# The Power of Precision Agriculture in Vermont No-Till

# February 26, 2020



# Vermont

There are 236 different soil components mapped in Vermont, each acre of Vermont soils has variability unique to the next, precision agriculture provides tools to identify, manage and lessen the variability found within this challenge.

Laws, regulations, funding opportunities require records

Vermonter Farmers are investing in precision agriculture, all coverage maps in the presentation are from technology we are currently utilizing or trialing locally

## Progression timeline



Initial investment prioritizing one practice Data investment for analysis and reporting



Secondary investments in the field



Integration/Evalu ation/Utilization



Apply well informed rate information to your field based on the data layers you have recorded.

Nutrient application Steering Planting

# PRECISION AGRICUTLURE DISPLAYS

## THE HEART OF A PRECISION AG OPERATION

Monitor, control, and record practices

# Educate yourself and set goals early

<u>.</u>

The goal of the presentation is to help you identify short term and long-

term goals



# **GPS RECEIVER**

## **GPS SIGNALS**

<u>WASS</u>: Free, 6"12" accuracy, poor repeatability

<u>OMNI STAR, TERRA STAR</u>: Paid services 4"-8" accuracy, good repeatability

<u>RTK</u>: Sub 1" accuracy, good repeatability, requires base station or access to an RTK network





### **Acting with precision**

What needs to be addressed?

What tool do we need for the job?

# Often difficult to pick up row marker lines in no-till



# Steering Systems

10

Ag Leatler

5

1244

**GPS/Guidance** Setup

15.00

1

Ag Leader

亡 S

.

Ξ





#### **Benefits of steering**

-Less fatigue

-Reduced Underlap or overlap

-More consistent ground speed

-More time to focus on the implement

-Repeatability to perform other field operations with minimal crop losses

#### Steering systems do not typically

-Turn on headlands

-Know where rocks are

-Slow down or speed up the tractor

The operator still maintains full function of these aspects of the operation







# Subsurface Fertility in No-till With Precision



GEA



## Control allows for slow speed at desired rate



# STRIP TILL, Cultivating

-By adding fertilizer application tools during these practices, we address subsurface fertility, weed control and compaction in one operation.

-We need good pass to pass accuracy. A subscription service will be needed, RTK is recommended.

-Recorded and labeled guidance lines will help track nutrient placement for future reference and planning.





## Strip till fertilizer application

#### 



NAD83 :Vermont Lat: 44.850235 Lon:-73.121626

## **Cover Cropping**

Pictured is an inner seeder that could be enhanced with a steering system and seed tube monitoring, same principles would apply to a grain drill or even broadcasting



## Air Seeder





## Air Seeder Map

Even coverage with ability to set a rate, used with automatic swath control and assisted steering.

🔤 Ag Leader Technology SMS Advanced - Jeff Sanders - Map 1		
File Edit View Map Analysis Tools Services Window	telp	
Projects Read File(s) Device Setup Print New General Report	Image: Constraint of the second sec	
Project Workspace 🗣	4 📃 Summary 🔇 Map 1 🔜 🚱 Map 2 🚱 Map 3	Þ
		Map 1 Lavers <b>D</b>
<ul> <li>Seeding - 1</li> <li>&lt;1&gt; (2410013515)</li> <l< th=""><th></th><th>Imp 1 toyes       Imp 1 toyes         Imp 1 toyes       Imp 1 toyes         Imp 1 toyes       Imp 1 toyes         Imp 1 toyes       Imp 1 toyes         Rate (Mass)       Imp 1 toyes         Imp 1 toyes       Imp 1 toyes</th></l<></ul>		Imp 1 toyes       Imp 1 toyes         Rate (Mass)       Imp 1 toyes         Imp 1 toyes       Imp 1 toyes
Image: A state of the state		0.53 - 50.00 ( 1.675
Create New Map		
Add to Current Map		1
	Map Summary SQuery Results R Picture Notes	™ [▼
For Help, press F1	NAD83	Vermont Lat: 44.810273 Lon:-73.141321

## Poor Soil Health + Poor Planter Setup=Lost Revenue

Down pressure sensor data would have identified increased up pressure on the row unit from floating row cleaner Rented land without sample data





# 0.00 at 5.0 mph Import Prescription: Modify Prescription

-	Scale:
	100 % 🖉
	Minimum To Apply
	89999.99 sds/ac
	Maximum To Apply
	179999.99 sds/ac
	Adjusted









## **Planting With Precision**









# DOWNPRESSURE

### Spring



#### Pneumatic



### Hydraulic



Applies constant pressure with only manual adjustment

Applies down force to the row unit but takes several feet to adjust.

Applies down force to row unit within a second.

## Spring monitored and spring adjusted downforce



## Tilled field, Gauge wheel pressure Consistency



## No-Till into Sod- Gauge wheel pressure Variability



Transpar	ency - 100 %								
		<b>~</b>							
Down F	Down Force (Gauge Wheel)								
250.0 200.1 174.1 157.5 100.7 30.0 1.1	0 - 472.08 8 - 250.00 8 - 200.18 0 - 174.18 2 - 157.50 0 - 100.72 4 - 30.00	( 6.53 a (10.56 a ( 8.93 a ( 8.99 a (23.99 a ( 1.98 a ( 0.36 a							
	III Fertilizer A	policati 🕅							

## **Down pressure applied**



-Planted field road-Potential soft spot-Variability in seed bed

-Compaction Map

-Ledge

## Doubles map further identifies variability



For Help, press F1

Consider the two categorical influencers for applied force, the row unit and field conditions. Typically the row unit will be unchanging throughout a planting season, whereas field conditions change seasonally and spatially.



Call comes in "doubles are high and gauge wheel pressure is low across all rows, row six not closing"

# **Best Management Practices**

- Consult with a trusted agronomic advisor to gain an understanding of the impact of seed depth and side wall compaction to the crop being planted.
- Set target depth and begin planting on an intermediate setting. The objective is to achieve and maintain target seed depth at all times without inhibiting root development from over compaction of the seed trench. IMPORTANT: Proper Force is between the extremes of inadequate force resulting in depth loss or excess force resulting in compaction.
- Check for depth loss in areas of the field with the lowest and highest values of applied force.
- If depth is being routinely lost, consider moving to a higher setting.
- If compaction is being routinely observed, consider moving to a lower setting.



When soil health and planter setup are addressed you get positive results

## Progression timeline



# **Moving and Managing Data**

## DISPLAY

## SOFTWARE

CAN BE MOVED WITH A USB OR WIRELESSLY \*ASK FOR HELP





NAD83 :Vermont Lat: 44.784478 Lon:-73.109301

For Help, press F1

#### Quick accurate record keeping

- -Increased efficiency when filing reports
- -Enhances funding opportunities for your no-till operation
- -Provides a tool for sound decision making

					Cor	ndensed Farm Product Report
Grower : Magna	n, Scott					SCOTT MAGNA Custom Se inputopheni this
Field	Area	Estimated Amount gal(US)	Average Rate	Minimum Date	Maximum Date	
11 (ACS19)	8 208	32 789	3 994 9	10/4/2017	10/4/2017	
12	0.308	1.356.8	4.411.5	10/4/2017	10/4/2017	
15 (ACS18)	8.394	35.904	4,277.1	10/4/2017	10/5/2017	
IS (ACS18) Beans	0.048	207.69	4 294 0	10/5/2017	10/5/2017	
Mimmo's	5.764	25,866	4,487.6	10/4/2017	10/4/2017	
Totale	22 72	96 123	4 230 4	10/4/2017	10/5/2017	
Totals	22.12	50,123	Average	Minimum	Maximum	1
Form	Home		-			
Voor	2017					
Operation:	Liquid Ec	artilizor Application				
Operation.	Manual	si ulizer Application				
Product:	Manure					
	-					
Field	Area	Estimated	Average	Minimum	Maximum	
Held	Area ac	Estimated Amount gal(US)	Average Rate gal(US)/ac	Minimum Date	Maximum Date	
2 (ACS35)	Area ac 6.167	Estimated Amount gal(US) 27,572	Average Rate gal(US)/ac 4,471.2	Minimum Date 10/5/2017	Maximum Date	
2 (ACS35) 5	Area ac 6.167 1.492	Estimated Amount gal(US) 27,572 6,903.2	Average Rate gal(US)/ac 4,471.2 4,626.7	Minimum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017	Maximum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017	
2 (ACS35) 5 6	Area ac 6.167 1.492 3.011	Estimated Amount gal(US) 27,572 6,903.2 10,720	Average Rate gal(US)/ac 4,471.2 4,626.7 3,560.6	Minimum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017	Maximum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017	
Field 2 (ACS35) 5 6 7	Area ac 6.167 1.492 3.011 7.853	Estimated Amount gal(US) 27,572 6,903.2 10,720 34,196	Average Rate gal(US)/ac 4,471.2 4,626.7 3,560.6 4,354.3	Minimum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017	Maximum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017	
Field 2 (ACS35) 5 6 7 <b>Totals</b>	Area ac 6.167 1.492 3.011 7.853 18.52	Estimated Amount gal(US) 27,572 6,903.2 10,720 34,196 79,391	Average Rate gal(US)/ac 4,471.2 4,626.7 3,560.6 4,354.3 4,286.2	Minimum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 Minimum	Maximum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017	
Field 2 (ACS35) 5 6 7 Totals Farm: Year: Operation: Product:	Area ac 6.167 1.492 3.011 7.853 18.52 Scott's L 2017 Liquid Fe Manure	Estimated Amount gal(US) 27,572 6,903.2 10,720 34,196 <b>79,391</b> and ertilizer Application	Average Rate gal(US)/ac 4,471.2 4,626.7 3,560.6 4,354.3 <b>4,286.2</b> Average	Minimum Date           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017	Maximum Date           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           Maximum	
Field 2 (ACS35) 5 6 7 Totals Farm: Year: Operation: Product: Field	Area ac 6.167 1.492 3.011 7.853 18.52 Scott's L 2017 Liquid Fe Manure Area ac	Estimated Amount ga(US) 27,572 6,903.2 10,720 34,196 <b>79,391</b> and ertilizer Application Estimated Amount ga(US)	Average gal(US)/ac 4,626.7 3,560.6 4,354.3 4,286.2 Average Average gal(US)/ac	Minimum Date           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           Minimum           Minimum           Date	Maximum Date           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           Maximum           Maximum           Date	
Field 2 (ACS35) 5 6 7 Totals Farm: Year: Operation: Product: Field 17 (ACS14)	Area           ac           6.167           1.492           3.011           7.853           18.52           Scott's L           2017           Liquid Fe           Manure           Area           ac           0.380	Estimated Amount gal(US) 27,572 6,903.2 10,720 34,196 <b>79,391</b> and ertilizer Application Estimated Amount gal(US) 2,999.7	Average gal(US)/ac 4,471.2 4,626.7 3,550.6 4,354.3 4,286.2 Average Rate gal(US)/ac 7,896.3	Minimum Date           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           Minimum           Minimum           Date           10/5/2017	Maximum Date           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           Maximum           Maximum           Date           10/5/2017	
Field 2 (ACS35) 5 6 7 Totals Farm: Year: Operation: Product: Field 17 (ACS14) 19	Area ac 6.167 1.492 3.011 7.853 <b>18.52</b> Scott's L 2017 Liquid Fe Manure Area ac 0.380 7.330	Estimated Amount gal(US) 27,572 6,903.2 10,720 34,196 <b>79,391</b> and ertilizer Application ertilizer Application gal(US) 2,999.7 32,265	Average gal(US)/ac 4,626.7 3,560.6 4,354.3 4,286.2 Average Average gal(US)/ac 7,896.3 4,402.0	Minimum Date           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           Minimum Date           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017	Maximum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 Maximum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017	
Field           2 (ACS35)           5           6           7           Totals             Farm:           Year:           Operation:           Product:           Field           17 (ACS14)           19           20 (ACS15)	Area ac 6.167 1.492 3.011 7.853 18.52 Scott's L 2017 Liquid Fe Manure Area ac 0.380 7.330 4.357	Estimated Amount gal(US) 27,572 6,903.2 10,720 34,196 <b>79,391</b> and ertilizer Application Estimated Amount gal(US) 2,999.7 32,265 19,246	Average Rate gal(US)/ac 4,471.2 4,626.7 3,560.6 4,354.2 Average Rate gal(US)/ac 7,896.3 4,402.0 4,417.1	Minimum Date           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           Minimum           Date           10/5/2017           10/4/2017           10/4/2017	Maximum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 Maximum Maximum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017	
Field           2 (ACS35)           5           6           7           Totals             Farm:           Year:           Operation:           Product:           Field           17 (ACS14)           19           20 (ACS15)           21	Area ac 6.167 1.492 3.011 7.853 <b>18.52</b> Scott's L 2017 Liquid Fe Manure Area ac 0.380 7.330 4.357	Estimated Amount gal(US) 27,572 6,903.2 10,720 34,196 <b>79,391</b> and ertilizer Application Estimated Amount gal(US) 2,999.7 32,265 19,246 24,764	Average Rate gal(US)/ac 4,471.2 4,526.7 3,560.6 4,354.3 4,286.2 Average Rate gal(US)/ac 7,896.2 4,402.0 4,417.1 4,475.0	Minimum Date           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           Minimum Date           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/4/2017           10/4/2017           10/4/2017	Maximum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 Maximum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/4/2017	
Held           2 (ACS35)           5           6           7           Totals             Farm:           Year:           Operation:           Product:           Field           17 (ACS14)           19 20 (ACS15)           21           Totals	Area ac 6.167 1.492 3.011 7.853 <b>18.52</b> Scott's L 2017 Liquid Fe Manure Area ac 0.380 7.330 4.357 5.534 <b>17.60</b>	Estimated Amount gal(US) 27,572 6,903.2 10,720 34,196 <b>79,391</b> and ertilizer Application Estimated Amount gal(US) 2,909.7 32,265 19,246 24,764 <b>79,274</b>	Average Rate gal(US)/ac. 4,471.2 4,626.7 3,560.6 4,354.3 4,286.2 Average Rate gal(US)/ac. 7,896.3 4,402.0 4,417.1 4,475.0	Minimum Date           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/5/2017           10/4/2017           10/4/2017           10/4/2017           10/4/2017           10/4/2017	Maximum Date 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/5/2017 10/4/2017 10/4/2017	

# Weed Control

Liquid application tools provide exact rate control and automatic shutoff of product.



Steering control for application of products as well as improved efficiency and accuracy when using cultivation tools

# **Herbicide Application**

Liquid application receives flow rates from a flow meter signal and then applies a target rate that is generally controlled by pump speed. Shutoffs are in place, so the sprayer shuts off automatically anytime the boom overlaps, minimizing overuse of chemicals. \* Rates match the label on the herbicide product.





In this photo a steering system was used while planting and again when cultivating using a flat cultivation point for minimal soil disturbance and weed control



# **Drainage-TILE PLOW**

A tile plow uses an RTK signal to control the tile plow vertically, and a signal to run a straight line horizontally, providing a service for modern-day system tiling.







# **Crop Sensors**

• Not often found in Vermont but perhaps part of our future, crop sensors collect NDVI data at the field level, drone or plane level and from space.

- NDVI crop sensors, bounce light off a crop and measure the reflective properties of the crop, algorithms are developed using the data to reference crop health, and to determine the nutrient needs of the crop on the go.
- Nitrogen is currently the only nutrient we have algorithms for to my knowledge, however ongoing research and development in this area is underway.

## HARVEST

# **Yield Data**

Common on combines and found locally on some choppers, Yield monitoring is a very important to piece in fully utilizing all the precision tools on your farm. With that data we gain the ability to identify gains and drops in yield. From there field improvements can be made, equipment issues identified, and plans can be made to utilize inputs as efficiently as possible.

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **Progression timeline**

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

### 2019 Variable rate planting trial

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

2 soil types within the field

Lordstown- well drain loam

Massena-Poorly drained heavier soil

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Soil sample layer-Low fertility-2020 goal create 3-4 sample points

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

## 2019 yield data

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Winner! Why?

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Was population the key factor? Down pressure 20.52lbs Yield 117b/u

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Down pressure 64.68lbs Yield 153BU

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### 2019 | Corn Magnan, Scott | All Farms | 17 (ACS14)

Corn			
Soil Type	Yield (Dry) (bu/ac)	Moisture (%)	Area (ac)
Lordstown	123.9	24.26	5.25
Massena	116.7	23.77	3.22
Total	121.2	24.07	8.47

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### 2019 | Corn Magnan, Scott | All Farms | 17 (ACS14)

Com						
Population (ksds/ec)	Yield (Dry) (bu/ec)	Moisture (%)	Area (ec)			
Below 24.00	100.1	23.83	0.861			
24.00 - 27.00	117.6	23.78	1.59			
27.00 - 30.00	125.6	23.94	1.13			
30.00 - 33.00	127.1	24.04	1.45			
33.00 - 36.00	134.3	24.25	1.32			
Above 36.00	124.8	24.24	1.29			
Total	122.7	24.02	7.63			

## **Progression timeline**

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

### WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND VARABILTITY

Precision ag gives us the tools to identify it, from the tractor seat, from the office, truck, or at home, and the ability to share that information with a TSP

Form a plan to manage those zones, prioritize

#### Examples:

#### Problem

Compaction Soil Moisture Hybrid performance Soil type Shade Fertility

#### Potential solution Planter setup, tillage, Cover crop Variety Drainage Seed variety/rate, Field operation timing Crop selection, seed rate Trim field edges for firewood

Rate selection, product type

# **PRESCRIPTION WRITING**

Map predetermined rates for seeding, fertilizing and manure application using a software program.

Base the prescription on a variety of map layers and previously recorded data.

![](_page_57_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

Productivity Index Based Planting Prescription (Corn and Soybeans) This equation calculates a ratio between Grain Harvest data for corn and soybeans and the National Commodity Crop Productivity Index (NCCPI) from United States Soil Survey data, and helps you create three management zones to apply different planting rates for corn or soybeans.

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Cornell University® Corn Recommendation

This equation calculates how much corn to plant based on desired harvest population, soil survey information, and location of installed tile.

### 2020 Fertilizer P Prescription based off 2019 crop removal

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_2.jpeg)

Variable rate fertilizer application

## **APPLY THE PRESCRIPTION TO THE FIELD**

![](_page_61_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Increasingly easier access

Map View - AgFiniti@	0 - Google Chrome			F	X
← → C ●	https://www.agfiniti.com/Tools/MapView/MapMain		0	M	:
Apps M Inbox	(509) - scttmgn 🔍 New Tab Search 🕒 BFA Nordic Skiing 🔕 Webmail 7.0 🝐 XC Level 200 FINAL 🕅 👹 Welcome   My USSA 💶 (1) How bond betwee				
	Generate Prescription				
	Edit the options below and select Run Equation. Our servers will generate your prescription shortly afterwards. Use the Back button when you are done or change an option ar select Run Equation again.	d			
	Equation Selection Generic Phosphorus (P) Crop Removal 🤡	>			
	Field Selection No Field Selected	>			
	Save Details Generic Phosphorus (P) Crop Removal, 2019 - 1 📀	~			
	Product Details No Product Selected	~			
	Required Inputs 4 / 6	~			
	< Back Run Equation	on			

## **Questions?**

# Visit us this afternoon for a more in depth look and discussion on Precision Agriculture

#### -Farmer Stories and conversation

How farmers have prioritized there first purchase and why

How do we put the data into action in the field to improve our no till operation, what tools do we need for the job

-Planter row unit discussion

-Display Demo

-Software and Cloud programs

-Interactive use of the Agfiniti program

-Data Sharing

- -Planter row unit discussion
- -Display Demo
- -Fact checking WIFI limitations

![](_page_63_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Scott Magnan
- Email <u>scttmgnn@gmail.com</u>
- Phone (802) 363-7707

![](_page_64_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_6.jpeg)