EXPRESSING GRAPHS AS SYMMETRIC DIFFERENCES OF CLIQUES Calum Buchanan\*, Christopher Purcell, & Puck Rombach



Any finite simple graph G = (V, E) can be represented by a collection of cliques in the complete graph on V whose symmetric difference is G. For instance, consider  $\{\{u, v\} \mid uv \in E\}$ . But we can often do better.

Example 1.

 $\underbrace{\hspace{1.1cm}}^{\bullet} = \underbrace{\hspace{1.1cm}}^{\bullet} \bigtriangleup \hspace{1.1cm} \bullet \underbrace{\hspace{1.1cm}}^{\bullet}$ 

**Question.** What is the minimum cardinality of such a collection of cliques?

**Definition 2.** A clique construction of G is a collection  $\mathscr{C}$  of subsets of V such that, for each pair  $u, v \in V, uv \in E$  if and only if u and v appear together an odd number of times in  $\mathscr{C}$ . The minimum cardinality of a clique construction of G is the clique-build number of G, denoted by  $c_2(G)$ .

### Equivalent problems

The problem of expressing a graph G as a sum of cliques modulo 2 was posed by Vatter [1].

#### Subgraph complementation [4]

Replace an induced subgraph of G by its graph complement.

### Faithful orthogonal representations

Given a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , assign to each vertex of G a vector from  $\mathbb{F}^d$  so that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are represented by non-orthogonal vectors. Lovasz [3] introduced these representations over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Dot product representations

Orthogonal representations in which the dot product of two vectors representing adjacent vertices is 1.

# UPPER BOUNDS

A number of upper bounds for  $c_2(G)$  are obtained by its equivalence to the minimum dimension of a faithful orthogonal representation of G over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Given a clique construction  $\mathscr{C}$  of G, assign to each vertex v an incidence vector with a 1 in the *i*th slot if v appears in the *i*th clique in  $\mathscr{C}$ , and a 0 otherwise. The equivalence follows, as two vectors are orthogonal over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  if and only if they share an even number of 1's.

We denote by  $M(\mathscr{C})$  the *clique-incidence matrix* whose rows are the aforementioned vectors. For example, the matrix corresponding to the construction  $\mathscr{C}$  in Example 1 is

$$M(\mathscr{C}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(1)

Propositions 3 and 4 are corollaries of Theorems 1 and 3 in [4], obtained by this equivalence. Let n denote the order of a graph G.

**Proposition 3.** For any graph G,  $c_2(G) \le n - 1$ .

**Proposition 4.** For any graph G (n > 2) other than  $P_n$ ,  $c_2(G) \le n-2$ .

**Theorem 5** ([2]). For any graph G with vertex cover number  $\tau(G)$ ,  $c_2(G) \leq 2\tau(G)$ .

*Proof.* For each  $v \in V(G)$ , we can build edges to any subset  $S \subseteq N(v)$  in two steps using cliques S and  $S \cup \{v\}$ . Given a minimum vertex cover Uof G, or set of vertices spanning the edges of G, build the edges incident to each  $u \in U$  which have not already been built in at most two steps.  $\Box$ 

Notice that  $c_2(G) < 2\tau(G)$  if any of the cliques we use are singletons, that is, if some vertex in U has only one neighbor outside of U.

# MINIMUM RANK

Let  $M = M(\mathscr{C})$  be a clique-incidence matrix. The off-diagonal entry (i, j) of  $MM^T$  (mod 2) is 0 if and only if the vertices corresponding to the *i*th and *j*th rows of M are nonadjacent. The *minimum* rank of G over  $\mathbb{F}$  is the minimum rank over all matrices in  $\mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  whose off-diagonal zeros match those of the adjacency matrix of G. Since rank $(MM^T) \leq \operatorname{rank}(M) \leq c_2(G)$ , we have

$$\operatorname{mr}(G, \mathbb{F}_2) \le c_2(G).$$

(2)

**Theorem 6.** For any forest F and field  $\mathbb{F}$ , we have  $c_2(F) = mr(F, \mathbb{F})$ .

There is a close relationship between  $c_2(G)$  and  $mr(G, \mathbb{F}_2)$ : the numbers differ by at most 1, and do so only if  $c_2(G)$  is odd. On the other hand, these invariants have important differences. The minimum rank of G with components  $G_1, \ldots, G_\ell$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} mr(G_i, \mathbb{F})$ , but this is not the case for  $c_2(G)$ . While  $c_2(W_5) = 3$  and  $c_2(K_2) = 1$ , we have

**Theorem 7.** For any graph G, the following are equivalent.

- *i.*  $c_2(G) = mr(G, \mathbb{F}_2) + 1;$
- ii. there is a unique matrix A of minimum rank over F<sub>2</sub> which fits G, and every diagonal entry of A is 0;
- iii. there is an optimal clique construction of G in which every vertex appears an even number of times;
- iv. for every component G' of G,  $c_2(G') = mr(G') + 1$ .

# FORBIDDEN SUBGRAPHS

The graph property  $c_2(G) \leq k$  is hereditary. We have shown in [2] that it is defined by a finite set of minimal forbidden induced subgraphs. For odd k, we have  $c_2(G) = k$  whenever  $mr(G, \mathbb{F}_2) =$ k, and  $c_2(G) \leq k$  whenever  $mr(G, \mathbb{F}_2) < k$ . Thus, the sets of minimal forbidden induced subgraphs for  $\{G : mr(G, \mathbb{F}_2) \leq k\}$  and  $\{G : c_2(G) \leq k\}$  are the same.

This is not the case when k is even. We exhibit the sets of minimal forbidden induced subgraphs for  $c_2(G) \leq 2$  and  $mr(G, \mathbb{F}_2) \leq 2$ , labeled A and B respectively, below.



### REFERENCES

- V. Vatter. Terminology for expressing a graph as a sum of cliques (mod 2), URL (version: 2018-12-15): https://mathoverflow.net/q/317716
- [2] C. Buchanan, C. Purcell, and P. Rombach. Expressing graphs as symmetric differences of cliques of the complete graph, arXiv:2101.06180, 2021.
- [3] L. Lovász. On the Shannon capacity of a graph. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 1979.

[4] V. Alekseev and V. Lozin. On orthogonal representations of graphs. Discrete Mathematics, 2001.

