0  Mutation Selection Balance (very brief notes)

0.1  A general model of selection

Let’s look at a very general case where we let the fitnesses be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>AA</th>
<th>Aa</th>
<th>aa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative fitness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1-hs</td>
<td>1-s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here s is the selection coefficient against the aa homozygote. If s=1 then a is a homozygous lethal allele. If s=0 then alleles A and a are equal in terms of fitness. The coefficient “h” is the dominance coefficient. If h=0 then allele A is dominant. If h=1 then allele a is dominant. And if h=0.5 then the fitness of the heterozygote is exactly intermediate between the two homozygotes. If h is negative the heterozygote will actually have higher fitness than either homozygote.

Now, from equations 6.1 - 6.3

\[ p' = \frac{p^2 + pq(1-hs)}{\bar{w}} \]

\[ \bar{w} = p^2 + 2pq(1-hs) + q^2(1-s) \]

\[ \Delta p = \frac{p^2 + pq(1-hs)}{\bar{w}} - p \]

\( \Delta p \) is the expression we want to find.

If you are not interested in seeing the algebra you can skip directly to section 0.2. Otherwise read on!

To simplify this equation, we’ll multiply p by \( \frac{\bar{w}}{\bar{w}} \) so we can have a common dominator, then expand \( \bar{w} \) and start canceling terms.

\[ \Delta p = \frac{p^2 + pq(1-hs) - p\bar{w}}{\bar{w}} \]

It will first get uglier before we can simplify it:

\[ \Delta p = \frac{p^2 + pq(1-hs) - p\left[p^2 + 2pq(1-hs) + q^2(1-s)\right]}{\bar{w}} \]

Next expand the terms in parentheses:

\[ \Delta p = \frac{p^2 + pq - pqhs - p\left[p^2 + 2pq - 2pqhs + q^2 - q^2s\right]}{\bar{w}} \]
Notice that inside the [ ] there is \( p^2 + 2pq + q^2 \) which we know equals 1.
\[
\Delta p = \frac{p^2 + pq - pqhs - p[1 - 2pqhs - q^2s]}{w}
\]

We can also factor a p out of everything to get
\[
\Delta p = \frac{p[p + q - qhs] - [1 - 2pqhs - q^2s]}{w}
\]

Now remove the brackets, changing signs as needed. Also note that p+q=1 so
\[
\Delta p = \frac{p[1 - qhs - 1 + 2pqhs + q^2s]}{w}
\]

Here the +1 and -1 cancel. Also there is a +2pqhs and a −qhs so we get
\[
\Delta p = \frac{p(2pq - q)hs + q^2s}{w}
\]

Move the q and s out of the brackets to get
\[
\Delta p = \frac{pqs(2p - 1)h + q}{w}
\]

The term in parentheses, (2p-1), is equal to p+p-1 or p+(1-q)-1 or finally just (p-q) so
\[
\Delta p = \frac{pqs[p + q(1-h)]}{w}
\]

which is about as far as we can simplify it. It is still a bit complicated…

0.2 **Mutation-selection balance for a recessive mutant:**

To make more progress let’s look at the special case of a completely recessive mutant. In that case \( h=0 \).

Then \( w = p^2 + 2pq + q^2(1-s) \). Again there is \( p^2 + 2pq + q^2 \) (which equals 1) so \( w = 1 - sq^2 \) and equation 0.1 simplifies to
\[
\Delta p = \frac{spq^2}{1 - sq^2} \quad \text{eq. 0.2a}
\]

That one looks a bit easier!

As one allele increases in frequency the other must decrease by an equal amount so we can also write
\[
\Delta q = \frac{-spq^2}{1 - sq^2} \quad \text{eq. 0.2b}
\]

*Now here is a trick:* Because we expect the deleterious allele to remain quite rare in the population \( q^2 \) will be close to zero and the denominator of 0.2b will be close to 1.0. Also the frequency of the normal allele will be close to p=1. Therefore we can make a good approximation for the change in allele frequency as
\[
\Delta q = -sq^2 \quad \text{eq. 0.3}
\]
Selection against a deleterious recessive allele will decrease the frequency of that allele by that amount each generation.

At the same time mutations are always occurring. New alleles will be formed by mutation at some rate $\mu$ per generation. $\Delta q = +\mu$. Eventually there will be an equilibrium where the loss of alleles due to selection against the deleterious recessive is exactly balanced by the gain of alleles through new mutations.

At that equilibrium, $\mu = s q^2$.

The allele frequency at equilibrium will then be $\hat{q}^2 = \frac{\mu}{s}$ or

$$
\hat{q} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{s}} \quad \text{eq. 0.4}
$$

### 0.3 An example: cystic fibrosis.

Cystic fibrosis is a genetic disease caused by a defect in a particular protein, the CFTR ion transporter. One of the main symptoms of the disease is that patients have excess fluid in their lungs because of thick mucus secretions. Patients have difficulty breathing and are very susceptible to respiratory infections. Until very recently CF was a fatal disease and the life expectancy of patients with CF is still only about 30 years.

Despite its severity, Cystic Fibrosis is one of the more common genetic diseases. In the US the frequency of cystic fibrosis is about 1/3000 births.

Given the disease frequency, what is the allele frequency for the CF allele?

$q = \ldots$

One might ask why a genetic disease that is so severe persists in the population at all. Selection should be a potent force removing disease alleles from the human population. Can it be explained by a balance between selection and mutation?

For a recessive lethal $s=1$.

Using equation 0.4, what would the mutation rate have to be to produce the observed frequency of CF alleles in the US?

$\mu = \ldots$

Observed mutation rates for CF are on the order of $6 \times 10^{-7}$. Is mutation selection balance a reasonable explanation for the frequency of CF?
0.4 **Heterozygote advantage**

If mutation cannot explain the high frequency of the CF allele, then what other explanations are possible?

One possibility is that there is that the mutation may have a fitness advantage in heterozygotes. When the heterozygote has the highest fitness then neither allele will go to complete fixation. The allele frequency will equilibrate at an intermediate frequency.

We can determine that equilibrium from equation 0.1. To find that equilibrium set $\Delta p = 0$. There will be an equilibrium when either $p=0$, $q=0$, $s=0$, or $[ph + q(1-h)] = 0$ and it is the last possibility that is the interesting situation.

In that case $ph = -q(1-h)$.

Letting $p=1-q$ and simplifying, you can get

$h - qh = qh - q$

$h = 2qh - q$

$h = q(2h - 1)$ and finally

$\hat{q} = \frac{h}{2h - 1}$

You can also solve for $h$ to get

$h = \frac{\hat{q}}{2\hat{q} - 1}$

Now if the observed allele frequency of the CF allele is $q=0.018$, how big does the heterozygote advantage have to be in order to produce that equilibrium frequency? (Remember, $h$ will be negative if the heterozygote has higher fitness than the normal homozygote).

$h=____________$

A very slight fitness advantage of heterozygotes, on the order of 2%, would be enough to keep the CF allele in the population at the observed frequency.

There has been some speculation about possible advantages of the CF allele. There is some evidence that the CF allele may in fact provide partial protection against diarrheal diseases such as cholera (Gabriel et al. 1994) or typhoid fever (). That evidence comes from studies of mice. There is still no direct evidence for a heterozygote advantage in humans.
Gabriel et al (1994, Science 266:107-109) showed that mice that were heterozygous for the CFTR mutation accumulated less fluid in their intestine after they were inject with the cholera toxin.

Pier (2000, PNAS 97:8822-8828) showed that mice heterozygous for the CFTR mutation had fewer cells of the typhoid fever bacterium.