Financial & Physical Planning
Minutes
427a Waterman
November 6, 2017

Present: Andrew Barnaby (CAS), Terri Donovan (RSNER), Timothy Higgins (COM), Cathy Paris (Faculty Senate President), Don Ross (CALS), Walter Varhue (CEMS), Thomas Visser (CAS)*

Absent: Steven Ades (LCOM), Carly Bidner (GSS), Bryan Dague (CESS), Laura Gewissler (LIB), Joanne Pencak (GSB) and Ge Wu** (CNHS)

Guests: Louis deRosset (CAS), Jeff Schulman (AD) and Richard Cate.

Chair Andrew Barnaby called the meeting to order at 2:00 p.m. in Waterman 427a.

1. Minutes: Minutes of October, 11 2017 were approved with additions to be made under the Provosts Visit.

2. Louis deRosset, Chair, Philosophy Department: providing some faculty perspective on impact of IBB on CAS. CAS departments are at a point that adding more budget cuts could compromise the mission of the university. The College of Arts and Sciences is on a 5 year plan to balance their budget.

A. IBB 2.0 Design Timeline

1. December 2017: Call for input (format TBD)
2. Spring-Fall 2018: Development of a proposal [IBB Steering Committee]
3. January 2019: Recommendations / Report to the President
4. July 2019: IBB 2.0 goes live

B. The committee could request to become part of the official timeline.
1. Currently there is one member of the FPPC on the Steering Committee.
2. The official timeline does not have room for FPPC or Faculty Senate feedback.
3. How would the FPPC provide input?
   - This will continue as an e-mail discussion, the discussion will be facilitated by Andrew.
   - Will invite Richard to future meetings to keep the committee updated on any new information.

3. Richard Cate, UVM CFO.

a) Financing of Multipurpose Center, Jeff Schulman.

1. Important Themes
   - Keeping it on campus
   - Health and wellness
   - Emotional connection between the community and Gutterson.
   - Keeping the capacity similar to the current capacity
   - Creating a central hub between Gutterson and the new gymnasium with concessions and bathrooms.

2. What is being proposed
   - Upgrading Gutterson
   - Building a new gymnasium
   - Adding general purpose classrooms
   - Creating significantly more recreation spaces for students

Can the main entrance of Patrick Gym be kept the same? The building is over 50 years old and replacing it could cause some issues from the historical perspective.

The general concept for funding is 1/3 private fundraising and 2/3 campus recreation and athletic fee funding. There would be a dedicated fee for this purpose. These types of fees are common among other universities.

Currently one million dollars of private money is being used to move forward with the design costs.

Due to the limited space and machines currently about 30% of students are members of a local gym. During peak hours the wait time on equipment is
very long. With the added recreation spaces this could allow for more students to stay on campus and use UVM facilities.

The athletic fee is part of the comprehensive fee of the university. Financial aid is based on the total comprehensive fee.

b. FYE / Residential Learning Communities: is there any price-tag on these?