THERMAL & HYDROLOGIC INDICATOR ANALYSES



& THE FRESHWATER BIOLOGICAL TRAITS DATABASE

The views expressed in this talk are those of the author and they do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

INDICATORS

- Which taxa and metrics are most likely to be impacted by changes in temperature and hydrology?
- How will changes in taxa and assemblages affect state biomonitoring indices and biocriteria (e.g., will corrections need to be applied?)
- Will indices be robust, or will they need to be recalibrated?

METHODOLOGY – THERMAL INDICATOR ANALYSIS

- Compiled biological samples with instantaneous water temperature measurements
- July-October samples
- Final n = 5,999

Table 1. Number of samples by entity	ty and method.
Entity + method	Number of Samples
CTDEP_KN_2M2_Riffle	576
MEDEP_AS_RockBasket	367
NEWS_KN_Multihab	114
NHDES_AS_RockBasket	180
NHDES_KN_Riffle	9
NYDEC_KN_Riffle	3170
RIDEM_KN_RBP_ESS	228
USGS_Surber_nonsnag	41
VT_KN_Riffle	1428
WSA_KN_MultiHab	56

*we were unable to obtain water temperature data from MA DEP

- Several data sets splits for separate (test) analyses:
 - Single state datasets with >300 samples (CT, ME, VT, NY)
 - Random selection of 4000 samples as test set, remaining 1999 samples as validation set
- Compared multiple analytical methods
 - Weighted averaging, cumulative percentiles, linear regression models (LRM); quadratic logistic regression models (QLRM); and generalized additive models (GAM)
 - Best performers, 'weight of evidence' corroboration
 - Used ranks (relative order rather than actual temp values)
- Evaluated performance regression coefficients between macroinvertebrate-inferred and observed temperatures

INTERPRETATION OF TOLERANCE PLOTS

Curve shapes generally fall into three categories: increasing, decreasing, or unimodal.

In this sample plot, the taxon is a decreaser; this means that the probability of capturing it decreases as temperature increases.



The vertical red dotted line marks the 95th percentile cumulative probability derived from the **GAM model/full temperature range**; we considered this to be the environmental limit a taxon could tolerate (in this example = 16.5° C).

For more background on tolerance plots, see:

Yuan, Lester. 2006. Estimation and Application of Macroinvertebrate Tolerance Values. Report No. EPA/600/P-04/116F. National Center for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

INTERPRETATION OF DISTRIBUTION PLOTS



The distribution plots have some obvious gaps:

- We have very few MA samples in our dataset; this is because MA DEP could not provide water temperature data; if MA samples are shown, they are from the NEWS & WSA projects.
- Some plots (in particular species-level) are influenced by differences in taxonomic resolution across states (i.e. NH does not ID to species-level, thus no NH samples are shown in this plot)
- Some plots are influenced by taxonomic ambiguities – we lacked the resources to fully address these in this project.

SELECTION OF COLD, COOL & WARM INDICATOR TAXA

Considerations:

- Optima and tolerance values (lower tertiles)
- Agreement across models
- Capture probability (max value of at least 0.1)
- Proportion of points within confidence limits ('noise')
- Curve shape (mostly decreasers; a few unimodal)

This list is divided into 3 groups:

- Level 1- widespread (occur in ≥ 4 states): least 'noise,' strongest agreement across models
- Level 2 widespread (occur in ≥ 4 states): more noise, slightly less agreement across models
- **Limited distribution**: occur in < 4 states

COLD - Level 1



0.0

5

10

15

Temperature (° C)

20

30

25

Analysis run by Lei Zheng, Tetra Tech

COOL - Level 1



Analysis run by Lei Zheng, Tetra Tech

WARM - Level 1



Analysis run by Lei Zheng, Tetra Tech

THIS IS A FIRST STEP...

- This list represents an improvement over our pilot study results but should still be regarded as a starting point; we recommend that it be reviewed by regional experts and compared to results from other studies
- Results are based on observational data; associations do not imply causation
- A next step would be to consider temperature in combination with other factors (i.e. Poff et al. 2010 community types based on trait composition)
- Results are based on instantaneous data; as continuous temperature data become more widely available, it would be valuable to perform a similar exercise on statistics derived from those data (i.e. avg July temp)
- Our analyses exposed some taxonomic ambiguities; we recommend that efforts be made to resolve these issues at a regional level
- When possible, we recommend species-level IDs for all taxa on this list

DO SOMETHING SIMILAR FOR FISH?

METHODOLOGY – HYDROLOGIC INDICATOR ANALYSIS

Similar to thermal indicator analysis, except fewer data

Two datasets:

- NHDPlus stream/catchment layer.
- Indicators of Hydrologic Alteration (IHA) data from USGS gages located in proximity to biological sampling sites.

	CUMDRAINAG	SLOPE	MAFLOWU	MAVELU	BSFLMEAN	SLPMEAN
CUMDRAINAG	1.00					
SLOPE	-0.56	1.00				
MAFLOWU	1.00	-0.56	1.00			
MAVELU	0.78	-0.01	0.79	1.00		
BSFLMEAN	-0.10	-0.09	-0.09	-0.17	1.00	
SLPMEAN	0.02	0.32	0.02	0.26	-0.34	1.00

Spearman correlation analysis

Hydrologic parameters that were evaluated in the NHDPlus dataset

Abbrev Parameter	Description	Source
CUMDRAINAG	Cumulative drainage area in square kilometers at bottom of flowline	NHDPlus FlowlineAttributes
MAFLOWI	Mean annual flow in cubic feet per second (cfs) at bottom of flowline as computed	NHDPlus
MAILOWU	by Unit Runoff Method	FlowlineAttributes
MANELL	Mean annual velocity (fps) at bottom of flowline as computed by Unit Runoff	NHDPlus
MAVELU	Method	FlowlineAttributes
SLODE	Slope of flowline (m/m)	NHDPlus
SLUFE		FlowlineAttributes
BSFLMEAN	Mean baseflow index within NHDPlus local catchment based on a 1-kilometer raster (grid) dataset for the conterminous United States created by interpolating base-flow index (BFI) values estimated at U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) streamgages (Wolock 2003). Base flow is the component of streamflow that can be attributed to ground-water discharge into streams.	Olivero and Anderson 2008
SLPMEAN	Mean local catchment slope (terrestrial) based on the 2001 USGS NED 30m digital elevation model (http://ned.usgs.gov/)	Olivero and Anderson 2008

Hydrologic parameters that were evaluated in the IHA dataset

Abbrev Parameter	Description	Source
lgMAF	mean annual flow (cfs), based on calendar year	http://waterdata.usgs.gov/nw is/annual?referred_module=s w
lgX3daymax	annual maxima, 3-day mean (cfs)	IHA output
lgX3daymin	annual minima, 3-day mean (cfs)	IHA output
lgFallrate	median of all negative differences between consecutive daily values	IHA output
lgHigh1fall	median of high flow pulse event fall rates	IHA output
RBI*	Richards-Baker Flashiness Index (Baker et al. 2004). Flashiness reflects the frequency and rapidity of short term changes in streamflow, especially during runoff events. This index has low interannual variability, relative to most flow regime indicators, and thus greater power to detect trends.	R code output based on USGS daily streamflow data
lgBaseflow	Base flow index: 7-day minimum flow/mean flow for year	IHA output

Decreaser – Level 1

Order	Family	FinalID	Mean annual flow - 95th	Mean annual flow - 50th	Cum DrArea - 95th	- Cum DrArea - 50th
Odonata	Cordulegastridae	Cordulegastridae	36.9	1.5	39.6	1.6



Plot scale = transformed

Units

- Flow cubic feet per second (cfs)
- Cumulative drainage area km²

Decreaser – Level 1

Order	Family	FinalID	Mean annual Mean ann		Cum DrArea -	- Cum DrArea -
			flow - 95th	flow - 50th	95th	50th
Plecoptera	Nemouridae	Nemouridae	89.2	2.4	108.4	2.8



Plot scale = transformed

Units

- Flow cubic feet per second (cfs)
- Cumulative drainage area km²

Increaser

Order	Family	FinalID	Mean annual	Mean annual	Cum DrArea -	Cum DrArea -
			flow - 5th	flow - 50th	5th	50th
Ephemeroptera	Heptageniidae	Maccaffertium/Stenonema	1.7	294.1	2.0	390.7



Plot scale = transformed

Units

- Flow cubic feet per second (cfs)
- Cumulative drainage area km²

Increaser

Order	Family	FinalID	Mean annual	Mean annual	Cum DrArea -	Cum DrArea -
			flow - 5th	flow - 50th	5th	50th
Ephemeroptera	Heptageniidae	Maccaffertium/Stenonema	1.7	294.1	2.0	390.7



Plot scale = transformed

Units

- Flow cubic feet per second (cfs)
- Cumulative drainage area km²

TEMPERATURE & HYDROLOGY IN COMBINATION

5000 4500 o 4000 LRM_Full_50_lgCumDra 3500 0 0 0 3000 Cumulative 0 2500 drainage 0 0 0 2000 area 0 0 1500 0 о 1000 00 500 o 6 0 00 0000000 COM. 0 -500 10 12 16 18 20 22 24 14 Opt_WA **Thermal optima** Eukiefferella brevicalar Eukiefferella tirolensis Rhyacophila Isoperla

Chloroperlidae

Cumulative drainage area vs. thermal optima

Pentaneura Glossiphonia Macrostemum Physella Ablabesmyia Tricorythodes

Mean annual flow vs. thermal optima



Pentaneura Glossiphonia Macrostemum Physella Ablabesmyia Tricorythodes



Pentaneura Glossiphonia Macrostemum Physella Ablabesmyia Tricorythodes



X3 day maximum vs. thermal optima

Pseudocloen Stenacron Ablabesmyia Macrostemum Tricorythodes Ceraclea Pentaneura



Isoperla

Vannote's River Continuum Concept (RCC) (Vannote et al. 1980)



Traits-based "community types"

• Cluster Analysis

- Cold Stable (CS)
 - Cold stenothermal (temp)
 - Erosional obligates (runoff?)
 - Low disturbance traits (e.g., drift, dispersal)?
 - Clingers

- Warm Unstable (WU)

- Warm/cool eurythermal and warm eurythermal
- Depositional obligates
- More disturbance traits
- Intermediate (M)
 - intermediate



Poff et al. 2010

Freshwater Biological Traits Database EPA/600/R-11/038F | June 2012 | www.epa.gov

Freshwater Biological Traits Database



http://www.epa.gov/ncea/global/traits/

e e p

al Protection

Data Gathering

- Focused on lotic systems and North American macroinvertebrate taxa
- Traits data fit the following criteria:
 - published or otherwise well-documented by trustworthy sources
 - accessible
 - appropriate for the regions being studied
 - in a standardized format that could be analyzed or easily converted to a format that could be analyzed
 - ecologically relevant to the gradients being considered, environmentally sensitive, nonredundant, and statistically tractable (modeled after Vieira et al. 2006)

United States Environmental Protection Agency

LEARN THE ISSUES | SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY | LAWS & REGULATIONS | ABOUT EPA



OALL EPA OTHIS AREA Advanced Search

SEARCH

Please select one or more options to search.

(Note: when you remove the Taxa items, they will be appended at the end of the original list.)

TaxaList:			
Abedus		Add >>	
Abedus herberti	000		
Abedus indentatus			
Ablabesmyia		<< kemove	
Ablabesmyia annulata			
Ablabesmyia aspera			
Ablabesmyia cinctipes			
Ablabesmyia hauberi			
Ablabesmyia illinoensis	-		
State: (Optional)			
Alabama		Add >>	
Alaska	=		
Arizona			
Arkansas		<< Remove	
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
Florida	-		

QUESTIONS? COMMENTS?



Jen Stamp (Jen.Stamp@tetratech.com)