|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Team Number:** |  |
| **Presenter #1:** |  |
| **Presenter #2:** |  |
| **Presenter #3:** |  |
| **Presenter #4:** |  |



Team Presentation Evaluation Sheet

2021 Grossman Deans Cup

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Categories and Criteria** | **Rating** | **Comments** |
| Definition of Key Issue(s):Symptoms vs. core issuesLaundry list vs. clusters |  |  |
| Analysis:Quantitative/QualitativeBusiness SystemCompetitive Context (Industry, Culture)Assumptions (Clear/Appropriate) |  |  |
| Alternatives:ClearConsistent with situationComparison of alternatives |  |  |
| Recommendation:Fits analysisJustified by business and industry contextFeasible/Creative |  |  |
| Implementation:TimelineWhat, How, By Whom, When?Clarity of Plan B if recommended plan does not work |  |  |
| Q&A:Defends and builds upon recommendationTeam work |  |  |
| Presentation:Time managementCreative/Professional |  |  |
|  |  **Excellent = 5 Very Good = 4 Good = 3 Fair =2 Poor = 1** |

What the team did well:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

What the team might do more effectively:

|  |
| --- |
|  |