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Histone modifications around individual BDNF gene promoters in prefrontal cortex are associated with extinction of conditioned fear

Timothy W. Bredy, Hao Wu, Cortney Crego, Jessica Zellhoefer, Yi E. Sun, and Mark Barad

1Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, Brain Research Institute, Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA; 2West Los Angeles VA Medical Center, Los Angeles, California 90073, USA

Extinction of conditioned fear is an important model both of inhibitory learning and of behavior therapy for human anxiety disorders. Like other forms of learning, extinction learning is long-lasting and depends on regulated gene expression. Epigenetic mechanisms make an important contribution to persistent changes in gene expression; therefore, in these studies, we have investigated whether epigenetic regulation of gene expression contributes to fear extinction. Since brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is crucial for synaptic plasticity and for the maintenance of long-term memory, we examined histone modifications around two BDNF gene promoters after extinction of cued fear, as potential targets of learning-induced epigenetic regulation of gene expression. Valproic acid (VPA), used for some time as an anticonvulsant and a mood stabilizer, modulates the expression of BDNF, and is a histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor. Here, we report that extinction of conditioned fear is accompanied by a significant increase in histone H4 acetylation around the BDNF P4 gene promoter and increases in BDNF exon I and IV mRNA expression in prefrontal cortex, that VPA enhances long-term memory for extinction because of its HDAC inhibitor effects, and that VPA potentiates the effect of weak extinction training on histone H4 acetylation around both the BDNF P1 and P4 gene promoters and on BDNF exon IV mRNA expression. These results suggest a relationship between histone H4 modification, epigenetic regulation of BDNF gene expression, and long-term memory for extinction of conditioned fear. In addition, they suggest that HDAC inhibitors may become a useful pharmacological adjunct to psychotherapy for human anxiety disorders.

Substantial evidence indicates that extinction of conditioned fear, the reduction in responding to a feared cue when the cue is repeatedly presented without any adverse consequence, is new learning that inhibits the expression of a conditioned association rather than erasing it. For example, conditioned fear shows “spontaneous recovery” after the passage of time (Baum 1988), “reinstatement” after presentations of the unconditioned stimulus (US) alone (Rescorla and Heth 1975), and “renewal” when the feared cue is presented in a context different from that of extinction training (Bouton and King 1983). Efforts to understand the mechanisms of this form of learning have increased recently, particularly since it is an important model of anxiety disorder treatment.

Many forms of learning, including extinction, are dependent on changes in gene expression (Berman and Dudai 2001; Cammarota et al. 2003; Lin et al. 2003; Sangha et al. 2003; Villan et al. 2003; Herry and Mons 2004; Suzuki et al. 2004; Yang and Lu 2005; Chhatwal et al. 2006; Herry et al. 2006; Lattal et al. 2006). Dynamic changes in chromatin structure make an important contribution to the regulation of tissue-specific gene expression. In particular, histone acetylation/deacetylation and dimethylation of specific lysine residues on nucleosomal histone proteins (i.e., H3-K9) and DNA methylation of CpG dinucleotides within promoter regions are ways that chromatin remodeling can influence ongoing transcription and synaptic plasticity (Martinowich et al. 2003; Levenson et al. 2006). Histone acetylation contributes an early step to the process of chromatin modification by disassembling nucleosomes to make DNA promoter regions accessible for transcription factor binding and for methylation. Histone acetylation states are regulated by specific enzymes, including histone deacetylases (HDACs), which can be both tissue- and cell-type-specific. Thus, the omnipresence and specificities of these enzymes may make them potential therapeutic targets for the treatment of neuropsychiatric disorders and disorders of learning and memory.

In addition to its trophic function during development, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is critical for learning-related synaptic plasticity and the maintenance of long-term memory. The role of BDNF in fear conditioning is well defined, and, within the amygdala of the rat, both fear conditioning and its extinction lead to an increase in BDNF protein and gene transcripts (Rattiner et al. 2004; Chhatwal et al. 2006; Ou and Gean 2006). Recent data indicate that the medial prefrontal cortex also plays an important role in fear extinction learning (Milad and Quirk 2002; Milad et al. 2004; Santini et al. 2004), but the function of BDNF in the prefrontal cortex during extinction remains undefined. Thus, regulation of BDNF in the prefrontal cortex is a reasonable candidate mechanism to make a contribution to extinction learning.

BDNF has four distinct transcripts each regulated by a specific promoter that is sensitive to epigenetic modification (Martinowich et al. 2003; Tsankova et al. 2004). We chose to examine histone acetylation around two of those promoters in the prefrontal cortex after fear conditioning or after fear conditioning followed by fear extinction in order to provide support for the hypothesis that distinct patterns of histone acetylation are asso-
associated with specific behavioral changes: histone acetylation patterns and behavior that might be mimicked by combining partial behavioral training with a drug that promotes histone acetylation.

We find that fear conditioning and extinction result in distinct patterns of histone acetylation of histones H3 and H4 around the P1 and P4 promoters of the BDNF gene. We also find that promoting histone acetylation potentiates partial fear extinction, by decreasing remembered fear, but only when combined with partial extinction training (training insufficient to generate significant extinction on its own). Finally, we demonstrate that the same partial extinction training, by itself, also fails to generate the characteristic histone acetylation changes of extinction, but, when combined with an HDAC inhibitor, mimics the histone acetylation pattern characteristic of strong extinction around the BDNF P4 promoter. This synergistic combination also increases BDNF exon IV-containing transcripts, while the combination does not specifically affect exon I-containing transcripts.

Results

Extinction of conditioned fear results in histone acetylation around BDNF gene promoters within the prefrontal cortex

Behavioral training resulted in significant changes in H3 acetylation around the P1 promoter of the BDNF gene (one-way ANOVA, $F_{(2,8)} = 58.70$, $P < 0.0001$; Fig. 1A). Fear-conditioned mice without extinction showed a significant increase in histone H3 acetylation compared to naive controls (Bonferroni post hoc analysis; $P < 0.01$), suggesting an increase in histone acetylation due to fear conditioning. Extinction training reversed this H3 acetylation to below naive levels ($P < 0.01$ vs. fear conditioned without extinction; $P < 0.05$ vs. naive). There was no significant effect of learning on H4 acetylation around the P1 promoter of the BDNF gene (Fig. 1B).

Behavioral training led to an increase in acetylated H3 around the P4 promoter of the BDNF gene (one-way ANOVA, $F_{(2,8)} = 5.92$, $P < 0.05$; Fig. 1D). Fear-conditioned mice without extinction showed a significant increase in H3 acetylation at this promoter compared to naive animals ($P < 0.05$), while increases in extinguished animals were not quite significant. There was no difference in H3 acetylation between fear-conditioned mice without extinction and extinguished animals ($P > 0.05$). Training also affected acetylated H4 at this promoter ($F_{(2,8)} = 15.13$, $P < 0.01$; Fig. 1E). Specifically, although fear conditioning without extinction caused no change in histone acetylation compared to naive animals ($P > 0.05$), extinction training increased H4 acetylation around the P4 promoter of the BDNF gene relative to both other groups ($P < 0.01$). There was no significant learning-induced histone modification around the control promoter for β-tubulin (Fig. 1G–I).

Extinction of conditioned fear results in increased BDNF mRNA expression within the prefrontal cortex

Behavioral training resulted in a significant increase in BDNF exon 1 mRNA expression (one-way ANOVA, $F_{(2,9)} = 31.32$, $P < 0.0001$; Fig. 2B). Fear-conditioned mice without extinction showed no increase in BDNF exon 1 mRNA compared to naive controls; however, extinction training increased BDNF exon 1 mRNA expression relative to both naive and fear-conditioned without extinction mice (Bonferroni post hoc tests, $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 2B).

Behavioral training resulted in a significant increase in BDNF exon 4 mRNA expression (one-way ANOVA, $F_{(2,9)} = 22.85$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2D). Fear-conditioned mice without extinction showed no increase in BDNF exon 4 mRNA compared to naive controls; however, extinction training increased BDNF exon 4 mRNA expression relative to both naive and fear-conditioned without extinction mice (Bonferroni post hoc tests, $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 2D).

Histone deactylase inhibitors, given prior to training, enhance long-term memory for the extinction of conditioned fear

Valproic acid (VPA) has been used for decades as an anticonvulsant and a mood stabilizer (Winterer and Hermann 2000); how...
ever, it is also a potent HDAC inhibitor (Phiel et al. 2001) and increases BDNF mRNA and protein levels both in cell culture (Castro et al. 2005) and in the prefrontal cortex (Fukumoto et al. 2001). When administered chronically, VPA reduces fear responding after nonreinforced extinction-like exposures to an aversive context (Li et al. 2006), and a derivative of VPA, pentyl-4-yn-valproic acid, enhances both spatial learning and long-term memory for avoidance conditioning (Murphy et al. 2001; Foley et al. 2004). We therefore injected VPA before a partial extinction protocol as previously described (Cain et al. 2003; Ponnusamy et al. 2004). By itself, this protocol yields no significant long-term memory for extinction.

VPA had no effect on long-term memory (LTM) for fear of the CS (measured by behavioral freezing) when injected on day 2 in the absence of CS presentations (see FC-No EXT groups, Fig. 3A) nor on the rate of within-session extinction across 20 CS exposures (data not shown), but enhanced long-term memory for extinction in a dose-dependent, U-shaped manner (n = 16/group, F(5,94) = 6.91, P < 0.0001; Bonferroni post hoc analysis, P < 0.05 vehicle vs. 100 mg/kg). The U-shape may have resulted from the sedating effects of the highest dose preventing learning during extinction training. There was a main effect of VPA treatment on pre-CS freezing after partial extinction training (F(3,94) = 2.92, P < 0.05; Fig. 3A, left); however, when pairwise comparisons were made, Bonferroni post hoc analysis revealed no significant difference in freezing between vehicle-treated and VPA-treated mice. In contrast, valpromide, an analog of VPA that shares its anticonvulsant and mood-stabilizing effects but is not an HDAC inhibitor (see chemical structures in Fig. 4), had no effect on LTM for extinction (n = 8–16/group, F(1,68) = 0.9078, P = 0.48; Fig. 3B). Conversely, sodium butyrate (NaBt), a prototypical HDAC inhibitor with no known anticonvulsant activity, also increased LTM for fear extinction (n = 15–16/group, F(5,95) = 5.80, P < 0.0001, P < 0.05, vehicle vs. 1000 mg/kg) (Fig. 3C). There was no effect of sodium butyrate treatment on pre-CS freezing scores (Fig. 3C, left).

VPA, combined with partial extinction training, increased histone H4 acetylation around both the BDNF P1 and P4 gene promoters but increased only BDNF exon IV mRNA expression in prefrontal cortex

To provide evidence for a more direct relationship between changes in histone acetylation in the prefrontal cortex and extinction learning, we tested the effect of VPA (100 mg/kg) combined with partial extinction training on histone modifications around the BDNF P1 and P4 promoters as well as on BDNF exon I and IV transcripts using the same extinction protocol used above to analyze the effect of HDAC inhibitors on behavior.

There was no significant effect of drug treatment or training on H3 acetylation at the BDNF P1 promoter (n = 4 samples pooled from two animals each, two-way ANOVA, F(1,12) = 2.71, ns; Fig. 5A). On the other hand, VPA treatment caused a significant increase in H4 acetylation overall at this promoter (two-way ANOVA, F(1,12) = 3.48, P < 0.05 for treatment) with a trend toward interaction between training and drug treatment (P = 0.076) (Fig. 5B). Partial extinction training alone yielded no significant increase in H4 acetylation, consistent with the absence of an effect on freezing. However, this VPA-induced increase in H4 acetylation was unrelated to BDNF exon I mRNA expression (Fig. 5D). While there was a significant main effect of partial extinction training on BDNF exon I mRNA expression (n = 6 samples, pooled from two animals each; F(4,20) = 6.20, P < 0.05), there was neither interaction nor main effect of VPA on the expression of BDNF exon 1.

Neither partial extinction training nor VPA affected H3 acetylation at the P4 promoter (n = 4 samples pooled from two animals each, 2-way ANOVA, F(1,12) = 1.563, ns; Fig. 6A). However, there was a significant interaction between training and drug treatment for H4 acetylation there (two-way ANOVA, F(1,12) = 10.66, P < 0.02; Fig. 6B). Partial extinction training yielded no significant increase in H4 acetylation, in parallel with its lack of effect on freezing. VPA alone also had no effect on H4 acetylation. However, VPA significantly increased H4 acetylation
in combination with partial extinction training \((P < 0.01)\), again paralleling its behavioral effect in facilitating extinction (Fig. 6A). This increase in H4 acetylation by the combination of VPA and partial extinction training also mimicked the effect of strong extinction training alone. Unlike the result for BDNF exon I mRNA, there was a significant interaction between training and drug treatment for exon IV mRNA (two-way ANOVA, \(F_{(1,20)} = 11.97, P < 0.01, n = 6\) samples, pooled from two animals each; Fig. 6D). Bonferroni post hoc tests indicate that partial extinction training only increased exon IV mRNA when combined with VPA \((P < 0.01)\). Thus, even though VPA may inhibit HDACs nonspecifically, its effects on histone acetylation at the P4 BDNF promoter and on gene expression of BDNF exon IV in the prefrontal cortex are quite specific to the pairing of VPA with behavioral experience.

### Discussion

This study generated four main findings: (1) Acquisition and extinction of conditioned fear results in distinct histone modifications around two BDNF gene promoters in the prefrontal cortex. (2) Extinction of conditioned fear leads to an increase in BDNF mRNA expression in the prefrontal cortex. (3) Histone deacetylase inhibitors, including VPA, given prior to partial extinction training, enhance long-term memory for the extinction of conditioned fear. (4) VPA, given prior to partial extinction training, increases histone H4 acetylation at both the BDNF P1 and P4 gene promoters, reproducing the acetylation pattern of strong extinction on P4, but the combination only increases BDNF exon IV-containing mRNA expression in the prefrontal cortex.

The increase in H4 acetylation around the P4 promoter after extinction (Fig. 1E) is consistent with a previous report that H4 acetylation is generally increased in the hippocampus after latent inhibition of conditioned fear, another inhibitory learning protocol (Levenson et al. 2004). However, the change we see in H4 acetylation is promoter-specific, even in prefrontal cortex. H4 acetylation is significantly increased around the P4 promoter, but only shows a trend to increase around the P1 promoter (Fig. 1B) after extinction training. Also, we have seen no such H4 acetylation increases after extinction in either the hippocampus or amygdala at these BDNF promoters (data not shown). Conversely, while H3 acetylation is increased around both promoters in the prefrontal cortex by fear conditioning without extinction (Fig. 1A,D), extinction training has opposite effects on H3 acetylation at the two promoters. Thus, our data support the hypothesis that there potentially exists a histone code for different forms of learning and memory (Chwang et al. 2006; Wood et al. 2006), since we show specific histone modifications around individual promoters of the BDNF gene in response to specific learning protocols.

While the contrast in histone acetylation between fear-conditioned without extinction and naive animals is striking for H3, it is important to be cautious about the interpretation of these results, since naive animals were exposed to neither the conditioning context, the CS, the US, nor the second context used for extinction. Thus, any of these factors may be influencing the changes in histone acetylation we see in fear conditioning without extinction compared to naive animals in a nonspecific manner regardless of fear conditioning. However, the contrast in histone acetylation between fear-conditioned without extinction and extinguished mice (or, for that matter, the lack of contrast for H3 at P4 and for H4 at P1) cannot depend on such nonspecific...
Acetylated H3

### A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acetylated H3/Input Fraction</th>
<th>Veh</th>
<th>VPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FC-No EXT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXT</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acetylated H4/Input Fraction</th>
<th>Veh</th>
<th>VPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FC-No EXT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXT</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acetylated H4</th>
<th>Veh</th>
<th>VPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FC-No EXT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXT</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BDNF Exon I mRNA</th>
<th>Exon I mRNA/Fc-No EXT Veh</th>
<th>FC-No EXT</th>
<th>EXT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5.** Effect of histone deacetylase inhibition by valproic acid (VPA) on learning-induced histone modifications (±SEM) around the P1 promoter of the BDNF gene and on BDNF exon I mRNA expression in the prefrontal cortex. VPA (100 mg/kg) combined with partial extinction training (20 CS presentations) (A) had no significant effect on H3 acetylation around the P1 promoter, (B) but increased histone H4 acetylation relative to all other treatment groups (*P < 0.05*, *n = 4* samples pooled from two animals each). (Inset) Raw data. (C) Representative quantitative RT-PCR plot for the effect of VPA and partial extinction training on BDNF exon I mRNA expression in the prefrontal cortex. (D) Extinction training yielded a significant increase in BDNF exon I mRNA expression. (*P < 0.05*, *n = 6* samples pooled from two animals each.) (FC-No EXT) Fear conditioned without extinction; (EXT) partial extinction.

Factors, since both groups received exactly the same training in context A on the first day, and then spent the same amount of time in context B on the second day. The only difference between these groups is the CS presentations on day 2. So while it remains possible that repeated CS exposures alone generate changes in histone acetylation (regardless of previous training), we believe that these changes are most likely to be due to extinction learning. This is supported by the correlation of such changes with extinction learning and not with CS exposures in the extinction paradigm used in our later experiments (Figs. 4–6). No change in histone acetylation was observed in groups that received only extinction training and vehicle, despite the presentation of a substantial number of CSs to those animals. In fact, histone H4 acetylation only increased around the P1 and P4 promoters under conditions that yielded effective extinction learning after the injection of VPA in combination with partial extinction training (Figs. 4–6).

Interestingly, when given before extinction training, VPA induced reductions in pre-CS freezing similar to those in freezing to the CS (Fig. 4A). Although not significant, these data suggest the possibility that VPA may influence extinction through contextual rather than cue-based learning. Nevertheless, there is no effect on pre-CS freezing in the fear-conditioned without extinction groups between those treated with vehicle and those treated with VPA, indicating that context exposure alone is not responsible for this reduction of pre-CS freezing. Furthermore, our experiments testing the combination of VPA with partial extinction training indicate that cue exposure is crucial for any VPA effect on H4 acetylation.

The findings that fear conditioning with and without extinction result in distinct patterns of histone acetylation support, and extend to specific promoters of a single gene, the findings of Levenson et al. (2004), who show an increase in H3 acetylation in hippocampus after contextual fear conditioning and in H4 acetylation after latent inhibition, a form of inhibitory learning that shares common cellular substrates with extinction (Barad et al. 2005). However, our data suggest a more complicated story. Acetylated H3 appears to be increased by fear conditioning without extinction at both promoters, but it remains elevated around P4 after extinction, while extinction strongly depresses the amount of acetylated H3 associated with P1. H4 is little affected by fear conditioning without extinction at either promoter, and it remains largely unchanged by extinction training at P1. However, acetylated H4 associated with the P4 promoter is significantly increased by extinction training. Thus, in our experiments, different behavioral training protocols do not appear to affect any histone in general, but rather acetylation of specific combinations of histones associated with specific promoters. These data establish a rationale for future studies to examine specific components of the associative learning process (i.e., the effects of CS alone, or US alone, the contrast between unpaired and paired training, etc.) and to examine training effects on histone modification around the promoters of other candidate learning genes. Such studies will ultimately require increased specificity in the molecular analysis by examining specific populations of brain cells (i.e., glutamatergic or GABAergic neurons, or glia) before and after opposing forms of learning like the acquisition and extinction of conditioned fear.

Our results indicate that the HDAC inhibitors VPA and sodium butyrate facilitate long-term memory for extinction in a dose-dependent fashion, because of their HDAC-inhibiting activity (Fig. 4A,C). First, we see similar behavioral effects for two well-characterized HDAC inhibitors. Second, as a negative control we used valpromide, a compound that shares most of the structure and therapeutic effects of VPA, but is not an HDAC inhibitor. Valpromide had no effect on extinction learning, indicating that VPA potentiates extinction because of its HDAC-inhibitor, but not its anticonvulsant or mood-stabilizing effects (Fig. 4B). Indeed, previous work has demonstrated a role for HDAC inhibitors in enhancing long-term memory for conditioned fear with both systemic (Levenson et al. 2004) and local infusion (Yeh et al. 2004), as well as increasing long-term memory for spatial learning (Wood et al. 2005). An HDAC inhibitor can also reverse some of the effects of early life stress on fear-related behavior (Weaver et al. 2004).

To add to the evidence that VPA may exert its effects on extinction learning through changes in histone acetylation, we looked for further correlative evidence of the interaction between
induced changes in acetylation of histones at the P1 promoter are of H3 and H4 at the P1 promoter, suggesting that learning of BDNF exon I mRNA is uncorrelated with acetylation patterns overtraining and the partial extinction protocols, the expression (even when combined with VPA treatment; Fig. 5D). In both the protocol used to measure the direct effect of behavioral extinction that higher numbers of CS presentations in the overtraining extinction association. This idea is consistent with the observations that the PHD5 protein is differentially sensitive to HDAC inhibition and appears to be associated with the two BDNF promoters we targeted in this study. Interestingly, while the combination of VPA and extinction caused a synergistic increase of H4 acetylation at both the P1 and P4 promoters, exon I-containing BDNF transcripts were increased as much by extinction alone as by the combination of VPA and extinction. Exon IV-containing transcripts, however, were only increased by the combination of VPA and partial extinction training. Thus, BDNF exon IV mRNA expression is preferentially sensitive to HDAC inhibition and appears to be associated with the tone–no shock association (as occurs during extinction); BDNF exon I mRNA expression appears to be more sensitive to CS-alone presentations, and insensitive to the extinction association. This idea is consistent with the observation that higher numbers of CS presentations in the overtraining protocol used to measure the direct effect of behavioral extinction training on histone acetylation and BDNF mRNA expression have a more dramatic effect on BDNF exon I transcripts (Fig. 2B) than fewer CS presentations in the partial extinction protocol (even when combined with VPA treatment; Fig. 5D). In both the overtraining and the partial extinction protocols, the expression of BDNF exon I mRNA is uncorrelated with acetylation patterns of H3 and H4 at the P1 promoter, suggesting that learning-induced changes in acetylation of histones at the P1 promoter are not mechanistically connected to expression of the BDNF exon I mRNA. In contrast, the increase in BDNF exon IV mRNA expression with extinction is not only correlated with extinction learning, but is similar in magnitude between extinction overtraining (Fig. 2D) and the combination of partial extinction and valproate treatment (Fig. 6D). The contrast in the correlation between extinction learning and the expression of BDNF transcripts containing the two different exons suggests three possibilities: (1) the BDNF transcripts containing different exons may have distinct functions within a single cell, (2) the differing BDNF transcripts may have the same molecular function but act in different compartments of the same cell, or (3) the differing BDNF transcripts have the same molecular function but act in different populations of cells. Our data do not allow us to distinguish between these possibilities, but we favor the third, as it would be consistent with a model in which different aspects of learning are subserved by different cell populations.

The effect of HDAC inhibition on fear extinction and on H4 acetylation around P4 appears to be contingent on behavioral training at the time of drug administration, since when HDAC inhibitors are injected into fear-conditioned animals that get no extinction training, there is no effect either on fear responding to the CS at test (Fig. 4), or on H4 acetylation (Figs. 5, 6). Thus even though HDAC inhibition by these compounds is likely to be both nonspecific and widespread, they can still have specific effects guided by behavioral training. In conclusion, our data suggest a relationship between histone H4 modifications at BDNF promoters and long-term memory for extinction of conditioned fear. Histone modifications have been associated with models of neuropsychiatric disorders including schizophrenia, depression, and addiction (Kumar et al. 2005; Sharma 2005; Tsankova et al. 2006), supporting a potential role for epigenetic mechanisms in the regulation of a
wide variety of behaviors. Our studies provide new information about the potential role of epigenetic regulation of gene expression in a preclinical model of anxiety disorders and their treatment. The facilitating effects of VPA on extinction are particularly intriguing, since the drug is already in use in psychiatric treatment and can be used immediately as an adjunct to behavior therapy for human anxiety disorders.

Materials and Methods

Subjects
Naïve 10–12-wk-old C57BL/6 male mice (Tacomin Farms, Oxnard, CA) were housed four per cage, maintained on a 12-h light/dark schedule, and allowed free access to food and water. All testing was conducted during the light phase in illuminated testing rooms following protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of California, Los Angeles.

Drugs
Valproic acid (30–300 mg/kg, i.p.; Sigma), valproamide (a generous gift from Kvitij Chemie, Amsterdam, Holland) is five times more potent than VPA but is not an HDAC inhibitor) (Nau and Loscher 1986), and sodium butyrate (100–1000 mg/kg, i.p.; Sigma), were dissolved in 0.1 M PBS with several drops of DMSO. Mice were injected with vehicle or drug 2 h before behavioral testing. Drug pre-treatment time was chosen based on previous reports that indicate peak histone acetylation time-course post-injection (Tremolizzo et al. 2005).

Conditioning apparatus
Two contexts (A and B), in separate rooms, were used for all behavioral fear testing. Shuttle box compartments (Med Associates) measuring 20.3 × 15.9 × 21.3 cm served as context A, and conditioning boxes (Med Associates) measuring 30.5 × 24.1 × 21 cm served as context B. Both contexts had two transparent walls and stainless steel grid floors (3.2 mm in diameter, 8 mm centers); however, the grid floors in context B were covered with flat white acrylic inserts to minimize context generalization. Context A was wiped down before testing with 10% ethanol, and context B was wiped down with 10% methanol. Individual video cameras were mounted in the ceiling of each chamber and connected via a quad processor to a standard videocassette recorder and monitor for videotaping and scoring of freezing. Grid floors were connected to a scrambled shock source (Med Associates). Auditory stimuli (Med Associates) were delivered via a speaker in the chamber wall. Delivery of stimuli was controlled with a personal computer and Med-PC software through a SmartAct Interface System (DIG-716; Med Associates). Background white noise was maintained at 62 dB throughout behavioral testing.

Behavioral protocols, effects of fear conditioning and extinction on histone acetylation, and BDNF mRNA expression
Naïve animals were not trained at all and remained in their home cages until sacrifice. For the other two groups, fear conditioning consisted of three pairings (2-min intertrial interval; ITI) of a 2-min, 80-dB, white noise conditioned stimulus (CS) coterminating with a 2-sec, 0.7-mA footshock in context A. Mice were matched into equivalent treatment groups based on freezing during the third training CS. One day later, after injections, mice were placed in context B and allowed to acclimate for 2 min. Partial extinction training comprised 20 non-reinforced 2-min CS presentations (5-sec ITI) and was chosen because it yields, by itself, no long-term memory for extinction, based on our previous work using partial extinction to test the ability of different compounds to facilitate extinction (Cain et al. 2003; Ponnusamy et al. 2005). As controls, fear-conditioned mice without extinction were injected with vehicle or drug and placed in context B for a time equal to that spent there by extinguished mice but were not exposed to any CS presentations. For the behavioral tests in Figure 2, 7 d after extinction, all mice were returned to context B in the drug-free state. After a 2-min acclimation, freezing was assessed during two 2-min CS presentations (2-min ITI). For the measures of histone acetylation and of BDNF exon I-IV mRNA accumulation, mice were killed two days post hoc test, and three washes with 1 M NaCl buffer, two washes in high salt buffer, two washes in LiCl buffer, and three washes with 1× TE. The precipitated protein–DNA complexes were eluted from the antibody with 1% SDS and 0.1 M NaHCO₃, then incubated overnight at 65°C in 200 mM NaCl to reverse formaldehyde cross-links. Following proteinase K digestion, phenol-chloroform extraction, and ethanol precipitation, samples were subjected to 32–35 cycles of PCR amplification using primer pairs specific for 200-bp segments corresponding to promoter and intronic regions of mouse exons I and IV and β-tubulin (used previously as a negative control by Tsanokva et al. 2004). Primer sequences are as follows: exon I: forward, 5′-TTCGATTCACGAGGTGATGC-3′, reverse, 5′-CTGGCCAGTTACCGCAAGTTGTTC-3′; exon IV: forward, 5′-GCCGGAATCTCTGATATCTGG-3′, reverse, 5′-AAAGTGGTTGAGGAGTCAC-3′; β-tubulin: forward, 5′-TA

Behavioral protocols: Histone deacetylase inhibitor interactions with partial extinction of conditioned fear
Experiments investigating the effects of HDAC inhibitors on the extinction of conditioned fear consisted of three phases: fear acquisition (context A), fear extinction (context B), and testing (context B). Testing occurred 7 d after extinction training to allow for complete memory consolidation. In all experiments, cue fear was induced in untreated, naïve mice with three pairings of a 2-min, 80-dB, white noise conditioned stimulus coterminating with a 2-sec, 0.7-mA footshock (2-min ITI). Mice were matched into equivalent treatment groups based on freezing during the third training CS. One day later, after injections, mice were placed in context B and allowed to acclimate for 2 min. Partial extinction training comprised 20 non-reinforced 2-min CS presentations (5-sec ITI) and was chosen because it yields, by itself, no long-term memory for extinction, based on our previous work using partial extinction to test the ability of different compounds to facilitate extinction (Cain et al. 2003; Ponnusamy et al. 2005). As controls, fear-conditioned mice without extinction were injected with vehicle or drug and placed in context B for a time equal to that spent there by extinguished mice but were not exposed to any CS presentations. For the behavioral tests in Figure 2, 7 d after extinction, all mice were returned to context B in the drug-free state. After a 2-min acclimation, freezing was assessed during two 2-min CS presentations (2-min ITI). For the measures of histone acetylation and of BDNF exon I-IV mRNA accumulation, mice were killed two days post hoc test, and tissue was collected 2 h after partial extinction training.

Data analyses
Behavioral freezing, the absence of all non-respiratory movements, was rated during all phases by an experienced investigator blind to subject treatment, using a 5-sec instantaneous time sampling technique. The percentage of observations with freezing was calculated for each mouse, and data represent mean ± SEM freezing percentages for groups of mice during specified time bins. Total session means were analyzed with one-way ANOVA for the data in Figures 2, and by two-way ANOVA for the data in Figures 3 and 5. Bonferroni post hoc tests were used for acetylation data and mRNA experiments; Dunnett’s post hoc tests with vehicle extinction as reference were used for behavioral data (Fig. 3).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation
Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed following a modification of the Upstate Biotechnology ChIP kit protocol. Tissue was fixed in 1% formaldehyde. Cross-linked cell lysates were sheared by sonication in a 1% SDS lysis buffer to generate chromatin fragments with an average length of 100–200 bp. The chromatin was then immunoprecipitated using antibodies specific to acH3, which recognizes acetylated Lys9 and Lys14 (Upstate Biotechnology), or acH4, which recognizes acetylated Lys5, Lys8, Lys12, and Lys16 (Upstate Biotechnology), or an equivalent amount of control IgG (anti-rabbit; Santa Cruz) overnight at 4°C. Protein–DNA–antibody complexes were precipitated with protein A-agarose beads coated with sheared salmon sperm DNA for 1 h at 4°C, followed by two washes in low salt buffer, two washes in high salt buffer, two washes in LiCl buffer, and three washes with 1× TE. The precipitated protein–DNA complexes were eluted from the antibody with 1% SDS and 0.1 M NaHCO₃, then incubated overnight at 65°C in 200 mM NaCl to reverse formaldehyde cross-links. Following proteinase K digestion, phenol-chloroform extraction, and ethanol precipitation, samples were subjected to 32–35 cycles of PCR amplification using primer pairs specific for 200-bp segments corresponding to promoter and intronic regions of mouse exons I and IV and β-tubulin (used previously as a negative control by Tsanokva et al. 2004). Primer sequences are as follows: exon I: forward, 5′-TTCGATTCACGAGGTGATGC-3′, reverse, 5′-CTGGCCAGTTACCGCAAGTTGTTC-3′; exon IV: forward, 5′-GCCGGAATCTCTGATATCTGG-3′, reverse, 5′-AAAGTGGTTGAGGAGTCAC-3′; β-tubulin: forward, 5′-TA
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GAACCTTCTCGGCTGTG-3’; reverse, 5’-TTTCTCTGGGCTGTG TCTC-3’.

Data analyses
Histone acetylation levels were calculated from standard PCR-amplified, ChIP products using a semiquantitative method, normalized to bands amplified from input DNA. Calculated histone acetylation levels were expressed as a ratio of that derived from naive animals (Fig. 2), and for Figures 4 and 5 data are presented normalized to bands amplified from input DNA. Mean histone acetylation levels for different groups were analyzed by one-way (Fig. 2) or two-way (Figs. 4, 5) ANOVA with Bonferroni post-tests.

Quantitative RT-PCR
RNA from the prefrontal cortex was prepared using the Trizol extraction method (Invitrogen). Total RNA (1 µg) was used for cDNA synthesis using the Omniscript RT kit (QIAGEN). PCR was then performed using a forward primer within BDNF exon I (5’-AGTTGCTTTGCTTCAGTGCC-3’) and a reverse primer (5’-CCTGAAGACTATGTCCTTA-3’), and a forward primer for BDNF exon IV (5’-CAAGTACTATACATGGCCACCA-3’) and a reverse primer (5’-GATGCGAATGTGGCTTCGTC-3’). Primers specific for GAPDH were used as a control (forward, 5’-GTCA TATTTCCGTGTTACACC-3’; reverse, 5’-CTGAGATGCTG TAGGTCTAGG-3’). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed in an iCycler (Bio-Rad) with the use of SYBR-green (PE Applied Biosystems). The threshold cycle for each sample was chosen from the linear range and converted to a starting quantity normalized for each well to the GAPDH mRNA levels using the ΔΔCT method, and each PCR reaction, run in duplicate for each sample, was repeated at least two independent times. (For color images of representative quantitative RT-PCR plots, please contact the corresponding author.)

Data analysis
Normalized exon I and IV mRNA levels were expressed as a ratio of those from retention control, vehicle-treated animals. mRNA levels were analyzed by two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-tests.
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