## Shaw Mountain Ice Storm Study, 1998-2002 <br> DOWNED WOOD ANALYSIS

Comparisons of iced and control plots:
Hypothesis A: Iced plots have greater density and mass of downed woody debris than Control plots following the ice storm.
For debris sizes of 1 to 3 " in diameter, the hypothesis is supported.
For small size classes, data do not necessarily support the hypothesis.

1. In general, woody debris was found at greater densities and mass in Iced plots than in Control plots (iced:control ratios > 1).
2. Woody debris in larger size classes (1 to 3 ") remained greater in Iced than in Control plots in all 5 years.
3. Small woody debris (< $25^{\prime \prime}$ ) was greatest in density and mass in year 1 Iced plots (n.s.), but greater in Controls after that (n.s.).

Comparisons between years
Hypothesis B: Fine woody debris will peak in abundance before coarse woody debris following the ice storm.
Data support this hypothesis for debris sizes ranging from 0 to 3 ". Coarser debris is not greater in year 3 than in year 1 .

1. Density and mass of downed woody debris $1-3^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter increased in iced areas between years 1 and $3(p=.05)$.
2. Density and mass of downed woody debris $>3 "$ in diameter increased in iced plots between years 1 and $5(p=.05)$
3. Density and mass of downed woody debris < $25^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter decreased in iced plots following a year 1 high ( $p=.19$ )
4. Iced:control ratios of density or mass were highest in year 1 for small size classes, and highest in year 5 for debris > 1".

| MEANS by year and by size class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| density | 0-. 25 | .25-1 |  | 1-3 | >3 |  | total |  | mass | 0-. 25 | .25-1 | >3 |  | total |  |
| 1998 iced | 110 |  | 71 |  | 34 | 9 | 43 | 223 | 1998 iced | 0.050 | 0.655 | 2.510 | 3.295 | 5.805 | 6.510 |
| control | 85 |  | 40 |  | 15 | 7 | 22 | 146 | control | 0.045 | 0.365 | 1.070 | 3.415 | 4.485 | 4.890 |
| 1999 iced | 49 |  | 61 |  | 44 | 10 | 54 | 163 | 1999 iced | 0.023 | 0.561 | 3.246 | 4.291 | 7.537 | 8.122 |
| control | 46 |  | 77 |  | 15 | 5 | 20 | 142 | control | 0.022 | 0.709 | 1.070 | 2.640 | 3.710 | 4.441 |
| 2000 iced | 41 |  | 119 |  | 60 | 9 | 69 | 228 | 2000 iced | 0.020 | 1.099 | 4.390 | 3.685 | 8.075 | 9.196 |
| control | 84 |  | 74 |  | 20 | 5 | 25 | 182 | control | 0.040 | 0.682 | 1.439 | 2.435 | 3.874 | 4.596 |
| 2001 iced | 73 |  | 102 |  | 48 | 10 | 58 | 232 | 2001 iced | 0.035 | 0.941 | 3.541 | 4.305 | 7.846 | 8.823 |
| control | 109 |  | 70 |  | 25 | 6 | 31 | 209 | control | 0.053 | 0.644 | 1.845 | 2.935 | 4.780 | 5.477 |
| 2002 iced | 83 |  | 65 |  | 80 | 12 | 92 | 239 | 2002 iced | 0.040 | 0.603 | 5.866 | 6.150 | 12.016 | 12.658 |
| control | 95 |  | 75 |  | 23 | 6 | 29 | 198 | control | 0.046 | 0.691 | 1.697 | 3.035 | 4.732 | 5.469 |

ICED:CONTROL RATIOS of mean densties and mean mass


obs = observation number
$\mathrm{pl}=$ plot number $(1,2,3,4)$
ice $=$ ice treatment ( $1=$ iced, $0=$ control)
age $=$ age relative to the time of the ice storm
( $0=$ wood downed before ice storm,
1 = wood downed by ice storm,
2 = all downed wood)
$\mathrm{yr}=$ year of observation
$\mathrm{d} 0=$ density of down stems 0 to .25 " in diameter (number per 60 m transect)
d. $25=$ density of down stems .25 to $1^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter (number per 60 m transect)
d1_3 = density of down stems 1 to 3 " in diameter (number per 60 m transect)
$d 3=$ density of down stems $>3^{"}$ in diameter (number per 60 m transect)
$\mathrm{d} 1=$ density of down stems $>1$ " in diameter (number per 60 m transect)
$\mathrm{dt}=$ density of all down stems (number per 60 m transect)
$\mathrm{m} 0=$ mass of down stems 0 to $.25^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter (tons/acre)
m. 25 = mass of down stems .25 to $1^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter(tons/acre)
m1_3 = mass of down stems 1 to $3^{"}$ in diameter(tons/acre)
$\mathrm{m} 3=$ mass of down stems $>3$ " in diameter (tons/acre)
$\mathrm{m} 1=$ mass of down stems $>1$ " in diameter (tons/acre)
$\mathrm{mt}=$ mass of all down stems (tons/acre)
To convert tons/acre to Kg/ha, multiply tons/acre by 2241.74

