## Characterizing Healthcare Utilization in Vermont: Attribution of Children to Primary Care, 2022



Fiscal Year 2024

Health Services Research Team

Vermont Child Health Improvement Program

## Table of Contents

Introductionp1-5	
Attribution to Primary Carep6-11	
Ruralityp12-18	
Pediatric Medical Complexityp19-25	
Social Determinants of Healthp26-30	
Data Notesp31-38	

### Sections

1	2	3
Introduction	Attribution to Primary Care	Rurality
Л	E	C
	<b>.</b>	<b>O</b>

### Introduction

Our algorithm attributes patients to providers based on services received and assigns providers to practices based on administrative workforce data. The primary objective of this project is to implement our algorithm so that patient and practice data can be used by other VCHIP projects to assess the impact of their quality improvement efforts. Our secondary objective is to describe the population of attributed patients and identify patient characteristics that may contribute to identifying areas or populations for future quality improvement efforts. Throughout the document we use the term 'children' to refer to the population of 0-26-year-olds.

## This document details analyses conducted leveraging Vermont's All-Payer Claims Database (VHCURES: Vermont Health Care Uniform Reporting and Evaluation System) to answer the following questions:

- What proportion of children aged 0-26 are attributed to primary care practices in Vermont?
- What is the distribution of attributed children by practice type?
- What proportion of attributed children live in an area defined as rural?
- What proportion of attributed children in Vermont are classified with varying levels of medical complexity (i.e. complex chronic, non-complex chronic and non-chronic)?
- What proportion of attributed children live in areas identified as at risk for poor social determinants of health (i.e. low childhood opportunity)?

## How to Read This Document

Statistical Comparisons

Statements noting differences between groups in this report are statistically significant. Statistical comparisons in this report were made using chi-square analyses unless otherwise noted, with significance levels set at p<.05. In cases where multiple comparisons were made between groups, significance levels were adjusted using Bonferroni correction (.05/number of comparisons).

The Vermont Health Care Uniform Reporting and Evaluation System (VHCURES) data are under the stewardship of the Green Mountain Care Board (GMCB). The analyses, conclusions, and recommendations from the VHCURES data are solely those of the study authors and are not necessarily those of the GMCB. The GMCB had no input into the study design, implementation, or interpretation of the findings.

	Definitions	efinitions			
	Patient-to- Practice Attribution	We use a retrospective, hierarchical patient-to provider attribution by reviewing two years of outpatient professional medical claims. Our approach prioritizes well-care visits with providers. We assign providers to practices each year using a combination of administrative data sources. The provider's National Provider Identifier (NPI) number is used to link between the all-payer claims database and administrative data sources. This will allow us to then attribute the child, through their provider, to a practice.			
	Practice Type	Practices children are attributed to are categorized based on the providers at those practices and practice information about the patients they serve. This report focuses primarily on Child-Serving Practices, which includes Pediatric, Family Medicine, Mixed Practices, Nurse Practitioner, and Naturopathic practices.			
	Medicaid Insurance Coverage	Children with Medicaid insurance eligibility in any month, even if Medicaid is not the primary insurance payer, are counted as having Medicaid. This designation is based on enrollment, and not on payments made by Medicaid on a Medical claim.			
	Rurality	Rural-Urban Commuting Area (RUCA) codes: Based on both population density and daily commuting within or to urbanized areas. Our analyses use a previously established four-tier consolidation: urban, large rural city/town, small town, and isolated rural. The Federal Office of Rural Health Policy: Non-metro counties, areas with RUCA codes of 4-10, large metro areas with a population density of 35 or less per square mile and a RUCA code of 2-3 and outlying metropolitan counties without an urbanized area are all considered rural. In Vermont, this means that ZIP Codes within Chittenden County are identified as non-rural (urban), with all other ZIP Codes identified as rural.			
e n	Pediatric Medical Complexity	We used the Pediatric Medical Complexity Algorithm (PMCA) to categorize children by medical complexity. The algorithm categorizes children into different levels of medical complexity based on up to three years of diagnoses that are identified as chronic, malignant, progressive, and/or involve multiple body systems. Children are classified into three categories: Complex Chronic, Non-Complex Chronic, and Non-Chronic (Healthy).			
	Social Determinants of Health	The Child Opportunity Index (COI) is a child-focused Social Determinants of Health (SDoH) index that uses data from multiple sources including the American Community Survey, the National Center for Education Statistics, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the CDC. At the ZIP Code level, we use the state-normed categorizations ranging from (1) Very Low Opportunity to (5) Very High Opportunity			

88% Of eligible children were attributed in 2022

## Key Findings

### Of attributed children:

- 60% were attributed to a Vermont Child-Serving Practice
- Most children at a Vermont Child-Serving Practice (57%) were attributed to a pediatric practice.
- 66% had Medicaid coverage.
- $\circ~$  80% lived in a rural area.
- 38% had complex chronic or non-complex chronic medical conditions.
- 43% lived in an area identified as having low or very low opportunities for children.

Attribution to Primary Care

## Attributing Children

- 150,053 children aged 0-26 were identified in VHCURES as having at least one Vermont ZIP Code and at least one month of medical insurance eligibility.
- $\,\circ\,$  93% of those children had a medical claim.
- 88% of those children were able to be attributed.
- Most attributed children (80%) were attributed to a child-serving practice in Vermont. Others were attributed to practices outside of Vermont or specialty practices inside or outside of Vermont.

#### Eligible Children 150,053

Children with Medical Claims 139,945

> Attributed Children 131,709

Attributed to Child-Serving Primary Care 105,478

# Most children at child-serving primary care were attributed to pediatric practices

Attribution to Vermont Child-Serving Primary Care Practice



Patients attributed to practices based on healthcare utilization 2021-2022. SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

### Most children at Pediatric practices were 5 to 10 years old



More than half of patients at Pediatric practices were 10 years old or younger.

At Family Medicine practices, more than half of patients were 15 to 26 years old.

Patients attributed to practices based on healthcare utilization 2021-2022 Age based on minimum age in year using eligibility records SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

## Nearly 2/3 of attributed children had Medicaid insurance

Percent of Attributed Children by Medicaid Coverage, 2022





Patients attributed to practices based on healthcare utilization 2021-2022. Children identified as having any Medicaid had one or more months of Medicaid eligibility during 2022. SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

## Younger children were attributed by well-care visits, whereas young adults were attributed by other visit types



Patients attributed to practices based on healthcare utilization 2021-2022 Age based on minimum age in year using eligibility records SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008 Note: There were approximately twice as many 0-year-olds than other ages because we used minimum age in the year, so 0-year-olds included children born the previous year and turned 1 during the year.

## Rurality

# Over a quarter of attributed children lived in isolated small rural towns



Children identified as having any Medicaid had one or more months of Medicaid eligibility during 2022. Rurality-RUCA: USDA Economic Research Service classification system based on both population density and daily commuting within or to urbanized areas into 10 categories. We use a four-tier consolidation (urban, large rural city/town, small rural town, and isolated small rural town) of the original RUCA classification system to aid interpretability. SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

# More than half of attributed children with Medicaid lived in isolated small rural towns or small rural towns

Percent of Attributed Children with Medicaid by Rural-Urban Commuting Area, 2022



Children identified as having any Medicaid had one or more months of Medicaid eligibility during 2022. Rurality-RUCA: USDA Economic Research Service classification system based on both population density and daily commuting within or to urbanized areas into 10 categories. We use a four-tier consolidation (urban, large rural city/town, small rural town, and isolated small rural town) of the original RUCA classification system to aid interpretability. SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

## 3/4 of attributed children lived in rural areas of Vermont

Percent of Attributed Children by Rurality, 2022





Patients attributed to practices based on healthcare utilization 2021-2022. Rurality-Federal Office of Rural Health Policy: Non-metro counties, areas with RUCA codes of 4-10, large metro areas with a population density of 35 or less per square mile and a RUCA code of 2-3, and outlying metropolitan counties without an urbanized area are all considered rural. SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

## 80% of attributed children with Medicaid lived in rural areas of Vermont

Percent of Attributed Children with Medicaid by Rurality, 2022





Patients attributed to practices based on healthcare utilization 2021-2022. Rurality-Federal Office of Rural Health Policy: Non-metro counties, areas with RUCA codes of 4-10, large metro areas with a population density of 35 or less per square mile and a RUCA code of 2-3, and outlying metropolitan counties without an urbanized area are all considered rural. Children identified as having any Medicaid had one or more months of Medicaid eligibility during 2022. SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

## The majority of children attributed to child serving practices lived in rural areas of Vermont



Children attributed to practices based on healthcare utilization 2021-2022 Rurality-Federal Office of Rural Health Policy: Non-metro counties, areas with RUCA codes of 4-10, large metro areas with a population density of 35 or less per square mile and a RUCA code of 2-3, and outlying metropolitan counties without an urbanized area are all considered rural. SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #2022



#### Percent of Attributed Children Living in a Rural Area by Select Patient Demographics, 2022.

More children (81%) with Medicaid lived in rural areas than did children with other insurance (63%)

70% of 22–26-year-olds lived in rural areas, and this is lower than the percentage of children living in rural areas from each of the other age groups.

Children attributed to practices based on healthcare utilization 2021-2022. Gender identified using eligibility records. Unknown gender omitted from figure. Children identified as having any Medicaid had one or more months of Medicaid eligibility during 2022. Age based on minimum age in year using eligibility records

Rurality-Federal Office of Rural Health Policy: Non-metro counties, areas with RUCA codes of 4-10, large metro areas with a population density of 35 or less per square mile and a RUCA code of 2-3, and outlying metropolitan counties without an urbanized area are all considered rural.

SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

Pediatric Medical Complexity

### 38% of attributed children live with medical complexity

Percent of Attributed Children by Pediatric Medical Complexity, 2022



Pediatric Medical Complexity: Complex Chronic, Non-Complex Chronic, and Non-Chronic (Healthy) categories assigned based on up to three years of medical claims diagnoses. Children with no medical claim diagnoses but who are attributed are categorized as Non-Chronic (Healthy). SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

# 43% of attributed children with Medicaid live with medical complexity

Percent of Attributed Children with Medicaid by Pediatric Medical Complexity, 2022



Children identified as having any Medicaid had one or more months of Medicaid eligibility during 2022. Pediatric Medical Complexity: Complex Chronic, Non-Complex Chronic, and Non-Chronic (Healthy) categories assigned based on up to three years of medical claims diagnoses. Children with no medical claim diagnoses but who are attributed are categorized as Non-Chronic (Healthy). SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

# 50% of children at nurse practitioner practices live with medical complexity

Percent of Attributed Children by Pediatric Medical Complexity and Practice Type, 2022



Children attributed to practices based on healthcare utilization 2021-2022

Pediatric Medical Complexity: Complex Chronic, Non-Complex Chronic, and Non-Chronic (Healthy) categories assigned based on up to three years of medical claims diagnoses.

Children with no medical claim diagnoses but who are attributed are categorized as Non-Chronic (Healthy). SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

# 41% of attributed children living in small rural towns live with medical complexity

Percent of Attributed Children with Complex Chronic or Non-Complex Chronic Condition by RUCA Classification, 2022



Rurality-RUCA: USDA Economic Research Service classification system based on both population density and

daily commuting within or to urbanized areas into 10 categories. We use a four-tier consolidation

(urban, large rural city/town, small rural town, and isolated small rural town) of the original RUCA classification system to aid interpretability.

Pediatric Medical Complexity: Complex Chronic, Non-Complex Chronic, and Non-Chronic (Healthy) categories

assigned based on up to three years of medical claims diagnoses.

Patients with no medical claim diagnoses but who are attributed are categorized as Non-Chronic (Healthy).

SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

# Nearly 40% of attributed children in rural Vermont live with medical complexity

Percent of Attributed Children with Complex Chronic or Non-Complex Chronic Condition by RUCA Classification, 2022



Children living in rural areas of Vermont had a higher prevalence of complex chronic or noncomplex chronic conditions compared to children living in nonrural areas.

Rurality-Federal Office of Rural Health Policy: Non-metro counties, areas with RUCA codes of 4-10,

large metro areas with a population density of 35 or less per square mile and a RUCA code of 2-3,

and outlying metropolitan counties without an urbanized area are all considered rural.

Pediatric Medical Complexity: Complex Chronic, Non-Complex Chronic, and Non-Chronic (Healthy) categories assigned based on up to three years of medical claims diagnoses.

Patients with no medical claim diagnoses but who are attributed are categorized as Non-Chronic (Healthy).

SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008



#### Percent of Attributed Children with Complex Chronic or Non-Complex Chronic Condition in 2022 by Select Patient Demographics and Attribution.

Gender identified using eligibility records. Unknown gender omitted from figure.

Children identified as having any Medicaid had one or more months of Medicaid eligibility during 2022.

Age based on minimum age in year using eligibility records

Pédiatric Medical Complexity: Complex Chronic, Non-Complex Chronic, and Non-Chronic (Healthy)

categories assigned based on up to three years of medical claims diagnoses. Children with no medical claim diagnoses but who are attributed are categorized as Non-Chronic (Healthy).

SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

The prevalence of

Social Determinants of Health

# 43% of attributed children lived in areas of low or very low opportunity

Percent of Attributed Children by Child Opportunity Index Level, 2022



Child Opportunity Index (COI) Level: Child Opportunity Index Level is assigned based on child's first Vermont zip code, using state-normed levels. SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

Note: 88 patients had Vermont ZIP Codes with no corresponding COI level in the Child Opportunity Index 2.0 were excluded from these analyses.

## Almost 50% of attributed children with Medicaid livde in areas of low or very low opportunity



Children identified as having any Medicaid had one or more months of Medicaid eligibility during 2022.

Child Opportunity Index (COI): Child Opportunity Index Level is assigned based on patients first Vermont zip code, using state-normed levels. SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

Note: 88 patients had Vermont ZIP Codes with no corresponding COI level in the Child Opportunity Index 2.0 were excluded from these analyses.

### Almost 50% of attributed children at Family Medicine Practices lived in areas of low or very low opportunity



Percent of Attributed Children by Pediatric Medical Complexity and Practice Type, 2022

Children attributed to practices based on healthcare utilization 2021-2022

Child Opportunity Index (COI): Child Opportunity Index Level is assigned based on patients first Vermont zip code, using state-normed levels. SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

Note: 88 patients had Vermont ZIP Codes with no corresponding COI level in the Child Opportunity Index 2.0 were excluded from these analyses.



(urban, large rural city/town, small rural town, and isolated small rural town) of the original RUCA classification system to aid interpretability. Child Opportunity Index (COI): Child Opportunity Index Level is assigned based on patients first Vermont zip code, using state-normed levels.

SOURCE: VHCURES Extract #3008

Note: 88 patients had Vermont ZIP Codes with no corresponding COI level in the Child Opportunity Index 2.0 and were excluded from these analyses.

30

very low opportunity areas.

### Data Notes

## Vermont Health Care Uniform Reporting and Evaluation System

Our data source was the Vermont Health Care Uniform Reporting and Evaluation System (VHCURES), Vermont's all-payer administrative claims database. VHCURES contains an estimated 90% of Vermonters' medical and pharmaceutical claims, from 2008 to 2016. Starting mid-2016, because of the Gobeille v. Liberty Mutual Insurance Company decision, VHCURES no longer includes approximately half of all the individuals with Commercial Self-Pay insurance. Since mid-2016, VHCURES contains an estimated 75% of Vermonters' claims.

VHCURES includes data on patient insurance coverage, or eligibility, that allows us to identify the types of insurance patients carry, including Medicaid insurance, and how many months of coverage they have. It also includes details on medical claims, including hospital stays at the encounter level, that identify services rendered in a claim in the form of current procedural terminology (CPT) and healthcare common procedure coding system (HCPCS) codes, primary and other diagnoses on a claim using international classification of diseases, tenth revision, clinical modification (ICD-10-CM) codes. VHCURES also includes pharmaceutical claims and more recently dental claims from one of Vermont's largest dental insurance carriers (Northeast Delta Dental). All claims include identification for the provider who rendered and/or billed for the services outlined in the claim. To reduce the likelihood of the identification of any single patient whose data are included in VHCURES, the Green Mountain Care Board sets the standard that tables with count data (such as counts of eligible patients, encounters, services, etc.) of 10 or fewer in a cell cannot be reported. The VCHIP HSR Team suppresses the data in these cells by either denoting that the cell size as "<11" or otherwise noting that the value is omitted. Note that a value of zero does not violate this policy. Furthermore, depending on other data that may be reported in the same table or document, it may be necessary to suppress other counts so that the cell with a value less than 11 cannot be calculated. The VCHIP HSR Team follows procedures outlined by the Centers for Medicaid & Medicare Cell Suppression Policy to obscure other cell values by coarsening those counts. Coarsening data is a process by which the exact count is not reported, but instead a value generated through a formula is utilized. Cells that have been coarsened are denoted as numeric values preceded by an ">" symbol (e.g., ">456"). By coarsening data, we are able to present approximate values instead of having to omit other values that could be used to back-calculate other cells with counts less than 11.

The analyses, conclusions, and recommendations from the Vermont Health Care Uniform Reporting and Evaluation System (VHCURES) data are solely those of the study authors and are not necessarily those of the Green Mountain Care Board (GMCB). The GMCB had no input into the study design, implementation, or interpretation of the findings.

### **Practice Type**

Practice Type	Patients Served <sup>1</sup>	Providers <sup>2</sup>	Location	Patients Attributed to Specific Practice?
Child-Serving Primary Care				-
Pediatric	Children and Adolescents	Pediatricians	Vormont	
		Nurse Practitioners		Yes
		Physician Assistants	- (VI)	
Family Medicine	All ages	Family Medicine Physicians		
		Nurse Practitioners	VT	Yes
		Physician Assistants	_	
Mixed Practitioner <sup>3</sup>	All ages	Internal Medicine Physicians	_	
		Family Medicine Physicians		
		Pediatricians	VT	Yes
		Integrative/Complimentary Wellness Providers <sup>4</sup>		
		Dentists		
Nurse Practitioner	All ages	Nurse Practitioners	_ \/T	Voc
				res
Naturopathic	All ages	Naturopathic Physicians		Voc
			V I	res
Child-Serving, Other	All Ages	Pediatricians		
		Family Medicine Doctors	- \/T5	
		Nurse Practitioners	- v1-	No
		Physician Assistants		NO
		Naturopathic Physicians	- out-or-state	
			_	
			- •	

1. Patient population served was based on practice type, not on the actual ages of patients served by such practices as found in VHCURES.

2. Primary care provider types were based on typical providers at that type of practice, not the provider types assigned to practices by VCHIP.

3. Mixed practitioner practices may be a mix of taxonomies (e.g., internal medicine and family medicine providers at the same practice) or may be a mix of services (e.g., primary care and dental care offered at a federally-qualified health center).

4. Integrative/Complimentary medicine combines conventional medicine with complementary treatments and therapies (e.g. acupuncture, massage therapy or chiropractic care), taking a more holistic approach to care.

5. Vermont providers with a taxonomy consistent with practice type but who are not assigned to a practice tracked by VCHIP (e.g., student health centers) may be assigned to an "Other" practice type.

6. Other specialists referred to providers that did not have a primary care taxonomy above and were not assigned to UVMMC.

### Practice Type, Continued

Practice Type	Patients Served <sup>1</sup>	Providers <sup>2</sup>	Location	Patients Attributed to Specific Practice?
Adult-Serving Primary Car	e			
Internal Medicine	Adults	Internal Medicine Physicians		
		Nurse Practitioners	VT	Yes
		Physician Assistants		
OB/GYN	Women	OB/GYN Specialists		
		Internal Medicine Physicians		
		Nurse Practitioners	VT	Yes
		Physician Assistants		
		Midwives		
Adult-Serving, Other	Adults	Internal Medicine Doctors	<u>\/т</u> 5	
		Family Medicine Doctors		No
		Nurse Practitioners		NO
		Physician Assistants	out-or-state	
OB/GYN, Other	Women	OB/GYN Specialists		
		Internal Medicine Doctors	VT <sup>5</sup>	
		Nurse Practitioners	or	No
		Physician Assistants	out-of-state	
		Midwives		

 Patient population served was based on practice type, not on the actual ages of patients served by such practices as found in VHCURES.
Primary care provider types were based on typical providers at that type of practice, not the actual provider taxonomies assigned to practices by VCHIP.

3. Mixed practitioner practices may be a mix of taxonomies (e.g., internal medicine and family medicine providers at the same practice) or may be a mix of services (e.g., primary care and dental care offered at a federally-qualified health center).

4. Integrative/Complimentary medicine combines conventional medicine with complementary treatments and therapies (e.g. acupuncture, massage therapy or chiropractic care), taking a more holistic approach to care.

5. Vermont providers with a taxonomy consistent with practice type but who were not assigned to a practice tracked by VCHIP (e.g., student health centers) may be assigned to an "Other" practice type.

6. Other specialists referred to providers that did not have a primary care taxonomy above and were not assigned to UVMMC.

## Practice Type, Continued

Practice Type	Patients Served <sup>1</sup>	Providers <sup>2</sup>	Location	Patients Attributed to Specific Practice?
Specialty Care				
UVMMC Specialty Clinic	All ages	Pediatric Specialists	١/т	No
		Adult Specialists	V I	NO
Specialty Clinic, Other <sup>6</sup>	All ages	Pediatric Specialists	\/T5	
		Adult Specialists	v i	No
				INO
			out-of-state	

1. Patient population served was based on practice type, not on the actual ages of patients served by such practices as found in VHCURES.

2. Primary care provider types were based on typical providers at that type of practice, not the actual provider taxonomies assigned to practices by VCHIP.

3. Mixed practitioner practices may be a mix of taxonomies (e.g., internal medicine and family medicine providers at the same practice) or may be a mix of services (e.g., primary care and dental care offered at a federally-qualified health center).

4. Integrative/Complimentary medicine combines conventional medicine with complementary treatments and therapies (e.g. acupuncture, massage therapy or chiropractic care), taking a more holistic approach to care.

5. Vermont providers with a taxonomy consistent with practice type but who were not assigned to a practice tracked by VCHIP (e.g., student health centers) may be assigned to an "Other" practice type.

6. Other specialists referred to providers that did not have a primary care taxonomy above and were not assigned to UVMMC.

## Pediatric Medical Complexity

systems	in (rwich) classification categories bas	sed on children chilena and count of anected body
PMCA Category	Criteria	Number of Claims
	Progressive Condition	≥1 Claim
	Malignancy	≥1 Claim
Complex Chronic Disease <sup>b</sup>	Other	≥2 claims per body system for 2 different body systems during the measurement period
Non-Complex Chronic Disease		≥2 claims per body system for 1 body system during the measurement period
Without Chronic Disease		None of the above during the measurement period

a. Classification based on the more conservative version of the algorithm

b. A classification as Complex Chronic Disease can be applied if any one of these three criteria are met.

Source: Simon TD, Cawthon ML, Stanford S, et al. Pediatric Medical Complexity Algorithm: A New Method to Stratify Children by Medical Complexity. Pediatrics. Jun 2014;133(6):E1647-E1654. doi:10.1542/peds.2013-3875

### Child Opportunity Index

Domain	Indicator	Examples	Data Sources
Education	Early Childhood Education (ECE)	ECE centers, high quality ECE centers,	NAEYC, ACS
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ECE enrollment	
	Elementary Education	Third grade reading and math	EDFacts, GS, and SEDA
		proficiency	
	Secondary and postsecondary	High school graduation rate, Advanced	EDFacts, GS, CRDC, ACS
	Education	Placement course enrolment, College	
		enrollment in nearby institutions	
	Educational and Social Resources	School poverty, teacher experience,	NCES CCD, CRCD, ACS
		adult educational attainment	
Health &	Healthy Environments	Access to healthy food, access to	USDA, CDC, EPA, ACS
Environment		green space, walkability, housing	
		vacancy rate	
	Toxic Exposures	Hazardous waste dump sites, industrial	EPA, CDC
		pollutants, airborne microparticles,	
		ozone concentration, extreme heat	
		exposures	
	Health Resources	Health insurance coverage	ACS
Social & Economic	Economic Opportunities	Employment rate, Commute duration	ACS
	Economic and Social Resources	Poverty Rate, Public assistance rate,	ACS
		Homeownership rate, High-skill	
		employment, Median household	
		income, Single-headed households	
ACS: American Com	munity Survey		
CDC: Centers for Dis	ease Control and Prevention		
CRCD: Civil Rights D	ata Collection		
EPA: The Environme	ental Protection Agency		
GS: GreatSchools			
SEDA: Stanford Educ	cation Data Archive		
NAEYC: National Ass	sociation for the Education of Young	Children	
NCES CCD: National	<b>Center for Education Statistics Com</b>	mon Core of Data	

nitu Indox 2.0 da

Child O

maina and indicators, and thair

## Rural-Urban Commuting Area

Primary and Se	econdary Rural-Ur	ban Commuting Area Codes, 2010
Primary Code	Secondary Code	Description
1	1.0	Metropolitan area core: primary flow within an urbanized area (UA)
	1.1	Secondary flow 30% to 50% to a larger UA
2	2.0	Metropolitan area high commuting: primary flow 30% or more to a
		UA
	2.1	Secondary flow 30% to 50% to a larger UA
3	3.0	Metropolitan area low commuting: primary flow 10% to 30% to a UA
4	4.0	Micropolitan area core: primary flow within an urban cluster of
		10,000 to 49,999 (large UC)
	4.1	Secondary flow 30% to 50% to a UA
5		Micropolitan high commuting: primary flow 30% or more to a large UC
	5.1	Secondary flow 30% to 50% to a UA
6	6.0	Micropolitan low commuting: primary flow 10% to 30% to a large UC
7	7.0	Small town core: primary flow within an urban cluster of 2,500 to 9,999 (small UC)
	7.1	Secondary flow 30% to 50% to a UA
	7.2	Secondary flow 30% to 50% to a large UC
8	8.0	Small town high commuting: primary flow 30% or more to a small UC
	8.1	Secondary flow 30% to 50% to a UA
	8.2	Secondary flow 30% to 50% to a large UC
9	9.0	Small town low commuting: primary flow 10% to 30% to a small UC
10	10.0	Rural areas: primary flow to a tract outside a UA or UC
	10.1	Secondary flow 30% to 50% to a UA
	10.2	Secondary flow 30% to 50% to a large UC
	10.3	Secondary flow 30% to 50% to a small UC
99		Not coded: Census tract has zero population and no rural-urban identifier information