

Math 255 – Spring 2022  
Solving  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$

Contents

<b>1 Lifting</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Solving <math>x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}</math> for <math>p</math> odd</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Solving <math>x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^k}</math></b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4 Solving <math>x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}</math> for general <math>n</math></b>	<b>9</b>

**1 Lifting**

We begin by recalling the definition of a lift of  $a \pmod{d}$ , since we will need it throughout. Note that we covered this in class on February 28 if you would like to review it.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $n$  and  $d$  be two integers such that  $d$  divides  $n$ . Then  $b$  modulo  $n$  is a lift of  $a$  modulo  $d$  if

$$a \equiv b \pmod{d}.$$

A fixed congruence class  $a$  modulo  $d$  has  $\frac{n}{d}$  different lifts modulo  $n$ , and they are given by

$$x \equiv a + dr \pmod{n}, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{d} - 1$$

**Example 1.2.** Let  $n = 54$  and  $d = 6$ . Then  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$  (so here  $a = 2$ ) has  $\frac{54}{6} = 9$  lifts modulo 54, and they are

$$x \equiv 2, 8, 14, 20, 26, 32, 38, 44, 50 \pmod{54}.$$

Note that all of these integers are different modulo 54, but they are all the same modulo 6.

**2 Solving  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$  for  $p$  odd**

We begin with a proposition. This is the only time we will consider the case of  $\gcd(a, p) > 1$ :

**Proposition 2.1.** *The equation*

$$x^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

*where  $p$  is any prime, has the unique solution  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .*

*Proof.* The only zero divisor in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is 0. Therefore, if a product is 0, one of the factors must be 0, from which it follows that  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ . The equation

$$x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$$

either

- has no solution if  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1$ ; or
- has 2 solutions  $x_1$  and  $-x_1$  if  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$  has a solution, say we call it  $b$ , then  $b$  is also a solution to  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ , by simply “reducing more.” (Alternatively we can argue that if  $p^k$  divides  $b^2 - a$ , then  $p$  divides  $b^2 - a$  as well.) Therefore if  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$  has a solution, then so does  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ . The contrapositive of this statement is that if  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$  does not have a solution, then  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$  does not have a solution. This takes care of proving the first bullet point: If  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1$ , then  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$  does not have a solution and  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$  does not either.

The next thing to show is that if  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$ , that is, if  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$  has a solution, then so does  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$ . We will prove this later by showing how to “lift” a solution to  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$  to a solution to  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$ , so we skip this for now.

It remains thus only to show that if  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$  has a solution, then it has exactly two solutions. Suppose thus that  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$  has a solution, say  $x \equiv x_1 \pmod{p^k}$ . We can easily show that  $-x_1$  is also a solution of this equation, since  $(-x_1)^2 \equiv x_1^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$ , and  $x_1 \not\equiv -x_1 \pmod{p^k}$  since  $p$  is odd and  $x_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ . Therefore it remains to show that this is the only other solution of this equation. We note, as we will need it later, that if  $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ , then also  $\gcd(x_1, p) = 1$ , because if that were not the case then certainly  $\gcd(a, p)$  would also be greater than 1, since  $x_1^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ .

Let  $b$  be any other solution of the equation  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$ . Then we have that

$$x_1^2 - b^2 \equiv (x_1 - b)(x_1 + b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}.$$

Since  $p^k$  is not a prime, we cannot conclude yet that  $p^k$  divides  $x_1 - b$  or  $p^k$  divides  $x_1 + b$ ; we must show it. Therefore, for a contradiction assume that there is  $\ell$  be such that  $p^\ell$  divides  $x_1 - b$  and  $p^{k-\ell}$  divides  $x_1 + b$ , with both  $\ell$  and  $k - \ell$  positive. We’ll write  $x_1 - b = sp^\ell$  and  $x_1 + b = tp^{k-\ell}$ , for  $s$  and  $t$  integers. From this it follows, with some arithmetic manipulations, that

$$2b = tp^{k-\ell} - sp^\ell.$$

Since both  $\ell$  and  $k - \ell$  are positive,  $p$  divides the right hand side of the equation above. However, we have that  $\gcd(2, p) = 1$ , since  $p$  is odd and  $\gcd(b, p) = 1$  since  $b$  is a solution of  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ , with  $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ . Therefore  $\gcd(2b, p) = 1$ , and we have a contradiction.

It must thus be the case that either  $\ell = 0$ , in which case  $p^k$  divides  $x_1 + b$ , which we can write as  $x_1 + b \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ , or  $b \equiv -x_1 \pmod{p^k}$ . Otherwise,  $\ell = k$ , in which case  $p^k$

divides  $x_1 - b$ , and it follows that  $b \equiv x_1 \pmod{p^k}$ . This proves that the only possibilities for  $b$  a solution of  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$  are for  $b \equiv \pm x_1 \pmod{p^k}$ . □

We now turn our attention to finding the two solutions when they exist. The idea behind solving the equation is similar to induction:

1. We first solve the equation  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$  (the “base case”)
2. Given a solution to  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^j}$ , we compute a solution to  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^{j+1}}$  (the “induction step”). We repeat this step, lifting our solution from modulo  $p$  to modulo  $p^2$  to modulo  $p^3$ , until we get to the  $p^k$  that is our target.

The “base case” in our class will always be easy, either because  $p$  is small or because the equation is  $x^2 \equiv 1, 4, 9, 16 \dots \pmod{p}$  (which have a solution in the integers which also works modulo any prime  $p$ ). We focus here on the lifting (or “induction”) step.

Assume that we have a solution  $x_0$  such that  $x_0^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^j}$ . Then we look for a lift of  $x_0 \pmod{p^j}$  to  $x_1 \pmod{p^{j+1}}$  that satisfies  $x_1^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^{j+1}}$ . Concretely, this gives us the following two equations:

1. The “lifting equation”

$$x_1 = x_0 + p^j y_0,$$

which ensures that  $x_1 \pmod{p^{j+1}}$  is a lift of  $x_0 \pmod{p^j}$ ,

2. and the equation

$$x_1^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^{j+1}},$$

which is the equation we are trying to solve.

Plugging the first equation into the second we get

$$\begin{aligned} a &\equiv (x_0 + p^j y_0)^2 \pmod{p^{j+1}} \\ &\equiv x_0^2 + 2x_0 p^j y_0 + p^{2j} y_0^2 \pmod{p^{j+1}} \\ &\equiv x_0^2 + 2x_0 p^j y_0 \pmod{p^{j+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that our unknown here is  $y_0$ . This is a linear equation in  $y_0$ . Furthermore, this equation can be shown to always have a unique solution  $y_0 \pmod{p}$ : Indeed we have

$$2x_0 p^j y_0 \equiv a - x_0^2 \pmod{p^{j+1}}.$$

Since  $x_0^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^j}$ ,  $a - x_0^2$  is divisible by  $p^j$  (this is, after all, the definition of what it means to be congruent). We also have that  $\gcd(2x_0 p^j, p^{j+1}) = p^j$ , since  $\gcd(2x_0, p) = 1$  ( $p$  is odd, and  $x_0$  cannot be divisible by  $p$  and be a solution to  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^j}$  if  $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ ). Therefore we can divide all the way through by  $p^j$  and find the unique solution to

$$2x_0 y_0 \equiv \frac{a - x_0^2}{p^j} \pmod{p}$$

by multiplying both sides of the equation by  $(2x_0)^{-1} \pmod{p}$  (which exists since  $\gcd(2x_0, p) = 1$ , as argued above).

### 3 Solving $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^k}$

We note that Proposition 2.1 still applies. Since  $\gcd(a, 2) = 1$  implies that  $a$  is odd, we now restrict to this case. Our main result when  $p = 2$  is the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $a$  be odd. Then we have the following:*

1. *The equation*

$$x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2}$$

*has the unique solution  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .*

2. *The equation*

$$x^2 \equiv a \pmod{4}$$

*either*

- *has no solution if  $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ; or*
- *has two solutions  $x \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$  if  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .*

3. *When  $k \geq 3$ , the equation*

$$x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^k}$$

*either*

- *has no solution if  $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ ; or*
- *has four solutions  $x_1, -x_1, x_1 + 2^{k-1}, -(x_1 + 2^{k-1})$  if  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ .*

*Proof.* One begins by checking explicitly the first two parts of the theorem, and finally by checking that  $1^2 \equiv 3^2 \equiv 5^2 \equiv 7^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , and therefore  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{8}$ , when  $\gcd(a, 2) = 1$ , has a solution if and only if  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . Then one may argue that if  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^k}$  for  $k \geq 3$  has a solution, then this implies that  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , by “reducing the equation more” until it is an equation modulo 8, as we did in the  $p$  odd case.

The next thing to show is that if  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  then  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^k}$  has a solution if  $k \geq 3$ . As we did when  $p$  is odd, we will prove this later by showing how to “lift” a solution to  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{8}$  to a solution to  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^k}$ , so we skip this for now.

This leaves us with the task of proving that if  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^k}$  has a solution  $x_1$ , for  $\gcd(a, 2) = 1$  and  $k \geq 3$ , then the only solutions of the equation are  $x_1, -x_1, x_1 + 2^{k-1}$  and  $-(x_1 + 2^{k-1})$ . We begin by showing that these are all solutions of the equation. Assuming that it is clear that if  $b$  is a solution to the equation then  $-b$  is also a solution, it suffices to prove that  $x_1 + 2^{k-1}$  is also a solution of  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^k}$  if  $x_1$  is a solution. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 + 2^{k-1})^2 &\equiv x_1^2 + 2^k x_1 + 2^{2k-2} \pmod{2^k} \\ &\equiv x_1^2 \pmod{2^k} \\ &\equiv a \pmod{2^k}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $2k - 2 \geq k$  if  $k \geq 2$ .

We now show that these are the only solutions: Suppose that  $x_1^2 \equiv b^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^k}$  for  $a$  odd and  $k \geq 3$ . Then we have as before that  $2^k$  divides  $(x_1 - b)(x_1 + b)$ . Since 2 is a prime, there must be an integer  $\ell \leq k$  such that  $2^\ell$  divides  $x_1 - b$  and  $2^{k-\ell}$  divides  $x_1 + b$ . Note first that if  $\ell = 0$  or  $\ell = k$ , we get that  $x_1 \equiv -b \pmod{2^k}$  or  $x_1 \equiv b \pmod{2^k}$ , respectively. So suppose that  $\ell$  is positive and strictly less than  $k$ . Using the same trick as when  $p$  is odd, we have then that

$$2b = 2^{k-\ell}s - 2^\ell t.$$

The right hand side is even, so we can cancel 2 from both sides to get

$$b = 2^{k-\ell-1}s - 2^{\ell-1}t.$$

Now  $b$  is odd since it is a solution of  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^k}$  for  $a$  odd. Therefore it must be the case that either  $\ell = 1$  or  $\ell = k - 1$ . In the first case,  $b = -x_1 + 2^{k-1}s$ , which is either  $b \equiv -x_1 \pmod{2^k}$  or  $b \equiv -x_1 + 2^{k-1} \equiv -x_1 - 2^{k-1} \pmod{2^k}$ , depending on whether  $s$  is even or odd. In the second case,  $b = x_1 - 2^{k-1}t$ , which is either  $b \equiv x_1 \pmod{2^k}$  or  $b \equiv x_1 + 2^{k-1} \pmod{2^k}$ , depending on whether  $t$  is even or odd. In any case we see that if  $b^2 \equiv x^2 \pmod{2^k}$ , then either  $b \equiv x_1 \pmod{2^k}$ ,  $b \equiv -x_1 \pmod{2^k}$ ,  $b \equiv x_1 + 2^{k-1} \pmod{2^k}$ , or  $b \equiv -(x_1 + 2^{k-1}) \pmod{2^k}$ .  $\square$

Since the cases of  $k = 1$  and  $k = 2$  are completely covered by the Theorem, we focus on the case of  $k \geq 3$  and turn our attention to giving the four solutions in that case. The idea is identical to the one we used for  $p$  odd, except that we must modify the lifting step slightly. The base case is also easier.

1. We first solve the equation  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{8}$ . Note that if there is a solution, then  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , and therefore the “base case” is always solving  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . This has solutions  $x \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7 \pmod{8}$  and we can choose to lift any of those four solutions.
2. Given a solution  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^j}$ , we compute a solution to  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^{j+1}}$  (the “induction step”). We repeat this step, lifting our solution from modulo 8 to modulo 16 to modulo 32, until we get to the  $2^k$  that is our target.

We now explain the lifting step or “induction” step.

Assume that we have a solution  $x_0$  such that  $x_0^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^j}$ . Then we look for a lift of  $x_0 \pmod{2^{j-1}}$  to  $x_1 \pmod{2^j}$  that satisfies  $x_1^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^j}$ . Notice the small “backwards dance” that we must do for  $p = 2$ : We have a solution modulo  $2^j$ , but when lifting we treat it as if it is a solution modulo  $2^{j-1}$  (we “demote” it to  $\mathbb{Z}/2^{j-1}\mathbb{Z}$ ) before lifting straight to  $\mathbb{Z}/2^j\mathbb{Z}$ . The reason we do this is the following: When we solve the equations as above, if we had

$$x_1 = x_0 + 2^j y_0,$$

and

$$x_1^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^{j+1}},$$

which are analogous to the equation we have when  $p$  is odd, then when we square, here is what happens:

$$\begin{aligned}
a &\equiv (x_0 + 2^j y_0)^2 \pmod{2^{j+1}} \\
&\equiv x_0^2 + 2x_0 2^j y_0 + 2^{2j} y_0^2 \pmod{2^{j+1}} \\
&\equiv x_0^2 + 2^{j+1} x_0 y_0 \pmod{2^{j+1}} \\
&\equiv x_0^2 \pmod{2^{j+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The variable  $y_0$  has completely disappeared from the equation so we cannot solve for it! (There is also a more serious problem which we discuss in the Remarks below.)

Instead, this is what we do: We begin with the following two equations:

1. The “lifting equation”

$$x_1 = x_0 + 2^{j-1} y_0,$$

which ensures that  $x_1 \pmod{2^{j+1}}$  is a lift of  $x_0 \pmod{2^{j-1}}$ ,

2. and the equation

$$x_1^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^{j+1}},$$

which is the equation we are trying to solve.

Now we proceed as before: We plug the first equation into the second to get

$$\begin{aligned}
a &\equiv (x_0 + 2^{j-1} y_0)^2 \pmod{2^{j+1}} \\
&\equiv x_0^2 + 2x_0 2^{j-1} y_0 + 2^{2j-2} y_0^2 \pmod{2^{j+1}} \\
&\equiv x_0^2 + 2^j x_0 y_0 \pmod{2^{j+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where now the last term disappears since  $2^{2j-2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{j+1}}$  because  $2j - 2 \geq j + 1$  if  $j \geq 3$  (which we have assumed to begin with since  $k \geq 3$ ).

Again our unknown here is  $y_0$  and this is a linear equation in  $y_0$ . Furthermore, this equation can be shown to always have a unique solution  $y_0 \pmod{2}$ : Indeed we have

$$2^j x_0 y_0 \equiv a - x_0^2 \pmod{2^{j+1}}.$$

Since  $x_0^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^j}$ , again  $a - x_0^2$  is divisible by  $2^j$ . We also have that  $\gcd(2^j x_0, 2^{j+1}) = 2^j$ , since  $\gcd(x_0, 2) = 1$  ( $x_0$  cannot be divisible by 2 and be a solution to  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^j}$  if  $\gcd(a, 2) = 1$ ). Therefore we can divide all the way through by  $2^j$  and find the unique solution to

$$x_0 y_0 \equiv y_0 \equiv \frac{a - x_0^2}{2^j} \pmod{2},$$

where here we use that  $x_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  since  $\gcd(x_0, 2) = 1$  so  $x_0$  is odd.

*Remark 3.2.* We note that a quite important point has gotten swept under the rug: If

$$x_1 = x_0 + 2^{j-1}y_0,$$

then  $0 \leq y_0 < 4$  all give different lifts of  $x_0 \pmod{2^{j-1}}$  to  $x_1 \pmod{2^{j+1}}$ . However, we have found  $y_0 \pmod{2}$ . Technically, we should find the two lifts of  $y_0 \pmod{2}$  to  $y_0 \pmod{4}$  to obtain **two** lifts of  $x_0 \pmod{2^{j-1}}$  to  $x_1 \pmod{2^{j+1}}$ . However, for our procedure we only need one lift, and we find all solutions at the top level, once we have one solution to  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^k}$ .

However, this is the reason why there are four solutions and why  $x_1$  and  $x_1 + 2^{k-1}$  are both solutions. These are both lifts of  $x_1 \pmod{2^{k-2}}$  to  $x_1 \pmod{2^k}$  that satisfy  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^k}$ . We explain this with an example:

*Example 3.3.* Let us solve  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{32}$ . We begin by solving  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ , which has solutions  $x \equiv 3, 5, 11, 13 \pmod{16}$  (we can find these by solving  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{8}$  and lifting, or by noticing that  $x_1 = 3$  is a solution and using Theorem 3.1). We now lift all of the solutions to see what we obtain:

First we lift  $x_0 = 3$ : We “demote” it to  $x_0 = 3 + 8y_0$ , then square:

$$\begin{aligned} 9 &\equiv (3 + 8y_0)^2 \pmod{32} \\ &\equiv 9 + 48y_0 + 64y_0^2 \pmod{32} \\ &\equiv 9 + 16y_0 \pmod{32}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the equation

$$9 \equiv 9 + 16y_0 \pmod{32}$$

has the unique solution  $y_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , but two solutions  $y_0 \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4}$  (and 16 solutions in  $\mathbb{Z}/32\mathbb{Z}$  where this equation really lives!). This gives two different lifts of  $x_0$ :

$$x_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{32} \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{32}$$

of  $x_0 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ . We see that they are exactly of the form  $x_1$  and  $x_1 + 16$ , as predicted by the theorem.

Now let us see what happens when we lift  $x_0 = 5$ . We “demote” to  $x_0 = 5 + 8y_0$  then square:

$$\begin{aligned} 9 &\equiv (5 + 8y_0)^2 \pmod{32} \\ &\equiv 25 + 80y_0 + 64y_0^2 \pmod{32} \\ &\equiv 25 + 16y_0 \pmod{32}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the equation

$$9 \equiv 25 + 16y_0 \pmod{32}$$

has the unique solution  $y_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , but two solutions  $y_0 \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ . This gives two different lifts of  $x_0$ :

$$x_1 \equiv 13 \pmod{32} \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 \equiv 29 \pmod{32}$$

of  $x_0 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ . Again these are of the form  $x_1$  and  $x_1 + 16$ .

Finally, let us lift  $x_0 = 11$ : We “demote” it to  $x_0 = 11 + 8y_0$ , then square:

$$\begin{aligned} 9 &\equiv (11 + 8y_0)^2 \pmod{32} \\ &\equiv 121 + 176y_0 + 64y_0^2 \pmod{32} \\ &\equiv 25 + 16y_0 \pmod{32}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the same equation we obtained when we were lifting  $x_0 = 5$ , and it has solutions  $y_0 \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ . This gives us the two lifts of  $x_0$ :

$$x_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{32} \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{32}.$$

We see that we obtained the same solutions as when we lifted  $x_0 = 3$ , which makes sense since  $3 \equiv 11 \pmod{8}$ , so we were actually doing the same lift.

Similarly, if we were to lift  $x_0 = 13$ , we would get the solutions  $x_1 \equiv 13 \pmod{32}$  and  $x_1 \equiv 29 \pmod{32}$  again since  $13 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ . This shows how each of four solutions can give two lifts that are solutions, but we still have only four solutions in total: There are two pairs of solutions that each give the same two lifts. If we chose  $x_0 \pmod{16}$  and  $-x_0 \pmod{16}$  two solutions of  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$  and computed their four lifts (two lifts each) we would get all four solutions to  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{32}$ .

*Remark 3.4.* We say here one more thing about the “demotion” of the solution modulo  $2^j$  to a solution modulo  $2^{j-1}$ . Looking at Example 3.3, we see that starting with the solution  $x_0 \equiv 3 \pmod{16}$ , we obtained the two solutions  $x_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{32}$  and  $x_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{32}$ . These are both lifts of  $3 \pmod{16}$ . However, starting with the solution  $x \equiv 5 \pmod{16}$ , we obtained the two solutions  $x_1 \equiv 13 \pmod{32}$  and  $x_1 \equiv 29 \pmod{32}$ . These are **not** lifts of  $5 \pmod{16}$  (but they are lifts of  $5 \pmod{8}$ , of course). In fact, all of the solutions of  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{32}$  are lifts of  $3 \pmod{16}$  and  $13 \pmod{16}$ , and none are lifts of  $5 \pmod{16}$  or  $11 \pmod{16}$ . However, we have that  $3 \equiv 11 \pmod{8}$  and  $13 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , so by demoting down to  $\pmod{8}$ , we ensure that we can now lift all of the solutions. This is good because before we solve the equation we cannot know which solutions  $\pmod{16}$  lift to  $\pmod{32}$ .

This is why, incidentally, we cannot lift directly from a solution to  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{8}$  to a solution to  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{32}$ . If I choose  $x_0$  a solution of  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{8}$ , say for example  $x_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , if I am unlucky  $x_0$  might not be a solution of  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$  and therefore it will certainly not lift to a solution of  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{32}$ . To avoid this situation, I start by choosing a solution  $x_0$  to  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ , then I demote it down to a solution of  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{8}$  but now since I know that I can lift to a solution to  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ , I know that I will not be unlucky and I can also lift to a solution to  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{32}$ .

To be explicit:

$$x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{8}$$

has the four solutions  $x \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7 \pmod{8}$ . Of these, only two lift to solutions to

$$x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16},$$



namely  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  and  $x \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  lift to  $x \equiv 3, 11 \pmod{16}$  and  $x \equiv 5, 13 \pmod{16}$  respectively.

Then the same thing happens at the next step: Of the four solutions  $x \equiv 3, 5, 11, 13 \pmod{16}$  of the equation

$$x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16},$$

only  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{16}$  and  $x \equiv 13 \pmod{16}$  actually lift to solutions to

$$x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{32},$$

which has solutions  $x \equiv 3, 13, 19, 23 \pmod{32}$ .

The reason things are so messed up, and different from the case of  $p$  odd, where every solution modulo  $p^j$  lifts to a solution modulo  $p^{j+1}$ , is because the derivative of  $x^2$  is  $2x$  which is identically zero modulo 2. The deeper reason why this matters involves studying  $p$ -adic integers and Hensel's Lemma, which tells you exactly when solutions modulo  $p^j$  to any equation lift uniquely to a solution modulo  $p^{j+1}$ .

#### 4 Solving $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$ for general $n$

To do this we use Sun Zi's Remainder Theorem. Let  $n = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}$ . Suppose that we have a number  $x$  such that

$$x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p_i^{e_i}}$$

for each prime power factor  $p_i^{e_i}$  of  $n$ . Then by changing variables to  $y = x^2$ , we have that

$$y \equiv a \pmod{p_i^{e_i}}$$

and therefore by Sun Zi's Remainder Theorem

$$y \equiv a \pmod{n}$$

or  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$ .

Now at the same time, suppose that we have a  $r$ -tuple  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  such that for each  $i$

$$a_i^2 \equiv a \pmod{p_i^{e_i}},$$

then there is a unique congruence class  $x \pmod{n}$  such that

$$x \equiv a_i \pmod{p_i^{e_i}}.$$

This explains why we may solve the equation  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$  "prime power by prime power."

**Example 4.1.** Let us solve the equation

$$x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{72}.$$

Since  $72 = 2^3 \cdot 3^3$ , we must solve

$$x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \quad \text{and} \quad x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}.$$

In general, we would need to use the techniques of Sections 2 and 3, since these are equations of the form  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$ . However, these equations are particular simple so we are not required to do applying the lifting technique.

The equation  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  has solutions  $x \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7 \pmod{8}$ , as we know.

The equation  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  has one solution  $x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ . By Theorem 2.2, this equation has two solutions and the other solution is  $-x_1 \equiv -1 \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$ .

Therefore, for any pair  $(a_1, a_2)$  such that  $a_1^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $a_2^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ , we get one solution to  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{72}$ . There are 8 such pairs:

$$(1, 1), \quad (1, 8), \quad (3, 1), \quad (3, 8), \quad (5, 1), \quad (5, 8), \quad (7, 1), \quad \text{and} \quad (7, 8).$$

Each pair gives a solution in the following way. In the notation of Sun Zi's Remainder Theorem, we have  $a_1 = 5$ ,  $N_1 = 9$  and  $x_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 = 1$ ,  $N_2 = 8$  and  $x_2 = -1$ .

Suppose we take the pair  $(5, 1)$ , this stands for the Sun Zi Remainder Theorem problem

$$x \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \quad x \equiv 1 \pmod{9}.$$

Therefore we get the solution

$$x \equiv 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 8 \cdot (-1) \equiv 37 \pmod{72}.$$

If we take the pair  $(7, 1)$ , this is the pair of equations

$$x \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \quad x \equiv 1 \pmod{9}.$$

Therefore we get the solution

$$x \equiv 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 8 \cdot (-1) \equiv 55 \pmod{72}.$$

In this manner we can get the 8 solutions  $x \equiv 1, 17, 19, 35, 37, 53, 55, 71 \pmod{72}$  quite quickly.