Math 255 - Spring 2018 Homework 5 Solutions

1. It might be obvious that (23, 14) = 1, and therefore by Theorem 1 of Section 3, this equation has integer solutions (since 1 divides 2).

We begin by using the Euclidean Algorithm to find integer solutions x_0 , y_0 to the equation $23x_0 + 14y_0 = 1$: We begin with

$$23 = 14 + 9$$

$$14 = 9 + 5$$

$$9 = 5 + 4$$

$$5 = 4 + 1$$

$$4 = 4 \cdot 1$$

Therefore we have

$$1 = 5 - 4$$

$$4 = 9 - 5$$

$$5 = 14 - 9$$

$$9 = 23 - 14$$

Back-substituting, we get

$$1 = 5 - 4$$

$$= 5 - (9 - 5)$$

$$= 5 - 9 + 5$$

$$= 2 \cdot 5 - 9$$

$$= 2 \cdot (14 - 9) - 9$$

$$= 2 \cdot 14 - 2 \cdot 9 - 9$$

$$= 2 \cdot 14 - 3 \cdot 9$$

$$= 2 \cdot 14 - 3 \cdot (23 - 14)$$

$$= 2 \cdot 14 - 3 \cdot 23 + 3 \cdot 14$$

$$= 5 \cdot 14 - 3 \cdot 23.$$

From this we obtain the solution $x_0 = -3$ and $y_0 = 5$ to the equation $23x_0 + 14y_0 = 1$ (which we note is NOT the equation we are trying to solve!)

Since $2 = 2 \cdot 1$, we can multiply both sides of $23 \cdot (-3) + 14 \cdot 5 = 1$ by 2 to obtain

$$2(23 \cdot (-3) + 14 \cdot 5) = 2,$$

or, using distributivity and commutativity,

$$23 \cdot (-6) + 14 \cdot 10 = 2,$$

which gives us the particular solution $x_p = -6$ and $y_p = 10$ to the equation 23x + 14y = 2 (and this IS the equation we are trying to solve!)

Now it remains to apply Theorem 1 of Section 3, with a = 23, b = 14 and (a, b) = 1 to write that all integer solutions are given by

$$x = -6 + 14t$$
$$y = 10 - 23t.$$

with t ranging over all integers.

2. In this problem, let x be the number of calves bought by the farmer, y be the number of lambs bought by the farmer, and z be the number of piglets bought by the farmer. Note that x, y and z are all positive integers since the farmer buys at least one animal of each species.

Translating the problem into math gives us the equations

$$x + y + z = 100$$

and

$$120x + 50y + 25z = 4000.$$

Substituting z = 100 - x - y into the second equation, we obtain

$$95x + 25y = 1500$$
.

which is an equation of the type we have been studying, and for which we can therefore find all positive integer solutions.

We first use the Euclidean algorithm to determine (95, 25) and ascertain that it divides 1500: We have

$$95 = 25 \cdot 3 + 20$$

 $25 = 20 + 5$
 $20 = 5 \cdot 4$

Therefore (95, 25) = 5, and since 5 does divide 1500, there will be integer solutions to this equation.

We now back-substitute to solve the equation $95x_0 + 25y_0 = 5$:

$$5 = 25 - 20$$

$$= 25 - (95 - 3 \cdot 25)$$

$$= 25 - 95 + 3 \cdot 25$$

$$= 4 \cdot 25 - 95.$$

This gives us the solution $x_0 = -1$ and $y_0 = 4$, but we stress that this is for the equation $95x_0 + 25y_0 = 5$, which is not the equation we are trying to solve.

We now multiply each side by 300 to obtain a particular solution to the equation we do care about: First we get

$$300(4 \cdot 25 - 95) = 1500$$

and using distributivity and commutativity we get

$$25 \cdot 1200 + 95 \cdot (-300) = 1500.$$

Therefore we have the particular solutions $x_p = -300$ and $y_p = 1200$ to the equation 95x + 25y = 1500.

To get all solutions that are positive integers, we first write down all solutions using Theorem 1 of Section 3, with a = 95, b = 25 and (a, b) = 5. This gives us the solutions

$$x = -300 + 5t$$
$$y = 1200 - 19t,$$

with $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

To find the positive solutions we solve

$$-300 + 5t > 0$$
 and $1200 - 19t > 0$.

The first equation gives us t > 60, and the second equation gives us $t < \frac{1200}{19} \approx 63.15$. Therefore the possibilities for t, if t is to be an integer, are t = 61, 62, and 63.

To finally answer the problem, we recall that there was a third variable z, and that this variable should also be positive. Therefore we obtain the pairs (x, y) associated to each t, and compute the associated z using z = 100 - x - y.

If t = 61, then x = -300+305 = 5 and y = 1200-1159 = 41, and z = 100-5-41 = 54. This is an acceptable answer.

If t = 62, then x = -300 + 310 = 10 and y = 1200 - 1178 = 22, and z = 100 - 10 - 22 = 68. This is also an acceptable answer.

It t = 63, then x = -300+315 = 15 and y = 1200-1197 = 3, and z = 100-15-3 = 82. This is also an acceptable answer.

(Note that it is a coincidence that these all give acceptable values of z; there very well could have been a pair (x, y) giving a negative value of z if the numbers had turned out differently.)

Therefore the farmer either bought 5 calves, 41 lambs and 54 piglets; or 10 calves, 22 lambs and 68 piglets; or 15 calves, 3 lambs and 82 piglets.

3. Since n is a composite number, there exist integers d_1 and d_2 with $1 < d_1 \le d_2 < n$ and $n = d_1d_2$ (in other words, n has divisors other than 1 and itself). There are two possibilities: Either $d_1 \ne d_2$, or $d_1 = d_2$. We tackle each possibility in turn and show that n divides (n-1)! in either case.

If $d_1 \neq d_2$, then the product (n-1)! contains both the integer d_1 and the integer d_2 as separate factors (since they are distinct, positive, and both less than or equal to n-1). Therefore since the rest of the product is an integer, $n = d_1 d_2$ divides (n-1)!.

If $d_1 = d_2$, this argument does not work (and there are composite integers n > 4 for which this is the only option: $n = p^2$ for p a prime). For simplicity let's write $n = d^2$, with 1 < d < n.

Since n > 4, then d > 2. To find the other factor of d inside (n-1)!, consider the integer 2d. Since d > 2, it follows that $d^2 > 2d$, and since $n = d^2$, then n > 2d. Therefore d and 2d are two distinct integers contained strictly between 1 and n, and therefore they both appear separately in the product (n-1)!. By an argument similar to the one above, we conclude that $d \cdot 2d = 2d^2$ divides (n-1)!. Since $n = d^2$ divides $2d^2$, by Exercise 2 in Section 1, we may conclude that n divides (n-1)!.

Note that if n = 4 (which is the only composite number not covered by this problem), then the conclusion is false: (4-1)! = 3! = 6 and 4 does not divide 6. Therefore it is absolutely necessary for the argument to work that d > 2; otherwise there is not enough "space" for both d and 2d to be strictly between 1 and n.

4. Let us first establish the following: If both p and q are greater than $n^{1/4}$ then $pq > n^{1/2}$, and therefore $\frac{n}{pq} < \frac{n}{n^{1/2}} = n^{1/2}$. Therefore $\frac{n}{pq}$ is a small factor of n.

Therefore the question roughly asks if an integer n having large prime factors affects whether its small factors are prime. The assertion as stated is false: The large prime factors of an integer n do not affect the small factors.

We now attempt to build a counter-example. Let p=7 and q=11, so these are relatively large. Then let $\frac{n}{pq}=6$, so that it is small but not prime. Then $n=6\cdot7\cdot11=462$, and $n^{1/4}\approx4.63$. Then both p and q are greater than $n^{1/4}$, as required, and $\frac{n}{pq}=6$ is not prime, therefore disproving the assertion.