Minimalism in Art and Music: Origins and Aesthetics

The esteemed American musicologist **H. Wiley Hitchcock** (born 1923) has made contributions to musicology as a scholar, writer, editor, and educator. His finely crafted writings have shaped scholars' views of the sacred, secular, cultivated, vernacular, and experimental traditions of American music, among other subjects. A professor emeritus at Brooklyn College, where he founded the Institute for Studies in American Music, he has also taught at the University of Michigan and Hunter College, received numerous awards and grants for his scholarly work, and been a prominent member of several learned societies. He edited the Prentice Hall History of Music Series (of which his *Music in the United States* is in its third edition) as well as many other publications and edi-

Hitchcock: Minimalism in Art and Music: Origins and Aesthetics

tions of music, including *Earlier American Music, Recent Researches in American Music,* and *The New Crove Dictionary of American Music* (as co-editor). He has also written books on a wide range of subjects, including Charles Ives, Marc-Antoine Charpentier, and the history of the phonograph. In "Minimalism in Art and Music," a lecture originally given at the College Art Association in the late 1980s, Hitchcock traces the antecedents, contributions, aesthetics, and reception of four major figures of minimalist music: La Monte Young, Terry Riley, Steve Reich, and Philip Glass. He traces the development of minimalist music in the United States—including its roots in serial techniques, aleatoric processes, jazz, technological advances, and music of non-Western cultures—and draws striking parallels to minimalist painting and sculpture.

Minimalism in the visual arts was a well-recognized movement by the mid-1960s.¹ Musical minimalism, however, came to public consciousness only in the late 1970s: two landmarks, both dating from 1976, were the opera by Philip Glass and Robert Wilson *Einstein on the Beach*, which had a *succès d'estime*, selling occasion), and Steve Reich's *Music for 18 Musicians*, the recording of which sold more than 20,000 copies within a year. Only in the 1980s, moreover, did the mass media or the musical establishment pay much attention to musical minimalism, let alone acknowledge it as a force of significance. Even critics and historians were slow to acknowledge its existence.² But in fact minimalist music had arisen at about the same time as minimal art, and the music was in many ways aesthetically and stylistically similar to the art. My aim here is to sketch the rise of minimalist music, then to explore some analogies between it and minimal art.

The musical stage had been set by various vanguard trends in the 1950s, in two major camps.³ One camp was occupied by adherents of the chromatic, twelve-tone (or serial) composition methods of Arnold Schoenberg and Anton Webern; Milton Babbitt was its American company commander. The other camp was occupied by adherents of the random, chance, and aleatory methods of John Cage, especially a group of New York composers including the late Morton Feldman and Earle Brown. Important in the background of minimalist music were

1. Perhaps the first exhibition devoted exclusively to what came to be called minimal art was the one selected and hung in October 1964 by critic Eugene Goossen at the Hudson River Museum in Yonkers, New York. (Goossen's catalogue of the exhibition, which was called simply "8 Young Artist," is reprinted in *Minimal Art: A Critical Anthology*, ed. Gregory Battocock (New York: E.P. Dutton, 1968], pp. 165–169.) One of the first essays on minimal art (and apparently the first to use that term) was Barbara Rose's "A B C Art," published in the October–November 1965 issue of *Art* in *America* (reprinted in *Minimal Art*, pp. 274–297). Daniel Mendelowitz had not mentioned minimalism in the (reprinted in *Minimal Art*, pp. 274–297). Daniel Mendelowitz had not mentioned minimalism in the the "Minimal Painting" and also discussed minimal sculpture as such.

2. For example, neither Eric Salzman, in his Twentieth-Century Music: An Introduction (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice Hall, 1967), nor myself, in Music in the United States: A Historical Introduction (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice Hall, 1969), mentioned minimalism in music; in our second editions (both 1974) we did.

3. An especially sympathetic overview is that of John Rockwell in his All American Music: Composition in the Late Twentieth Century (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1983).

248, no. 5 (May 1981), pp. 48–56.	 Another important early minimalist was Terry Jennings (1940–1981), but he dropped out of sight after the mid-1960s, well before his premature death. See Ben Patterson, "Terry Jennings: Making of a Musician," The Village Voice (January 11, 1968), p. 24. See, for example, Robert Palmer, "A Father Figure for the Avant-Garde," The Atlantic Monthly 	tems, were confirmed by lengthy study with Indian master Pandit Pran Nath.	Composition 1960 #7: " $\frac{1}{2}$ to be held for a long time." His focus on drones, and an increasing preoccupation with just intonation and non-tempered tuning sys-	tence "Draw a straight line and follow it." Young was also showing interest in mu- sic based almost exclusively on long-sustained tones or drones—for example,	fifty-minute work. By 1960 he had been influenced by Cage and was making such pieces as <i>Composition 1960 #10</i> , the score of which consists only of the sen-	the mid-1900s ne began to introduce into his post-webern serial compositions unusually long-held notes and lengthy silences; his <i>Trio for Strings</i> of 1958 was even more static: Only four notes are introduced in the first five minutes of this	La Monte Young is often claimed to be the earliest minimalist composer. ⁵ In	Keich, and Philip Glass; all were born in the mid-1930s. (1 might emphasize that it is these four composers <i>only</i> , and chiefly their radical early minimalist works,	ent personalities. The early leaders were La Monte Young, Terry Riley, Steve	of the 1950s in order to recognize the common ground among the founding fig-	phies, and states of altered consciousness achieved through meditation or drugs.	manipulate, alter, repeat, and adjust them at will.) Also important was a general interest in developing cultures—particularly Asian—and their religions, philoso-	directly, in a concrete physical sense, with the materials of composition, and to	based on very different principles of structure and continuity from those of the Western tradition. (Tape-recording techniques allowed composers to work	new recording media; these made available an unprecedented range of music, including much non-Western music—Indian, African, Indonesian—that was	to a nonstop jet flight, and that led to the long-playing disc and magnetic tape as	its powerful, insistent pulse and its cyclical variation-like structure. Lechnology counted for a lot—especially the technology that had reduced much of the world	their viewers' environment). Elements of jazz, too, were important, particularly	caught their attention (as Robert Rauschenberg's contemporaneous white can-	Cage wiped the slate absolutely clean with his 4.33° of 1952, the "silent" piece that invited the audience simply to listen—to anything in the environment that	ume and slow-motion rhythms. Also important was Cage's pretiguration of con- cept art, in which the <i>concept</i> of a work is as significant as the work itself. And	the post-Webernites' tendency to isolate single tones and give them a microcos- mic identity all their own; or Feldman's continuous, murmuring low-level vol-	the <i>reductive</i> tendencies in the music of some of these composers—for example,	
Glass).	pp. 220–230. 8. See the autobiographical chapter "Apprenticeship of Sorts" in Philip Glass, <i>Music by Philip</i> 8. See the autobiographical chapter "Apprenticeship of Sorts" in Philip Glass, <i>Music by Philip</i> <i>Class</i> , ed. Robert T. Jones (New York: Harper & Row, 1987), pp. 3–56; see also Rockwell, <i>All</i> <i>American Music</i> , chap. 9 ("The Orient, the Visual Arts & the Evolution of Minimalism: Philip	 (March/April 1989), pp. 341-553. 7. See K. Robert Schwarz, "Steve Reich: Music as a Gradual Process," <i>Perspectives of New Music</i> 19, no. 1–2 (Fall-Winter 1980), pp. 374–392; 20, no. 1–2 (Fall-Winter 1981/Spring-Summer 1982), 	o, see Joet Avonascua, Acty Amery, Source, Style Emerges," Musical America (March 1986), Barbara, "Terry Riley: After a Quiet Decade, a New Style Emerges," Musical America (March 1986), pp. 12–13; and Edward Strickland, "In C-erra: An Interview with Terry Riley," Fanfare 11, no. 4	6 See Toel Bothstein "Terry Riley." Down beat 48, no. 5 (May 1981), pp 26–28, 63; Joan La	<i>Two Pages</i> (originally titled <i>two rages for sheve tweet</i>), for two anti-finite <i>two rages</i> board instruments (1968). The radicalism of these works lay in their rejection of <u>inclusion of circle-line melody</u> —mononhony—or two-note parallel-	melodic cells—varying the repetitions, however, by occasional additions or sub- tractions of units within them, as in <i>Strung Out</i> , for amplified violin (1967), and	Philip Glass ^a —after a crucial encounter in Paris with the Indian music of the great sitar player Ravi Shankar—also began building pieces by repetition of	magnetic tape but a purtuent construction of recent former that was in many making on one hand and, on the other, a final musical outcome that was in many details inforeseeable and impersonal	these works were not only the new possibilities opened up to composition using	moves ahead of the other. Early works by Reich based on this technique were <i>It's</i> Gonna Rain (1965), Come Out (1966), and Violin Phase (1967). Important in	phrases, at first played by two sound-sources together and simultaneously ('in phase"), gradually get "out of sync"—out of phase—as one part ever so slowly	sition based on "phase-shift" patterns—created when identical, repeated	ter). Partly influenced by Riley's work, partly by accident when fooling around	modular patterns (at a time when ne, like Kiley, was working, along with outer innovative composers, at the recently organized San Francisco Tape Music Cen-	Steve Reich ⁷ also became interested in music based on the repetition of brief	a steady, continuous pulse (heard nakedly, drummed on the top two Cs of the ninno keyhoard to begin the nince): these latter aspects are iazz-related.	anathema to Cage at the time—and by a strictly controlled rhythm, governed by	tion, are variable and unpredictable—which may suggest a Cage-derived	players have completed their run-through of the 50-odd melodic figures. The to- tal length of $In C$ and its moment-to-moment details, as well as its instrumenta-	times as he wishes before moving on to the next one; the work ends when all the	mai early initialities works, his in or 1001 instruments. Each musician runs through a se- musicians, playing any melodic instruments. Each musician runs through a se-	himself partly as a jazz pianist, and his jazz background fed into one of the semi-	Terry Riley ⁶ was an associate of Young on the West Coast and later in New	

.

53

T

.

 Writings About Music, pp. 9, 11. Quoted in Kostelanetz, "Two Tonal Composers," Breaking the Sound Barrier, ed. Gregory Battcock (New York: E.P. Dutton, 1981), pp. 284-299. Quoted in Gagne and Caras, Soundpieces, p. 214. Quoted in Joseph Roddy, "Listening to Glass," R[ockefeller] F[oundation] Illustrated 5, no. 2 (May 1981), pp. 12-13. Ibid. Specific Objects," quoted in Rosalind Krauss, Passages in Modern Sculpture (New York: Viking Press, 1977), p. 254. 	[He] uses beams as if they were brushstrokes, imitating movement, as Franz Kline did. The material never has its own movement. A beam thrusts; a piece of iron follows a gesture; together they form a naturalistic and anthropomor- phic image. ³²	And Glass emphasizes that in his music there is a "focus on structure rather than on theme." ³¹ This makes one think of Donald Judd's critique of the sculpture of	on this idea: You can see what I have in mind in the [historical] development of the vio- lin concerto. There, the solo instrument becomes more and more the alter ego of the composer. And the listener identifies with it, too, as the instrument experiences happy moments and sad moments until there is a triumphal end All that narrative structure is gone from my music. ³⁰	Glass has referred to his early work as "intentionless music," music that does not set out to make "a calculated effect. It does not paint a picture." ²⁹ For him, "what sets the music apart is the fact that it's non-narrative" ²⁹ ; elsewhere, he elaborated	performing and listening to gradual musical processes a liberating and impersonal kind of ritual. Focusing in on the musical process makes possible that shift of attention away from <i>he</i> and <i>she</i> and <i>you</i> and <i>me</i> outwards toward <i>it</i> . ²⁷	The minimalist composers liked the impersonality, the "anti-expression," and the lack of storytelling of their repetitive process music. Reich wrote, with evi- dent satisfaction, that "once the process is set up and loaded it runs by itself," and said that he found	be perceived gradually'; they are works of utter repetitive regularity—"no mys- tery in their construction" but of such complexity of details that they have "an al- most atmospheric quality," and one in which "the artist could not have foreseen the shower of detail that his procedures produce." This is a perfect description of many minimalist music compositions of the 1960s.	MUSIC AMONG THE ARTS Baker makes the point that viewing these works "demands time, and [they] must
 Interview with Judd and Stella conducted by Bruce Claser, broadcast on WBAI-FM, New York, February 1964, under the title "New Nihilism or New Art?"; published as "Questions to Stella and Judd," ed. Lucy R. Lippard, Art News (September 1966), pp. 55–61; reprinted in Minimal Art, pp. 148–164. References herein are to the last-named version. Quoted in Kostelanetz, The Theatre of Mixed Means, p. 197. "Questions to Stella and Judd." Quoted in Gagne and Carus, Soundpieces, p. 215. Writings About Music, p. 11. "The Originality of the Avant-Garde," October 18 (Fall 1981), reprinted in Krauss, The Originality of the Avant-Garde and Other Modernist Myths (Cambridge: MIT Press, 1985), pp. 151–172. 	alent in sculpture, the repetitive cubic or other polylicural informer-functions, of course, to divide space into evenly repeated segments. The musical equivalent to space is time, and the musical minimalists' equivalent of the grid is the re- peated musical module, whether of rhythm alone or of pitch combined with rhythm to form a melodic or a harmonic pattern. The minimalists' module has	tobiographical, and nonstorytelling art. She cites the grid's "absolute stasis its lack of hierarchy, of center, of inflection its anti-referential character, [and] its hostility to narrative." ³⁸ The grid in painting—or the three-dimensional equiv-	Glass said analogously of his music that it invited a new mode of listening—"one in which neither memory nor anticipation have a place in sustaining the reality of the musical experience." ³⁶ Reich spoke of a kind of concentrated listen- ing "where one hears the details of the sound moving out away from intentions, occurring for their own acoustic reasons." ³⁷ The art critic Rosalind Krauss has pointed to the grid as a figure especially useful to minimal artists in their pursuit of a nonnarrative, nonrelational, nonau-	The basis of their whole idea is balance. You do something in one corner and you balance it with something in the other corner but we use symmetry in a different way. It's nonrelational. ³⁵	over to it to such a degree—that what's happening is the sound all [one is] is an element of the sound." ³⁴ Minimalist music is also nonrelational, like minimal art. Stella contrasted American minimal art with European geometric painting, saying:	c is similar _a Monte Y [conce	People who want to retain the old values in painting \ldots always end up asserting that there is something there besides the paint on the canvas. [But] my painting is based on the fact that only what can be seen there is there. It really is an object. ³³	Hitchcock: <i>Minimalism in Art and Music: Origins and Aesthetics</i> Stella, too, rejected the programmatic approach in his art:

 39. "Questions to Stella and Judd." 40. Writings About Music, p. 9. He contrasted his ideally audible processes with processes as used by other composers: "John Cage has used processes but the processes he used were compositional ones that could not be heard when the piece was performed Similarly in serial music, the series itself is seldom audible" (<i>Ibid.</i>, p. 10). 41. A History of American Art, 2nd ed. (New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1970), p. 456. 42. Twentieth-Century Music, 2nd ed. (1970), p. 456. 	 nothing to do with more traditional kinds of musical divisions of time—beats, measures, the division of measures into "strongly" and "weakly" accented portions, the build-up of measures into "strongly" and "weakly" accented portions, the build-up of measures into strongly. But the minimalist module itself, like the grid, is foreground – usually melody). But the minimalist module itself, like the grid is foreground (usually melody). But the minimalist module itself, like the grid is foreground – usually melody. But the minimalist module itself, like the grid is foreground – usually melody. But the minimalist module itself, like the grid is foreground – surface and subject alike (though it can sometimes be both foreground in much minimalist music of the 1960s and "70s. Even when there is a considerable density of texture, as in Riley's A Rainbow in Curreed Air (1969), or as builds up in most of Reich's works, especially such alge-ensemble piaces continuously with background, in an aural equivalent of optical seems all on the surface, like that of a complexity patterned rug, or foreground seems to trade places continuously with background of functional (hierarcliy in the cubic modular and the multiple tetrahedrons of Robert Morris—find musical equivalent in the lack of traditional tonality and of functional (hierarclical) harmony, and of so-called "accompaniment" in most minimal music. Let me suggest a final analogy between minimal satt music: accessibility Accessibility was a conscious goal of some entiminalist. Stella said "AII want anyone to get out of my painting What you can see the whole idea without any contasion What you see is what you see." a Rich whole idea without any contision What you see is what you see. "a Rich foreground of esceptible process happening throughout the sounding music." on the you can see the whole idea without any conscious goal of some entiminalist. Scella said "AII want anyon to get out of my painting Is the fact that you can see the who	MUSIC AMONG THE ARTS
43. See also William Hellermann's <i>To the Last Drop</i> (1974), depicting cascades of melodic lines, precisely and conventionally notated on staves, gushing torrentially from the mouth of an open, tilted bottle, reproduced in <i>The New Crove Dictionary of American Music</i> , ed. H. Wiley Hitchcock and Stanley Sadie (London: Macmillan, 1986), s.v. "Notation." With such pieces as these we arrive perhaps at the end of the road in another sense, too: minimalist music <i>become</i> minimalist art, just as with certain "sound sculptures"—by, for example, Harry Bertoia or Bill Fontana—we cross the border between art and music.	 performers, performance artists, dancers, and pop and rock musicians were drawn to it—not to mention a huge new audience, large enough to sell out Carnegie Hall and other such venues for entire one-man shows of minimalist tended to be abandroned by its major originators in favor of an expansive postminimalism (dubbed maximalism by critic John Rockwell)—rather like the development of some minimal artists such as Frank Stella. On the other hand, some yourger composers have driven minimalism to a logical conclusion by reducing the sound of their music to a near-zero level, as in fact zero level, as in <i>Note Operz</i> of 1972 (which employs only four pitches), or in fact zero level, as in prefatory comments, "in purely visual form—their purest form, [which] leaves you to imagine arral parallels for yourself."⁴³ Published by permission of the author. Capyright © 1996 by H. Wiley Hitchoock. 	Hitchcock: Minimalism in Art and Music: Origins and Aesthetics

from "Music as a Gradual Process," by Steve Reich

I do not mean the process of composition, but rather pieces of music that are, literally, processes.

The distinctive thing about musical processes is that they determine all the note-to-note (sound-to-sound) details and the overall form simultaneously. (Think of a round or infinite canon.)

I am interested in perceptible processes. I want to be able to hear the process happening throughout the sounding music.

To facilitate closely detailed listening a musical process should happen extremely gradually.

Performing and listening to a gradual musical process resembles:

pulling back a swing, releasing it, and observing it gradually come to rest;

turning over an hour glass and watching the sand slowly run through the bottom;

placing your feet in the sand by the ocean's edge and watching, feeling, and listening to the waves gradually bury them.

Though I may have the pleasure of discovering musical processes and composing the musical material to run through them, once the process is set up and loaded it runs by itself.

•••

The use of hidden structural devices in music never appealed to me. Even when all the cards are on the table and everyone hears what is gradually happening in a musical process, there are still enough mysteries to satisfy all. These mysteries are the impersonal, unattended, psycho-acoustic by-products of the intended process.

•••

While performing and listening to gradual musical processes one can participate in a particular liberating and impersonal kind of ritual. Focusing in on the musical process makes possible that shift of attention away from *he* and *she* and *you* and *me* outwards towards *it*.