## Chapter 4 – eXtra HW problems on Sample Size & Type II error

**4.X2** In general, if we keep the level of confidence fixed, how much do you need to increase the sample size in order to cut the width of a confidence interval in half?

**4.X3** A researcher wanted to test the hypotheses Ho:  $\mu$ =38 vs. Ha:  $\mu$ >38 with  $\alpha$ =0.05. A random sample of 50 measurements from the population yielded a sample mean of 40.1. Assume that  $\sigma$ =5.6 is known.

(a) What conclusions can you make about the hypotheses based on the sample?

(b) Could your conclusion to part (a) be a Type II error?

(c) Calculate the probability of a Type II error if the actual value of  $\mu$ =39.

**4.X4** The administrator of a nursing home would like to do a time-and-motion study of staff time spent per day performing nonemergency tasks. Prior to the introduction of some efficiency measures, the average worker-hours per day spent on these tasks was  $\mu$ =16. The administrator wants to test whether the efficiency measures have reduced the value of  $\mu$ , assuming that  $\sigma$ =7.64 is known. How many days must be sampled to test the proposed hypothesis if she wants to have  $\alpha$ =0.05 and the probability of Type II error of at most 0.10 when the actual value of  $\mu$ =12 hours?

**4.X5** A study was conducted of 90 adult male patients following a new treatment for congestive heart failure. One of the variables measured on the patients was the increase in capacity (in minutes) over a 4-week treatment period. The previous treatment regime had produced an average of  $\mu$ =2 minutes. The researchers wanted to evaluate whether the new treatment had increased the value of mu in comparison to the previous treatment, assuming that  $\sigma$ =1.05 is known. The data yielded a sample mean of 2.17.

(a) Using  $\alpha$ =0.05, what conclusion can you draw about the research hypothesis?

(b) What is the probability of making a Type II error if the actual value of  $\mu$ =2.1?