Reader in Public Opinion and Mass Communication

Third Edition

EDITED BY .

Morris Janowitz and Paul M. Hirsch



THE FREE PRESS

A Division of Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc.
NEW YORK

Collier Macmillan Publishers
LONDON

This classical orientation is exemplified here in the selections by Bryce and Lowell. Although speculative in tone, they raise many of the issue taken up by contemporary researchers in empirical studies. Bryce discussed the stages of public opinion formation, stressing the importance of opinion leaders, news organs, and public debate. He also expresses the view that most individuals do not have a consistent and enduring ideology. This same issue is still debated by researchers today, as we shall see in Section 3 in the exchange between Nie and Andersen and Bishop et al. Lowell offers a set of still-contemporary requirements for the emergence of effective public opinion, maintaining that a high degree of social integration and political legitimation are necessary for the formation of a meaningful public consent

The selections by Lasswell, Lippmann, and Katz are more concerned with social psychological aspects of public opinion. On several points, they are less optimistic than Bryce and Lowell in emphasizing individual pattern of affectivity and particularism, which impair the "rational" process of public discourse and opinion formation. For these theorists, impediments to the formation of intelligent public opinion should be analyzed at the level of individuals rather than that of social institutions. The problems they raise are inherent in the cognitive and affective limitations of human beings and therefore are less amenable to resolution through changes in social policy

In his varied approaches, Lasswell emphasizes personality factors. H discusses symbols that inspire collective allegiances, grounding his discussion in Freudian psychoanalysis, which explains collective identifications as re gressions to infantile affect. Here the meaning of Lasswell's famous "political personality" is clear: It entails the displacement of private affect onto public objects. The image is one in which politics becomes a ritual of obeisand to symbols "endowed with godlike attributes" in a "collective mission [which] is idealized."

Both Lippmann and Katz emphasize the positive functions of adaptive psychological mechanisms. Lippmann observes that while stereotypes dis tort, they also supply the cognitive information necessary for making a "hur ried and multifarious" world intelligible. In Katz's treatment the component of values and the relationships among values are formalized in an effor to present a social psychology of attitudes. He offers a typology of the functions of attitudes for individuals that moves toward a model of th relationships among values, social integration, and the larger political process This is the most contemporary of all the selections in this section. The reade public opinion develops is to be found in the attitudes of individuals" with Bryce's assertion that public opinion is neither "the aggregate of all that thought and said on a subject" nor "merely the views of the majority resent time, and how each stage grows out of the other. and decide whether or not Katz's view, tailored to the needs of present day empirical research, represents a shift in the way public opinion is concer tualized. In the next section, Kelman offers a potential resolution of the issue.

he Nature of Public Opinion

AMES BRYCE

NO COUNTRY is public opinion so powerful as in the United States: in country can it be so well studied. Before I proceed to describe how it gorks upon the government of the nation and the States, it may be proper consider briefly how it is formed, and what is the nature of the influence which it everywhere exercises upon government.

What do we mean by public opinion? The difficulties which occur in facussing its action mostly arise from confounding opinion itself with the gans whence people try to gather it, and from using the term to denote, cometimes everybody's views,—that is, the aggregate of all that is thought and said on a subject,—sometimes merely the views of the majority, the certicular type of thought and speech which prevails over other types.

The simplest form in which public opinion presents itself is when a sentiment spontaneously rises in the mind and flows from the lips of the average men upon his seeing or hearing something done or said. Homer presents this with his usual vivid directness in the line which frequently recurs in the Iliad when the effect produced by a speech or event is to be conveyed: And thus any one was saying as he looked at his neighbour." This phrase describes what may be called the rudimentary stage of opinion. It is the brevalent impression of the moment. It is what any man (not every man) sys, i.e. it is the natural and the general thought or wish which an occurrence may wish to compare Katz's maxim that "the raw material out of which wokes. But before opinion begins to tell upon government, it has to go chrough several other stages. These stages are various in different ages and countries. Let us try to note what they are in England or America at the

A business man reads in his newspaper at breakfast the events of the

Reprinted from The American Commonwealth, Vol. II (1900), pp. 247–254 (New York: Macmil-1960).

THEORIES OF PUBLIC OPINION

preceding day. He reads that Prince Bismarck has announced a policy of protection for German industry, or that Mr. Henry George has been nomi nated for the mayoralty of New York. These statements arouse in his min sentiments of approval or disapproval, which may be strong or weak according to his previous predilection for or against protection or Mr. Henry George and of course according to his personal interest in the matter. They rous also an expectation of certain consequences likely to follow. Neither the obe his own, he holds it rather because his acquaintances, his newspapers, sentiment nor the expectation is based on processes of conscious reasoningour business man has not time to reason at breakfast—they are merely impressional phrases, because he thinks that everybody else on his sions formed on the spur of the moment. He turns to the leading article in sions formed on the spur of the moment. He turns to the leading at the newspaper, and his sentiments and expectations are confirmed or weakend the newspaper, and his sentiments and expectations are confirmed or weakend the newspaper, and his sentiments and expectations are confirmed or weakend the newspaper, and his sentiments and expectations are confirmed or weakend the newspaper. according as he finds that they are or are not shared by the newspape and mutual action and reaction of the impressions of a multitude of individual writer. He goes down to his office in the train, talks there to two or three the interest of pure personal conviction, based on individual acquaintances, and perceives that they agree or do not agree with his own thinking, is but small. acquaintances, and perceives that they agree of do not agree of the lagree of the lagr of other newspapers which he glances at; their words further affect him with the previous education, habits of mind, accepted dogmas, religious of other newspapers which he giances at, the state down into a definite social affinities, notions of his own personal interest. No event, no speech view, which approves or condemns Prince Bismarck's declaration or the nome article, ever falls upon a perfectly virgin soil: the reader or listener is nation of Mr. George. Meanwhile a similar process has been going on it ways more or less biassed already. When some important event happens, the minds of others, and particularly of the journalists, whose business it is thich calls for the formation of a view, these pre-existing habits, dogmas, to discover what people are thinking. The evening paper has collected the clinities, help to determine the impression which each man experiences, and opinions of the morning papers, and is rather more positive in its forecast far are factors in the view he forms. But they operate chiefly in determining and positive in approval or condemnation and in prediction of consequences that his fellows, from his leaders, from the press. has begun to crystallize into a solid mass. This is the second stage. The Orthodox democratic theory assumes that every citizen has, or ought quished.

In examining the process by which opinion is formed, we cannot fail them has not analyzed. It is not that these nineteen persons are incapable

show small a part of the view which the average man entertains when to vote is really of his own making. His original impression was and perhaps shapeless: its present definiteness and strength are mainly to what he has heard and read. He has been told what to think, and by to think it. Arguments have been supplied to him from without, and introversy has imbedded them in his mind. Although he supposes his view party leaders all hold it. His acquaintances do the like. Each man believes side believes them, and of what each believes only a small part is his

opinions of the morning papers, and is factor more definite the first impression, and they operate enterly in determining of results. Next day the leading journals have articles still more definite the first impression, and they operate over many minds at once. They do and positive in approval or condemnation and in prediction of consequence are produce variety and independence: they are soon overlaid by the influences

debate and controversy begin. The men and the newspapers who approx have, thought out for himself certain opinions, i.e. ought to have a definite Mr. George's nomination argue with those who do not; they find out whether the defensible by arguments, of what the country needs, of what principles are friends and who opponents. The effect of controversy is to drive the applied in governing it, of the men to whose hands the government partisans on either side from some of their arguments, which are shown to the to be entrusted. There are persons who talk, though certainly very be weak; to confirm them in others, which they think strong; and to make the whole act, as if they believed this theory, which may be compared to them take up a definite position on one side. This is the third stage. The theory of some ultra-Protestants that every good Christian has or ought fourth is reached when action becomes necessary. When a citizen has have, by the strength of his own reason, worked out for himself from give a vote, he votes as a member of a party; his party prepossessions and Bible a system of theology. But one need only try the experiment of party allegiance lay hold on him, and generally stifle any doubts or repulsion to that representative of public opinion whom the Americans call he may feel. Bringing men up to the polls is like passing a steam roll the man in the cars," to realize how uniform opinion is among all classes over stones newly laid on a road; the angularities are pressed down, at people, how little there is in the ideas of each individual of that individuality an appearance of smooth and even uniformity is given which did not extend the had formed them for himself, how little solidity before. When a man has voted, he is committed: he has thereafter an interest and substance there is in the political or social beliefs of nineteen persons in backing the view which he has sought to make prevail. Moreover, opinion at of every twenty. These beliefs, when examined, mostly resolve themselves which may have been manifold till the polling, is thereafter generally twofor the prejudices and aversions, two or three prepossessions for only. There is a view which has triumphed and a view which has been value particular leader or party or section of a party, two or three phases or atchwords suggesting or embodying arguments which the man who repeats

of appreciating good arguments, or are unwilling to receive them. On the contrary, and this is especially true of the working classes, an audience pleased when solid arguments are addressed to it, and men read with mo relish the articles or leaflets, supposing them to be smartly written, which contain the most carefully sifted facts and the most exact thought. But the great mass of mankind in all places, public questions come in the thir or fourth rank among the interests of life, and obtain less than a third or fourth of the leisure available for thinking. It is therefore rather sentimen than thought that the mass can contribute, a sentiment grounded on a fe broad considerations and simple trains of reasoning; and the soundness an elevation of their sentiment will have more to do with their taking the stand on the side of justice, honour, and peace, than any reasoning the can apply to the sifting of the multifarious facts thrown before them, an to the drawing of the legitimate inferences therefrom.

It may be suggested that this analysis, if true of the uneducated, is no true of the educated classes. It is less true of that small class which in Europ specially occupies itself with politics; which, whether it reasons well or i does no doubt reason. But it is substantially no less applicable to the comme cial and professional classes than to the working classes; for in the forme as well in the latter, one finds few persons who take the pains, or have the leisure, or indeed possess the knowledge, to enable them to form an independent dent judgment. The chief difference between the so-called upper, or wealthic and the humbler strata of society is, that the former are less influenced sentiment and possibly more influenced by notions, often erroneous, of the to put the same thing in different words, we have been considering how own interest. Having something to lose, they imagine dangers to their propert a cablic opinion grows and spreads, as it were, spontaneously and naturally. or their class ascendency. Moving in a more artificial society, their sympathic but opinion does not merely grow; it is also made. There is not merely the are less readily excited, and they more frequently indulge the tendency themselves of persons; there is the active class, who occupy themselves cynicism natural to those who lead a life full of unreality and conventionalism crimarily with public affairs, who aspire to create and lead opinion. The

opinion from the higher, they have often been proved by the event to have there are, however, one or two points which must be noted, in order to been right and their so-called betters wrong (a fact sufficiently illustrate preciate the reflex action of the passive upon the active class. by the experience of many European countries during the last half-century may perhaps be explained by considering that the historical and scientification data on which the solution of a difficult political problem depends are real arrent event, a larger measure of individual prepossession, and of what the sort of education which is represented by a university degree, does not be the sort of education which is represented by a university degree, does not be the sort of education which is represented by a university degree, does not be the sort of education which is represented by a university degree, does not be the sort of education which is represented by a university degree, does not be the sort of education which is represented by a university degree, does not be the sort of education which is represented by a university degree, does not be the sort of education which is represented by a university degree, does not be the sort of education which is represented by a university degree, does not be the sort of education which is represented by a university degree. fit a man to handle these questions, and it sometimes fills him with a value. On the other hand, he has also a stronger motive conceit of his own competence which closes his mind to argument and the average citizen for keeping in agreement with his friends and his the accumulating evidence of facts. Education ought, no doubt, to enlighte a man; but the educated classes, speaking generally, are the property-holding the his influence and his position. He has a past, and is prevented, by the institutions impose, he has a faint appreciation of the curative power of from compromise between his individual tendencies and the general tendency which

and of the tendency which brings things right when men have been their own devices, and have learnt from failure how to attain success. the less-educated man a certain simplicity and openness of mind go some to compensate for the lack of knowledge. He is more apt to be influenced the authority of leaders; but as, at least in England and America, he is merally shrewd enough to discern between a great man and a demagogue, is more a gain than a loss.

While suggesting these as explanations of the paradox, I admit that it mains a paradox. The paradox is not in the statement, however, but in facts themselves. Nearly all great political and social causes have made their way first among the middle or humbler classes. The original impulse **hich has** set the cause in motion, the inspiring ideas that have drawn men it, have come from lofty and piercing minds, and minds generally belonging the cultivated class. But the principles and precepts these minds have divered have waxed strong because the common people received them gladly, hile the wealthy and educated classes have frowned on or persecuted them. me most striking instance of all is to be found in the early history of Christian-

The analysis, however, which I have sought to give of opinion applies by to the nineteen men out of twenty, and not to the twentieth. It applies what may be called passive opinion—the opinion of those who have no **pecial** interest in politics, or concern with them beyond that of voting, of who receive or propagate, but do not originate, views on public matters. The apparent paradox that where the humbler classes have differed processes which these guides follow are too well known to need description.

The man who tries to lead public opinion, be he statesman, journalist, lecturer, finds in himself, when he has to form a judgment upon any just as little known to the wealthy as to the poor. Ordinary education, ever be called political theory and doctrine, than belongs to the average sarty, because if he stands aloof and advances a view of his own, he may classes, and the possession of property does more to make a man time of seeming inconsistent, from departing from what he has previously than education does to make him hopeful. He is apt to underrate the power sid. He has a future, and dreads to injure it by severing himself ever so as well as the worth of sentiment; he overvalues the restraints which existing title from his party. He is accordingly driven to make the same sort of the average citizen makes. But he makes it more consciously, realizing far more distinctly the difference between what he would think, say, and do, left to himself, and what he says and does as a politician, who can be useful and prosperous only as a member of a body of persons acting together and professing to think alike.

Accordingly, though the largest part of the work of forming opinion done by these men,—whom I do not call professional politicians, because in Europe many of them are not solely occupied with politics, while in America the name of professionals must be reserved for another class,—we must no forget the reaction constantly exercised upon them by the passive majority Sometimes a leading statesman or journalist takes a line to which he find that the mass of those who usually agree with him are not responsive. He perceives that they will not follow him, and that he must choose between isolation and a modification of his own views. A statesman may sometime venture on the former course, and in very rare cases succeed in imposing his own will and judgment on his party. A journalist, however, is oblige to hark back if he has inadvertently taken up a position disagreeable to his clientèle, because the proprietors of the paper have their circulation to con sider. To avoid so disagreeable a choice a statesman or a journalist is usually on the alert to sound the general opinion before he commits himself on new issue. He tries to feel the pulse of the mass of average citizens; and a the mass, on the other hand, look to him for initiative, this is a delicate process. In European countries it is generally the view of the leaders which prevails, but it is modified by the reception which the mass give it; it become accentuated in the points which they appreciate; while those parts of it, those ways of stating it, which have failed to find popular favour, fall bad into the shade.

This mutual action and reaction of the makers or leaders of opinion upon the mass, and of the mass upon them, is the most curious part of the whole process by which opinion is produced. It is also that part in which there is the greatest difference between one free country and another. some countries, the leaders count for, say, three-fourths of the product, an the mass for one-fourth only. In others these proportions are reversed. I some countries the mass of the voters are not only markedly inferior education to the few who lead, but also diffident, more disposed to look u to their betters. In others the difference of intellectual level between the who busy themselves with politics and the average voter is far smaller. Perhan the leader is not so well instructed a man as in the countries first refere to; perhaps the average voter is better instructed and more self-confiden Where both of these phenomena coincide, so that the difference of level inconsiderable, public opinion will evidently be a different thing from wh it is in countries where, though the Constitution has become democrati the habits of the nation are still aristocratic. This is the difference between America and the countries of Western Europe.

ote

may be said that this has been so because the movements of the last halfcentury have been mostly movements in a democratic direction, which obtained the sympathy of the humbler classes because tending to break down the power and privilege which the upper classes previously enjoyed. This observation, however, does not meet all the cases, among which may be mentioned the attitude of the English working classes towards Italy from 1848 onwards, as well as their attitude in the American Civil War from 1861 to 1865, and in the Eastern Question from 1876 onwards, for in none of these instances had they any personal interest.