# **Report from the Vermont Wool Project:** Early Findings on Wool Pellets as a Fertilizer for Vegetable Farms

## Kimberly Hagen, Center for Sustainable Agriculture, January 2020

### **Project Background & Team**

Kimberly Hagen and Suzy Hodgson of the Center for Sustainable Agriculture were seeking a way to support Vermont's sheep farmers by exploring market options for a use for raw, low-grade wool. A grant from USDA Rural Development, support from the Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Food & Markets, and the partnership and interest of an energetic team of partners made it possible to explore a range of options.

Originally focused on finding a way to process raw wool to meet demands for local and sustainable materials for the building trade, the group came to realize that a use that would not require scouring (cleaning) was what was most useful and sustainable.

After learning that wool could be "pelletized" compressed into small dense shapes - the team began investigating the process and potential impact for both sheep and vegetable farmers.

#### **Project Coordinators**:

Kimberly Hagen, Grazing Specialist Suzy Hodgson, Sustainable Agriculture Outreach Specialist

#### Team:

Deb and Ed Bratton, Vermont Fiber Mill Alex DePillis, Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Food and Markets

Anna Freund, Open View Farm Ben Graham, New Frameworks Dave Martin, Settlement Farm Andrea Murray, Vermont Integrated Architecture David Ritchie, Green Mountain Spinnery Alex Wilson, Building Green



### Why Wool Pellets for Vermont?

### Wool costs sheep farmers money

For decades the market price for raw wool has been very low – below the cost to shear sheep and transport the wool. A small percentage of the "clip" is fine enough for small batch value-added products, but generally, if they do not sell to the local wool pool collection, many producers simply pile it in a corner of their barn or haul it out to the woods to dump it.

### Environmental & Agronomic Qualities

Wool pellets may in some ways be superior to the peanut meal that many farmers currently use. Wool's hygroscopic quality means an ability to ameliorate the current wild swings in precipitation because it can absorb, hold and release moisture as well as nutrients, over time.

#### **NPK Profile**

Analysis of wool pellets reveals an NPK profile average of 9-0-2. Generous of nitrogen, virtually no phosphorous, and small amounts of potassium. The nitrogen slowly releases due to the physical properties of the fibrous wool pellet and slow breakdown. For many vegetable farmers in Vermont this is an ideal combination. Many are over their limit for P, and need a slow release N to avoid the risk of runoff before plants can utilize the nutrient.

### Carbon sequestration

Up to fifty percent of the weight of wool is carbon. When pellets are incorporated into the soil, that carbon stays in the ground.



Wool.pellets, ready for use

UVM Extension helps individuals and communities put research-based knowledge to work.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the United States Department of Agriculture. University of Vermont Extension, Burlington, Vermont University of Vermont Extension, and U.S. Department of Agriculture, cooperating, offer education and employment to everyone without regard to race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or familial status.

Any reference to commercial products, trade names, or brand names is for information only, and no endorsement or approval is intended

Methodology & 2019 Field Trials What's Next? In the 2019 growing season, three Vermont vegetable farms served as initial A locally produced fertilizer that releases nitrogen sites to test the pellets. slowly and has no phosphorous could be an extremely attractive and sustainable resource for • All sites used raw wool pellets purchased from Wild Valley Farm in Utah. both livestock farmers and produce growers. •One row was treated by incorporating wool pellets into the soil. A control row Based on extremely promising early results, the utilized the farm's usual fertilizer protocol. At Golden Russet Farm, a third row project's next step is to secure funding for the next two-pronged research approaches: • Because of varied on-farm conditions, the researchers' working assumption is that the comparison between results on the same farm is more important 1. Conduct in-depth longer trials on a single farm than the comparison of yields between <u>different</u> farms. before planning for a larger field trial with multiple growers. 2. Test of at-scale production of pelletized wool in Golden Russet Farm order to determine actual cost of production and Hand broadcast application practical viability. • Tilled in to 4" depth • Spring crop Contact Kimberly Hagen at 802-522-6729 or • Heavy clay soil kimberly.hagen@uvm.edu to learn more. • Yields: Wool pellets - 74.5 lbs./100 ft.\* Peanut meal - 72.25 lbs./100 ft. Control - 68.25 lbs./100 ft. \* 80% of row with wool pellets was ready for harvest 3 days earlier. Shelburne Farms Hand broadcast application • Tilled with crown vetch cover crop to 2"depth • Clay loam soil Compared with crown vetch cover crop and 5:3:2 fertilizer • Yields (identical): Wool pellets - 158.9 lbs./100 ft. Control row - 158.9 lbs./100 ft. UVM Catamount Farm (picture at right) Hand broadcast application • Tilled in 2" depth • Late fall crop • Sandy dry soil

- •All sites trialed broccoli in side-by-side 100 ft. rows.
- had no treatments.

- Yields:
- Wool pellets 61.5 lbs, per 100 feet,
- Control row 19.5 lbs per 100 feet.



The row on the right was grown with wool pellets at the UVM Catabmount Farm during the 2019 field trials. The control row is on the left.

**Center for** Sustainable Agriculture