

Math 255 - Spring 2018  
Homework 7 Solutions

1. (a) This is true, so we will prove it.

Since  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , we have that by definition  $m$  divides  $a - b$ , or there is an integer  $k$  with  $a - b = km$ .

We now consider  $a^2 - b^2$ . If we can show that  $m$  divides this, then we will know that  $a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{m}$ .

We have that  $a^2 - b^2 = (a - b)(a + b)$ , and substituting our expression above for  $a - b$ , we have that

$$a^2 - b^2 = km(a + b) = m(k(a + b)).$$

Since  $k(a + b)$  is an integer,  $m$  divides  $a^2 - b^2$  and  $a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{m}$ .

Note: Alternatively we can use Lemma 1, part e) with  $c = a$  and  $d = b$ , and be done. But it is kind of nice to see it directly with the definition.

- (b) This is false, so we disprove it. (We expect this to be false since it is not true that if  $a, b, c$  and  $m$  are integers with  $m > 0$ ,  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$  does not imply that  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , so certainly something is going on.)

Let  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 4$  and  $m = 12$ . Then  $a^2 = 2^2 = 4$  and  $b^2 = 4^2 = 16$ , and indeed  $4 \equiv 16 \pmod{12}$ . However,  $2 \not\equiv 4 \pmod{12}$  and  $2 \not\equiv -4 \pmod{12}$  (note that  $-4 \equiv 8 \pmod{12}$ ). This is a counter-example so the claim is disproved.

2. If  $1848 \equiv 1914 \pmod{m}$ , then  $m$  divides  $1848 - 1914$ . Therefore  $m$  must be any one of the divisors of  $1848 - 1914 = -66$  that are strictly greater than 1. Those are

$$2, 3, 6, 11, 22, 33, \text{ and } 66.$$

3. Let  $n$  and  $n + 1$  be any two consecutive integers, so that  $n^3$  and  $(n + 1)^3$  are consecutive cubes. Their difference is

$$(n + 1)^3 - n^3 = 3n^2 + 3n + 1.$$

We consider the values that this can take modulo 5, by considering the values that  $n$  can take modulo 5. We note that by Section 4, Exercise 5, 5 divides  $(n + 1)^3 - n^3$  if and only if  $(n + 1)^3 - n^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . For our computations we will use Lemma 1 parts d) and e).

If  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , then  $3n^2 + 3n + 1 \equiv 3 \cdot 0^2 + 3 \cdot 0 + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ . Therefore 5 does not divide the difference in this case.

If  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ , then  $3n^2 + 3n + 1 \equiv 3 \cdot 1^2 + 3 \cdot 1 + 1 \equiv 3 + 3 + 1 \equiv 7 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ . Therefore 5 does not divide the difference in this case.

If  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ , then  $3n^2 + 3n + 1 \equiv 3 \cdot 2^2 + 3 \cdot 2 + 1 \equiv 12 + 6 + 1 \equiv 19 \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ . Therefore 5 does not divide the difference in this case.

If  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ , then  $3n^2 + 3n + 1 \equiv 3 \cdot 3^2 + 3 \cdot 3 + 1 \equiv 27 + 9 + 1 \equiv 37 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ . Therefore 5 does not divide the difference in this case.

If  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ , then  $3n^2 + 3n + 1 \equiv 3 \cdot 4^2 + 3 \cdot 4 + 1 \equiv 48 + 12 + 1 \equiv 61 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ . Therefore 5 does not divide the difference in this case.

By Section 4, Theorem 2,  $n$  must be congruent to 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4 modulo 5. We have established that in each case 5 does not divide  $(n + 1)^3 - n^3$ , and therefore 5 never divides the difference of two consecutive cubes.

4. We consider whether it is possible to have  $n = a^3 + b^3$  for  $a$  and  $b$  integers, if  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ .

To do so, we consider the values that  $a^3$  (and therefore also  $b^3$ , since  $a$  and  $b$  are arbitrary integers) can take modulo 9. To do that, we consider all of the values that  $a$  can take modulo 9, and compute its cube. We tabulate this into a table:

$a$	$a^3$	$a^3 \pmod{9}$	$a$	$a^3$	$a^3 \pmod{9}$
0	0	0	5	125	8
1	1	1	6	216	0
2	8	8	7	343	1
3	27	0	8	512	8
4	64	1			

Therefore we see that no matter what  $a$  is, the only possibilities for  $a^3 \pmod{9}$  are 0, 1 or 8. As we remarked above, this is therefore also the case for  $b$ . Up to reordering, the possibilities for the pairs  $(a^3 \pmod{9}, b^3 \pmod{9})$  are

$$(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 8), (1, 1), (1, 8), (8, 8).$$

(We do not care about reordering since reordering will not change the value of the sum  $a^3 + b^3 \pmod{9}$ , and all we care about is showing that it cannot be 4  $\pmod{9}$ .)

We verify quickly that in each case the sum of the three entries is not 4  $\pmod{9}$ :

$(a^3 \pmod{9}, b^3 \pmod{9})$	$a^3 + b^3 \pmod{9}$
(0, 0)	0 $\pmod{9}$
(0, 1)	1 $\pmod{9}$
(0, 8)	8 $\pmod{9}$
(1, 1)	2 $\pmod{9}$
(1, 8)	9 $\equiv 0 \pmod{9}$
(8, 8)	16 $\equiv 7 \pmod{9}$

Therefore if  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ , it cannot be the sum of two cubes, since the sum of two cubes must be  $0, 1, 2, 7$  or  $8 \pmod{9}$ .

5. Just for fun, let's use the representatives  $-5, -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$  for the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ . To simplify the notation, we will also simply write  $r$  instead of  $[r]_{12}$ , since we understand that we are working with elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ , and not integers or elements of a different  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ .

(a) We have

$\times$	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
-5	1	-4	3	-2	5	0	-5	2	-3	4	-1	6
-4	-4	4	0	-4	4	0	-4	4	0	-4	4	0
-3	3	0	-3	6	3	0	-3	6	3	0	-3	6
-2	-2	-4	6	4	2	0	-2	-4	6	4	2	0
-1	5	4	3	2	1	0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	6
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
2	2	4	6	-4	-2	0	2	4	6	-4	-2	0
3	-3	0	3	6	-3	0	3	6	-3	0	3	6
4	4	-4	0	4	-4	0	4	-4	0	4	-4	0
5	-1	4	-3	2	-5	0	5	-2	3	-4	1	6
6	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0

(b) The units are exactly the elements for which 1 appears in the associated row or column. They are the classes represented by

- $-5$  (since  $(-5) \cdot (-5) \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ ),
- $-1$  (since  $(-1) \cdot (-1) \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ ),
- $1$  (since  $1 \cdot 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ ),
- and  $5$  (since  $5 \cdot 5 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ ).

(c) The zero divisors are exactly the elements for which 0 appears at least twice (since  $a \cdot 0 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ , so we need to get 0 some other time to conclude that  $a$  is a zero divisor) in the associated row or column. They are the classes represented by

- $-4$  (since  $(-4) \cdot (-3) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ ),
- $-3$  (since  $(-3) \cdot (-4) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ ),
- $-2$  (since  $(-2) \cdot 6 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ ),
- $0$  (since  $0 \cdot (-5) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ ),
- $2$  (since  $2 \cdot 6 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ ),
- $3$  (since  $3 \cdot (-4) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ ),
- $4$  (since  $4 \cdot (-3) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ ),
- and  $6$  (since  $6 \cdot (-4) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ ).

Note that for parts (b) and (c) one could also have used the Theorem from class saying when  $a$  represents a unit modulo 12, but it's nice to work it out "by hand."

6. (a) If we do not see a solution right away, we can use our trusty Euclidean algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned}23 &= 7 \cdot 3 + 2 \\7 &= 2 \cdot 3 + 1.\end{aligned}$$

Back-solving, we get

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= 7 - 3 \cdot 2 \\&= 7 - 3 \cdot (23 - 3 \cdot 7) \\&= 7 - 3 \cdot 23 + 9 \cdot 7 \\&= 10 \cdot 7 - 3 \cdot 23.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore one possible integer solution is  $x = 10$  and  $y = -3$ . (There are of course infinitely many; they are of the form  $x = 10 + 23t$  and  $y = -3 - 7t$  for  $t$  any integer.)

- (b) If we reduce the left hand side of  $7x + 23y = 1$  to their least residue modulo 23 we get

$$7x + 23y \equiv 7x \pmod{23}.$$

The least residue of the right hand side is 1. Therefore in  $\mathbb{Z}/23\mathbb{Z}$ , the equation we solved is

$$7x \equiv 1 \pmod{23},$$

which is exactly the equation we are trying to solve now. Therefore a solution is  $v = 10$ , or in fact, any integer  $v$  such that  $v \equiv 10 \pmod{23}$ .

Alternatively, we may argue that for any integer solution  $x$  to part (a), we have

$$7x - 1 = -23y,$$

by rearranging the equation. Since  $y$  is an integer,  $-23y$  is divisible by 23, and therefore  $7x \equiv 1 \pmod{23}$  by definition. Therefore any  $x$  that is a solution to part (a) will be a solution to part (b).

7. By assumption and using Theorem 1 from Section 4, we have that there exists an integer  $\ell$  such that

$$x = 1 + \ell m^k.$$

Now if we raise each side to the power of  $m$ , we get

$$x^m = (1 + \ell m^k)^m.$$

We expand the right hand side with the binomial formula:

$$\begin{aligned}x^m &= (1 + \ell m^k)^m \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \ell^i m^{ki}.\end{aligned}$$

We note that when  $i \geq 2$ , then  $m^{ki}$  is divisible by  $m^{k+1}$ . Therefore much of the sum is zero modulo  $m^{k+1}$  and we can write

$$\begin{aligned}x^m &= \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \ell^i m^{ki} \\ &\equiv 1 + \binom{m}{1} \ell m^k \pmod{m^{k+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

We now note that  $\binom{m}{1} = m$ , so that we have nothing other than

$$\begin{aligned}x^m &\equiv 1 + \binom{m}{1} \ell m^k \\ &\equiv 1 + \ell m^{k+1} \pmod{m^{k+1}} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{m^{k+1}}.\end{aligned}$$