up data with main effects but no interaction:

13.15 The interaction was of primary interest in an experiment by Nisbett in which he showed that obese people varied the amount of food they consumed depending on whether a lot or a little food was visible, while normal weight subjects ate approximately the same amount under the two conditions.
13.17 Magnitude of effect for mother-infant interaction data in Exercise 13.1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{P}^{2}=\frac{S S_{\text {parity }}}{S S_{\text {total }}}=\frac{13.067}{338.933}=.04 \\
& \eta_{s}^{2}=\frac{S S_{\text {size }}}{S S_{\text {total }}}=\frac{97.733}{338.933}=.29 \\
& \eta_{P s}^{2}=\frac{S S_{p s}}{S S_{\text {total }}}=\frac{17.733}{338.933}=.05 \\
& \omega_{p}^{2}=\frac{S S_{\text {parity }}-(p-1) M S_{\text {error }}}{S S_{\text {total }}+M S_{\text {erpr }}}=\frac{13.067-(1) 3.896}{338.933+3.896}=.03 \\
& \omega_{s}^{2}=\frac{S S_{\text {size }}-(s-1) M S_{\text {error }}}{S S_{\text {total }}+M S_{\text {errpr }}}=\frac{97.733-(2) 3.896}{338.933+3.896}=.26 \\
& \omega_{p s}^{2}=\frac{S S_{p s}-(p-1)(s-1) M S_{\text {error }}}{S S_{\text {total }}+M S_{\text {errpr }}}=\frac{17.733-(1)(2) 3.896}{338.933+3.896}=.03
\end{aligned}
$$

13.19 Magnitude of effect for avoidance learning data in Exercise 13.5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{D}^{2}=\frac{S S_{\text {delay }}}{S S_{\text {total }}}=\frac{188.578}{1971.778}=.10 \\
& \eta_{A}^{2}=\frac{S S_{\text {area }}}{S S_{\text {total }}}=\frac{356.044}{1971.778}=.18 \\
& \eta_{D A}^{2}=\frac{S S_{D A}}{S S_{\text {total }}}=\frac{17.733}{1971.778}=.19 \\
& \omega_{D}^{2}=\frac{S S_{\text {delay }}-(d-1) M S_{\text {error }}}{S S_{\text {total }}+M S_{\text {errpr }}}=\frac{188.578-(2) 29.311}{1971.778+29.311}=.06 \\
& \omega_{A}^{2}=\frac{S S_{\text {area }}-(a-1) M S_{\text {error }}}{S S_{\text {total }}+M S_{\text {errpr }}}=\frac{356.044-(2) 29.311}{1971.778+29.311}=.15 \\
& \omega_{D A}^{2}=\frac{S S_{D A}-(d-1)(a-1) M S_{\text {error }}}{S S_{\text {total }}+M S_{\text {erppr }}}=\frac{371.956-(2)(2) 29.311}{1971.778+29.311}=.13
\end{aligned}
$$

13.21 Three-way ANOVA on Early Experience x Intensity of UCS x Conditioned Stimulus (Tone or Vibration):
$n=5$ in all cells $\quad \mathrm{SS}_{\text {total }}=41,151.00$


| E×I Cells |  | ntensi |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experience: | High | Med | Low |  |
| Control | 15 | 20 | 25 | 20.00 |
| Tone | 23 | 27 | 32.5 | 27.50 |
| Vib | 23 | 27 | 36 | 28.67 |
| Both | 28.5 | 34 | 39 | 33.83 |
|  | 22.38 | 27.00 | 33.12 | 27.50 |

## $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{C}$ Cells Conditioned Stimulus

| Experience: | Tone | Vib |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | 16.00 | 24.00 | 20.00 |
| Tone | 29.00 | 26.00 | 27.50 |
| Vib | 13.00 | 44.33 | 28.67 |
| Both | 27.33 | 40.33 | 33.83 |
|  | 21.33 | 33.66 | 27.50 |

## Conditioned Stim

## I×C Cells

Intensity:
High
Med
Low

| Tone | Vib |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 16.00 | 28.75 | 22.38 |
| 21.75 | 32.25 |  |
| 26.25 | 40.00 |  |
| 23.00 |  |  |
| 23.33 | 33.67 | 27.50 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{E} & =\operatorname{nic} \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{i . .}-\bar{X}_{\ldots .}\right)^{2}=5(3)(2)\left[\begin{array}{l}
(20-27.5)^{2}+(27.5-27.5)^{2}+ \\
(28.67-27.5)^{2}+(33.83-27.5)^{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =2931.667
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
S S_{I}=n e c \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{. j .}-\bar{X}_{. . .}\right)^{2}=5(4)(2)\left[(22.38-27.5)^{2}+(27.00-27.5)^{2}+(33.12-27.5)^{2}\right]
$$

$$
=2326.250
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\text {cellsEI }} & =n c \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{i j .}-\bar{X}_{\ldots}\right)^{2}=(5)(2)\left[(15.00-27.50)^{2}+\ldots+(39.00-27.50)^{2}\right] \\
& =5325.000
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
S S_{E \times I}=S S_{\text {cellsEI }}-S S_{E}-S S_{I}=5325.000-2931.667-2326.250=67.083
$$

$$
S S_{C}=n e i \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{. . k}-\bar{X}_{. . .}\right)^{2}=5(4)(3)\left[(21.33-27.5)^{2}+(33.66-27.5)^{2}\right]
$$

$$
=4563.333
$$

$$
S S_{\text {cellsEC }}=n i \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{i . k}-\bar{X}_{\ldots}\right)^{2}=(5)(3)\left[(16.00-27.50)^{2}+\ldots+(40.33-27.50)^{2}\right]
$$

$$
=12,110.000
$$

$$
S S_{E \times C}=S S_{\text {cellsEC }}-S S_{E}-S S_{C}=12,110.000-2931.667-4563.333=4615.000
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\text {cellsIC }} & =n e \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{i j .}-\bar{X}_{\ldots}\right)^{2}=(5)(4)\left[(15.00-27.50)^{2}+\ldots+(39.00-27.50)^{2}\right] \\
& =6945.000 \\
S S_{I \times C} & =S S_{\text {cellsIC }}-S S_{I}-S S_{C}=6945.000-2326.250-4563.333=55.417 \\
S S_{\text {cellsEIC }} & =n \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{i j k}-\bar{X}_{\ldots}\right)^{2}=(5)\left[(11.00-27.50)^{2}+\ldots+(48.00-27.50)^{2}\right] \\
& =14,680.000 \\
S S_{E \times I \times C} & =S S_{\text {cellsEIC }}-S S_{E}-S S_{I}-S S_{C}-S S_{E I}-S S_{E C}-S S_{I C} \\
& =14,680.000-2931.667-2326.250-4563.333-67.083-4615.000-55.417 \\
& =121.25 \\
S S_{\text {error }}= & S S_{\text {total }}-S S_{\text {Cells } C \times E \times I}=41,151.000-14,680.000=26.471 .000
\end{aligned}
$$

| Source | $d f$ | SS | MS | $F$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Experience | 3 | 2931.667 | 977.222 | $3.544^{*}$ |
| Intensity | 2 | 2326.250 | 1163.125 | $4.218^{*}$ |
| Cond Stim | 1 | 4563.333 | 4563.333 | $16.550^{*}$ |
| E x I | 6 | 67.083 | 11.181 | $<1$ |
| E x C | 3 | 4615.000 | 1538.333 | $5.579^{*}$ |
| I x C | 2 | 55.417 | 27.708 | $<1$ |
| E x I x C | 6 | 121.250 | 20.208 | $<1$ |
| Error | 96 | $26,471.000$ | 275.740 |  |
| Total | 119 | $41,151.000$ |  |  |
| $* p<.05$ | $\left[F_{.055^{(1,96)}}=3.94 ; F_{.05(2,96)}=3.09 ; F_{.05^{(3,96)}}=2.70 ; F_{.05^{(6,96)}}=2.19\right]$ |  |  |  |

There are significant main effects for all variables with a significant Experience $\times$ Conditioned Stimulus interaction.

### 13.23 Analysis of Epineq.dat:

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects
Dependent Variable: Trials to reversal

|  | Type III Sum <br> of Squares | df |  | Mean Square | F |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

a. R Squared $=.397($ Adjusted R Squared $=.349)$
13.25 Tukey on Dosage data from Exercise 13.25

## Multiple Comparisons

Dependent Variable: Tri als to reversal
Tukey HSD

|  | Mean <br> (I) dosage of epinephrine |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | (J) dosage of epinephrine | Difference (I-J) | Std.Error | Sig. |
| $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $-1.67^{*}$ | .35 | .000 |  |
|  | $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $1.03^{*}$ | .35 | .010 |
| $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $1.67^{*}$ | .35 | .000 |
|  | $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $2.69^{*}$ | .35 | .000 |
| $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $-1.03^{*}$ | .35 | .010 |
|  | $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $-2.69^{*}$ | .35 | .000 |

Based on observed means.
*. The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.
All of these groups differed from each other at $p \leq .05$.
13.27 Simple effects on data in Exercise 13.26.

| Source | $d f$ | SS | MS | $F$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| Condition | 1 | 918.750 | 918.75 | $34.42^{*}$ |
| $\quad$ Cond @ Inexp. | 1 | 1014.00 | 1014.00 | $37.99^{*}$ |
| $\quad$ Cond @ Exp. | 1 | 121.50 | 121.50 | $4.55^{*}$ |
| Cond*Exper | 1 | 216.750 | 216.75 | $8.12^{*}$ |
| Other Effects | 9 | 2631.417 |  |  |
| Error | 36 | 961.000 | 26.694 |  |
| Total | 47 | 4727.917 |  |  |

$$
* \mathrm{P}<.05\left[F_{.05(1.36)}=4.12\right]
$$

13.29 Dress codes and Performance:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\text {total }} & =\Sigma\left(X-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
& =(91-72.050)^{2}+(78-72.050)^{2}+\ldots+(56-72.050)^{2} \\
& =13554.65
\end{aligned} \\
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\text {Code }} & =n c \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{i .}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
& =10 * 7\left[(73.929-72.050)^{2}+(70.171-72.050)^{2}\right] \\
& =494.290
\end{aligned} \\
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {School(Yes) }}=n \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{. j}-\bar{X} . .\right)^{2} \\
&=10\left[(79.7-73.929)^{2}+(71.5-73.929)^{2}+\ldots+(73.5-73.929)^{2}\right] \\
&=10(147.414)=1474.14 \\
&=n \Sigma\left(\bar{X}_{. j}-\bar{X} . .\right)^{2} \\
&=10\left[(68.5-70.171)^{2}+(73.7-70.171)^{2}+\ldots+(71.1-70.171)^{2}\right] \\
&=10(126.314)=1263.14 \\
& S S_{\text {School(Noo }}
\end{aligned} \\
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\text {School(Code) }} & =S S_{\text {School(Yes) }}+S S_{\text {School(No) }}=1474.14+1263.14=2737.28 \\
S S_{\text {error }} & =S S_{\text {total }}-S S_{C}-S S_{S(C)}=13554.65-494.29-2737.28=10323.08
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

| Source | $\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{f}$ | SS | MS | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Code | 1 | 494.290 | 494.290 | 2.166 |
| $\quad$ Error $_{1}$ | 12 | 2737.280 | 228.107 |  |
| School $^{2}\left(\right.$ Code $\left.^{2}\right)$ | 12 | 2737.280 | 288.107 | $2.784^{*}$ |
| $\quad$ Error $_{2}$ | 126 | 10323.08 | 81.931 |  |
| Total | 139 | 13554.65 |  |  |

*p<. 05
The $F$ for Code is not significant but the $F$ for the nested effect is. But notice that the two $F$ values are not all that far apart but their $p$ values are very different. The reason for this is that we only have $12 d f$ for error to test Code, but $126 d f$ for error to test School(Code).
13.31 Gartlett \& Bos (2010) Same versus opposite sex parents. Cell means with variances in parentheses.

|  | Males | Females |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Same- | 25.80 | 26.30 | 26.05 |
| Sex | $(12.96)$ | $(25.00)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Opposite- | 23.00 | 20.30 | 21.65 |
| Sex | $(16.00)$ | $(20.25)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 24.40 \quad 23.3 \\
& S S_{\text {Parents }}=2(43)\left[(26.05-23.85)^{2}+(21.65-23.85)^{2}\right]=832.48 \\
& S S_{\text {Gender }}=2(43)\left[(24.40-23.85)^{2}+(23.3-23.85)^{2}\right]=52.03 \\
& S S_{\text {Cells }}=(43)\left[(25.8-23.85)^{2}+(26.3-23.85)^{2}+(23.00-23.85)^{2}+20.3-23.85^{2}\right] \\
& =994.59 \\
& S S_{P^{*} G}=944.59-832.48-52.03=110.08 \\
& M S_{\text {error }}=(12.96+25.00+16.00+20.25) / 4=18.55 \\
& \text { * } p<.05
\end{aligned}
$$

There is a significant effect due to Same-Sex versus Opposite-Sex parents, with those children raised by Same-Sex couples showing higher levels of competence. There is no effect due to the gender of the child, but there is an interaction, with the male versus female difference being greater in the Opposite-sex condition.
13.33 This question does not have a specific answer.

