The intervening role of urgency on the association between childhood maltreatment, PTSD, and substance-related problems
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HIGHLIGHTS

• Emotional abuse, negative urgency, positive urgency and PTSD were indicators of ORP.
• Other types of abuse were not related to PTSD or ORP in the model.
• PTSD was the only variable to have a significant direct relation to ORP.
• Negative urgency and emotional abuse had significant indirect paths to ORP via PTSD.
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ABSTRACT

A range of risk factors lead to opioid use and substance-related problems (SRP) including childhood maltreatment, elevated impulsivity, and psychopathology. These constructs are highly interrelated such that childhood maltreatment is associated with elevated impulsivity and trauma-related psychopathology such as posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and impulsivity—particularly urgency—and PTSD are related. Prior work has examined the association between these constructs and substance-related problems independently and it is unclear how these multi-faceted constructs (i.e., maltreatment types and positive and negative urgency) are associated with one another and SRP. The current study used structural equation modeling (SEM) to examine the relations among childhood maltreatment, trait urgency, PTSD symptoms, and SRP in a sample of individuals with a history of opioid use. An initial model that included paths from each type of childhood maltreatment, positive and negative urgency, PTSD and SRP did not fit the data well. A pruned model with excellent fit was identified that suggested emotional abuse, positive urgency, and negative urgency were directly related to PTSD symptoms and only PTSD symptoms were directly related to SRP. Furthermore, significant indirect effects suggested that emotional abuse and negative urgency were related to SRP via PTSD symptom severity. These results suggest that PTSD plays an important role in the severity of SRP.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Opioid use and misuse is a serious public health problem that is associated with chronic infectious disease (e.g., hepatitis B and C, HIV), high mortality rates, and significant functional impairment (Volkow, Frieden, Hyde, & Cha, 2014). People who use opioids, including misusing prescription opioids and heroin, often report extensive histories of childhood maltreatment (Daigre et al., 2015; Kessler et al., 2010). The severity of such maltreatment is correlated with the severity of substance use broadly and substance use related problems (SRP) specifically (Conroy, Degenhardt, Mattick, & Nelson, 2009; Heffernan et al., 2000). SRP are defined as emotional, physical, financial, and biological impairment that are attributed to the use of substances, which are substantial for those who use opioids (Kiluk, Dreifuss, Weiss, Morgenstern, & Carroll, 2013). For example, increased guilt, shame, and decrements to one's physical health because of opioid use are considered SRP. Not all adults who were victimized as children, however, use opioids or experience SRP. This variability suggests that there are important intermediary factors that influence how maltreatment affects later opioid use and problems (Howestad, Tonmyr, Wekerle, & Thornton, 2011). Understanding such pathways are necessary to improve prevention and treatment efforts for problematic opioid use.

Childhood maltreatment refers to the range of abuse and neglect children experience from caregivers, including emotional abuse,
emotional neglect, physical abuse, physical neglect, and sexual abuse. Although there is evidence that each confers unique risk factors for future maladaptive outcomes, such as substance use (Senn & Carey, 2010). For example, the type of abuse experienced was associated with the type of substance used and the severity of impairment in a sample of adults with substance use disorder (Tonmyr, Thornton, Draca, & Wekerle, 2010). Few studies, however, have examined how different types of childhood maltreatment are associated with SRP among those who use opioids. Instead, the majority of studies have either treated maltreatment as a unidimensional construct—ignoring the potential differences between maltreatment types—or focused on a single maltreatment type (Clemmons, Walsh, DiLillo, & Messman-Moore, 2007; De Bellis, 2002; Higgins, 2004; Higgins & McCabe, 2001; Simpson & Miller, 2002). It therefore remains unclear if and how specific types of childhood maltreatment are related to SRP.

A proposed pathway by which early traumatic experiences, such as childhood maltreatment, leads to substance use problems (e.g., SRP) is via trait impulsivity (Beauchaine & Gatzke-Kopp, 2012). That is, exposure to child maltreatment may contribute to systematic patterns of rash behavior that increase risk for opioid use and SRP. Impulsivity is not unitary, however, and growing evidence supports the presence of multiple impulsivity-related traits (e.g., Depue & Collins, 1999; Sharma, Markon, & Clark, 2014; Whiteside & Lyam, 2001). A prominent model (Whiteside & Lyam, 2001) describes impulsivity-related traits including negative urgency (rash action under conditions of negative affect), lack of premeditation (acting without forethought or consideration of consequences), lack of perseverance (difficultly persisting on boring or challenging tasks), sensation seeking (pursuit of novel, exciting experiences even when dangerous), and positive urgency (rash action under conditions of positive affect). The literature on how these impulsivity traits are related to opioid use and SRP lags behind the examination of other substances (Mitchell & Potenza, 2014; Verdejo-García, Bechara, Recknor, & Pérez-García, 2007), partly due to including opioid use as part of generic “substance use” or “illicit drug use” measures rather than as a specific outcome. Prior work has indicated that positive and negative urgency may be most relevant to psychopathology and substance use (Peters, Upton, & Baer, 2012; Weiss, Tull, Sullivan, Dixon-Gordon, & Gratz, 2015). Others have suggested that urgency may be more strongly related to SRP than other impulsivity-related constructs. In two studies where impulsivity was measured via performance on behavioral tasks, those with a history of opioid use performed more poorly on tasks involving affect-related decision making relative to controls (Baldacchino, Balfour, & Matthews, 2015; Passeotti, Clark, Mehta, Joyce, & King, 2008). There were no other notable differences on measures of impulsivity between these groups. These data suggest that SRP may be more closely tied to urgency than other facets of impulsivity.

Childhood maltreatment and urgency are also associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Contractor, Armour, Forbes, & Elhai, 2016). It is proposed that an inability to cope with the strong negative emotions that result from childhood maltreatment markedly increases risk for PTSD. In an effort to cope with PTSD symptoms and these other risk factors, the individual may turn to using substances (Weiss, Tull, Anestis, & Gratz, 2013). Indeed, estimates of the comorbidity between PTSD and those with a history of substance use disorder for opioids is as high as 62% (Dore, Mills, Murray, Teesson, & Farrugia, 2012; Mills, Teesson, Ross, & Peters, 2006). Furthermore, PTSD is associated with more severe substance-related impairment and poorer response to substance use disorder treatment (Mills, Teesson, Ross, & Darke, 2007; Weiss et al., 2015).

Taken together, the current literature supports relations between childhood maltreatment, urgency, PTSD, and SRP. There are important nuances to these relations, however. The type of maltreatment experienced may be relevant to impulsivity severity, PTSD symptom severity, and SRP. Furthermore, there are likely indirect effects among these variables (e.g., the effect of childhood maltreatment types on SRP via urgency). Yet it is unclear as to how these associations manifest. Structural equation modeling (SEM) can evaluate this set of relations in that multiple paths can be tested simultaneously and variables can serve as both outcomes and predictors within the same model.

The present study used SEM to examine the relation between types of childhood maltreatment, types of urgency, PTSD, and SRP in those who have used opioids. The initial model hypothesized that all types of childhood maltreatment would be associated with impairments in positive and negative urgency and PTSD. Furthermore, both types of urgency would be associated with PTSD and SRP. Finally, PTSD was hypothesized to be related to SRP.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Participants were 84 individuals with a history of opioid use, defined as having used heroin or misused prescription opioids for > 1 year (Heroin: M = 4.87 years, SD = 5.87; Prescription opioids: M = 6.98 years, SD = 6.02), met lifetime DSM-IV criteria for substance abuse: opioid, and identified opioids as their substance of choice. The majority of participants used opioids in the past month (n = 62, 73.8%), excluding use related to a treatment program. A portion of the sample 28.6% (n = 24) was currently enrolled in methadone maintenance treatment (MMT). Exclusion criteria included active psychosis and non-English speaking. Participants were included if they used other substances. Historical use of substances (in years of regular use) were as follows: Alcohol: M = 5.64, SD = 7.90; Cocaine: M = 5.01, SD = 7.20; Cannabis: M = 10.98, SD = 11.83.

Participants were M = 35.27, SD = 8.26 years old. The majority were male (n = 45, 53.6%). The majority of the sample was at or below the federal poverty level, earning less than $10,000 per year (n = 46, 54.8%). The sample was representative of Northern New England in that the majority self-identified as White (n = 72, 85.7%). The remaining participants self-identified as African-American (n = 2, 2.4%), Asian American (n = 2, 2.4%), Native American (n = 4, 4.8%), Bi-Racial (n = 2, 2.4%), and “Other-group” (n = 2, 2.4%). Two participants (2.4%) self-identified as Latino. Participants were recruited from the community through a series of targeted internet advertisements (e.g., Craigslist and Facebook) and through flyers posted in local businesses.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ: Bernstein, Ahluvalia, Pogge, & Handlesman, 1997)

The CTQ is a 28-item self-report scale that assesses five categories of negative childhood experiences: emotional neglect, emotional abuse, physical neglect, physical abuse, and sexual abuse. Each item is assessed on a 1 to 5 scale with subscale scores ranging from 5 to 25 with higher scores indicating greater abuse. Internal consistency for the subscales was excellent, ranging from α = 0.80 to 0.96.

2.2.2. Short Inventory of Problems-Revised (SIPS-R; Kiluk et al., 2013)

The SIPS-R is a 17-item measure of the negative consequences of drug use or drinking. The current study specified that the SIPS-R is associated with current problems related to substances, which are defined as those experienced in the last three months. Items are rated on a scale from 0 to 5. Higher scores indicate greater impairment due to substances. Examples of problems include feeling guilty or shame when using substances, having their physical health harmed by using substances, or having their social life negatively affected by using substances. Internal consistency for the total scale was excellent, α = 0.98.
2.2.3. Addiction Severity Index Lite (ASI-Lite; McLellan et al., 1992)
The ASI-Lite is 169-item structured interview that was adapted from the ASI-5. It is a shorter alternative to the ASI-5. It assesses the severity of an individual’s drug or alcohol addiction as well as different domains that may be impacted (McLellan et al., 1992). The ASI-Lite determined the frequency of substance use for each participant.

2.2.4. Short Form of the UPPS-P Impulsive Behavior Scale (SUPPS-P; Cyders, Littlefield, Coffey, & Karyadi, 2014)

The SUPPS-P is a 20-item self-report questionnaire derived from the UPPS-P Impulsive Behavior Scale (Whiteside & Lynam, 2001; Lynam, Smith, Whiteside, & Cyders, 2006). Items are rated on a 1–4 scale with higher scores indicating less impulsivity. The negative urgency and positive urgency scales were used for the current study. Internal consistency for the scales were adequate, Negative Urgency α = 0.77; Positive Urgency α = 0.65.

2.2.5. PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5; Weathers et al., 2013)

The PCL-5 is a 20-item self-report measure of severity of current PTSD symptoms in the past month. The total score for the PCL-5 ranges from 0 to 80 with items scored on a 1–5 scale. Higher scores represent more severe PTSD symptoms. Internal consistency was excellent, α = 0.96.

2.2.6. Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM-5 Disorders (SCID; First, Williams, Karg, & Spitzer, 2015)

The SCID-5 is a semistructured interview used to diagnose psychopathology according to DSM-5 criteria. For the current study, the SCID-5 was used to determine if an individual met DSM-5 criteria for PTSD.

2.2.7. MINI Neuropsychiatric Interview for DSM-IV (MINI; Sheehan et al., 1998)

The MINI is a semistructured interview used to evaluate the presence of mental health disorders. The current study used the non-alcohol psychoactive substance use disorder section to determine eligibility for the study. Specifically, the four questions pertaining to substance abuse were asked of participants to determine if they met criteria for substance abuse according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual IV criteria in their lifetime.

2.3. Data analytic plan

Bivariate correlations were conducted to determine the strength of the relation among all variables. Data were fitted to an initial path model that included paths for the following: all CTQ scales to the urgency scales; all CTQ scales to the PCL-5; urgency scales to the PCL-5; all urgency scales to the SIPS-R; and PCL-5 to the SIPS-R (Fig. 1). Fit was evaluated using the guidelines specified by Hu and Bentler (1999). Specifically, a well-fitting model is defined as: a non-significant chi-square difference test, RMSEA < 0.08, CFI > 0.95, and SRMR < 0.08. After an initial model was fitted, a pruning strategy was used in which paths were removed. First, non-significant paths from the CTQ scales to PCL-5 were removed. Second, non-significant paths from the CTQ scales to the urgency scales were removed. Third, the paths from the urgency scales to the PCL-5, and SIPS-R were removed. Fit for nested models was evaluated with a chi-square difference test. Fit for non-nested models was evaluated with the difference in BIC, with the lower value having better fit.

3. Results

Descriptive statistics suggested that the sample reported overall moderate PTSD symptoms with (M = 37.53, SD = 19.45) and moderate exposure to childhood maltreatment (Table 1). The majority of the sample met criteria for current PTSD (n = 57, 67.9%) according to the SCID-5. Those who met criteria for PTSD had significantly higher PCL-5 scores (M = 46.21, SD = 14.85) than those who did not meet criteria for PTSD (M = 21.18, SD = 15.09), F (1, 82) = 51.55, p < 0.001. Bivariate correlations between the variables of interest suggested that the PCL-5 was significantly related to all CTQ scales, Positive Urgency, Negative Urgency, and the SIPS-R (Table 1). The SIPS-R was associated with Positive Urgency, Negative Urgency, and PCL-5, however. Preliminary comparisons indicated there were no significant differences between those in MMT and those not in MMT across all measures (p’s = 0.208 to 0.986).

An initial model was fitted to the data that proposed the CTQ scales were associated with the urgency scales and PCL-5. Direct paths from the urgency scales to the SIPS-R and PCL-5 were included (Fig. 1). This model demonstrated poor fit, \( \chi^2 (2) = 12.09, p = 0.002; \) RMSEA = 0.25, 95% CI [0.13 to 0.39]; CFI = 0.87; SRMR = 0.06; BIC = 2217.88. The model was then pruned by removing non-significant paths. First, non-significant paths from CTQ scales to the PCL-5 were removed. Second, non-significant paths from the CTQ scales to the urgency scales were removed. Third, the relations among the urgency scales, PCL-5, and SIPS-R were removed.

The previously described steps yielded a model that fit the data well (Fig. 2): \( \chi^2 (5) = 2.12, p = 0.83; \) RMSEA < 0.01, 95% CI [-0.01 to 0.09]; CFI = 1.00; SRMR = 0.04; BIC = 2143.38. A chi-square difference test suggested that this model was a significant improvement in fit, \( \chi^2 (6) = 35.37, p < 0.001. \) The reduction in BIC scores was > 10, which suggests “very strong” evidence that this model is a better fit than the higher BIC model (Kass & Raftery, 1995). This model accounted for 25% of the variance in drug-related problems, \( R^2 = 0.25, p = 0.002. \) The final model retained CTQ-emotional abuse, but supported the removal of other the other CTQ scales. This model suggested that CTQ-emotional abuse (\( \beta = 0.37, p < 0.001) \) and negative urgency (\( \beta = -0.41, p < 0.001) \) were related to the PCL-5. Only the PCL-5 had a significant direct effect on the SIPS-R (\( \beta = 0.43, p < 0.001) \). The association between positive urgency and SIPS-R approached significance (\( \beta = -0.18, p = 0.071) \).

![Fig. 1. Initial model evaluating childhood maltreatment, impulsivity, PTSD symptoms and substance-related problems. PCL = PTSD Checklist. CTQ = Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. SIPS-R = Short Inventory of Problems-Revised. Fit statistics were: \( \chi^2 (2) = 12.09, p = 0.002; \) RMSEA = 0.25, 95% CI [0.13 to 0.39]; CFI = 0.87; SRMR = 0.06; BIC = 2217.88.](image-url)
significantly reduced fit, which suggests it was meaningful towards the overall model.

An alternative model was evaluated in which the position of the SIPS-R and PCL-5 were switched to determine if SRP led to elevated PTSD symptoms. This model demonstrated poor overall fit and worse fit than the previous model: \( \chi^2 (5) = 26.41, p < 0.01 \); RMSEA = 0.23, 95% CI [0.15 to 0.32]; CFI = 0.58; SRMR = 0.11; BIC = 2167.67. Therefore, the data suggest that PTSD symptom severity is indicative of SRP rather than the reverse.

The indirect effects of CTQ-emotional abuse, positive urgency, and negative urgency on SIPS-R were evaluated (Table 2). There was a significant indirect effect of CTQ-emotional abuse on SIPS-R via the PCL-5 (\( \beta = 0.16, p = 0.002, 95\% CI [0.06, 0.27] \)). This indirect effect suggested elevated emotional abuse increased SRP via PTSD symptoms. Additionally, there was a significant indirect effect of negative urgency on SIPS-R via the PCL-5 (\( \beta = -0.18, p = 0.001, 95\% CI [-0.29, -0.07] \)). That is, elevated negative urgency was associated with SRP via elevated PTSD symptoms.

4. Discussion

The results of the present study provided a framework for understanding how childhood maltreatment is associated with SRP among those who used opioids. There was statistical evidence to suggest that emotional abuse and negative urgency exacerbate PTSD symptoms, which in turn increases SRP. Additional experimental research is needed, however, to confirm this pathway. There was evidence to suggest that positive urgency may have an important role in this model, but this path did not attain statistical significance. These results suggest that the effect of positive urgency on SRP may be relatively small. Interestingly, other types of maltreatment did not significantly contribute to this model. This suggests that emotional abuse may be the most relevant form of abuse with regards to SRP. This is not to say that other forms of maltreatment are not relevant to SRP, but that their contribution is smaller such that their effects were not detected in the current study. A replication of the current model in a larger sample is needed to determine the contribution of other types of maltreatment. Taken together, these findings highlight the importance of PTSD symptoms and urgency in those with a history of opioid use.

The results of the present study are consistent with the differential effects model of childhood maltreatment (Senn & Carey, 2010). The differential effects model suggests that specific types of abuse are more closely associated with specific outcomes. The results of the present study suggested that emotional abuse was more closely related to SRP than any other type of abuse. There are several explanations for this finding. Burns and colleagues (2010) propose that chronic emotional abuse during childhood can limit an individual’s ability to regulate emotions. Avoidance is a commonly used strategy in adults with significant childhood emotional abuse to protect themselves from strong emotions (Reddy, Pickett, & Orcutt, 2006). Consistent with this rationale, the current study suggests that those who experienced greater childhood emotional abuse experience more severe PTSD symptoms, which includes avoidance of trauma cues and reminders, and that this could drive SRP.

The impulsivity components of negative urgency and positive urgency contributed to the overall model. The present study suggested that negative urgency exacerbates PTSD symptoms, which further exacerbates SRP. PTSD is proposed to reflect a deficit in inhibiting reactions towards fearful stimuli (Norholm et al., 2011). This deficit in inhibition towards fearful stimuli may be magnified by negative urgency. Prior work has also shown that deficits in emotional regulation during negative experiences increase motivation to use substances (Barahmand, Khazaee, & Hashjin, 2016) and SRP (Verdejo-Garcia et al., 2007). The

---

**Table 1**

Bivariate correlations of all variables in the model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PCL-5 Total</td>
<td>0.25*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CTQ Physical neglect</td>
<td>0.23*</td>
<td>0.65**</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CTQ Emotional neglect</td>
<td>0.31***</td>
<td>0.26*</td>
<td>0.29***</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CTQ Sexual abuse</td>
<td>0.38***</td>
<td>0.44***</td>
<td>0.49***</td>
<td>0.43**</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CTQ Physical abuse</td>
<td>0.42***</td>
<td>0.56**</td>
<td>0.74**</td>
<td>0.42**</td>
<td>0.69**</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Negative urgency</td>
<td>-0.44***</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Positive urgency</td>
<td>-0.22***</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.52***</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SIPS total</td>
<td>0.49***</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.34***</td>
<td>-0.29***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>37.53</td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>20.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>19.45</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>17.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: PCL-5 = PTSD Checklist. CTQ = Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. SIPS-R = Short Inventory of Problems-Revised.

* \( p < 0.05 \)
** \( p < 0.01 \)

---

**Fig. 2.** Final model of childhood maltreatment, impulsivity, PTSD, and substance-related problems. PCL = PTSD Checklist. CTQ = Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. SIPS-R = Short Inventory of Problems-Revised. All paths shown are standardized estimates. Bolded lines are significant at the \( p < 0.01 \). Unbolded lines are not significant. Values in parentheses are standard errors. Fit statistics were: \( \chi^2 (5) = 2.12, p = 0.83; \) RMSEA < 0.01, 95% CI [-0.01 to 0.09]; CFI = 1.00; SRMR = 0.04; BIC = 2143.38.
support for this pathway in the current study further highlights the important role of PTSD symptoms in substance-related difficulties.

The results of the study have implications for clinical assessment and practice. It may be beneficial to help those in treatment learn to recognize the role that negative urgency plays in their SRP. Specifically, that they are prone to engage in rash behavior when they are distressed and that this rash behavior, which, may involve the use of opioids, contributes to other problems such as emotional, social, and health-related difficulties. The presence of these SRP may then exacerbate their negative mood, which perpetuates a cycle of use, psychopathology, and impairment. The current model suggested that PTSD is a critical variable in the severity of SRP in those with a childhood maltreatment history. Providing comprehensive interventions that address both substance use, PTSD symptoms, and improved emotion regulation strategies may prove beneficial. Although such treatments exist (Mills, Teesson, Darke, Ross, & Lynskey, 2004), there is need for dissemination given the high rate of trauma exposure among those who regularly use opioids (McCaul, Mercer, Brady, & Back, 2014).

The current study had several limitations of note. First, all of the constructs in the current study were assessed via self-report, although substance use history and PTSD diagnoses were assessed with clinical interviews. Self-report measures are prone to bias and that may have affected the strength of the reported relations. The model supported in the current study should be replicated in studies that use behavioral measures of these constructs. Furthermore, the study focused on substance-related problems given that participants had an extensive history of opioid use, which are directly related to the use of opioids. Subsequent work is needed to determine to the extent to which certain problems are tied specifically to opioid use as opposed to the use of other substances or poly-substance use. These results were obtained from a cross-sectional study such that the directionality of the relations can only be inferred via model fit. Longitudinal and experimental studies are needed to further evaluate the indirect relations that were proposed in the current study (Mackinnon, Fairchild, & Fritz, 2007). The current study also included a range of opioid users including those who have misused prescription medication, were prescribed opioids via a treatment program, and used illegal opioids such as heroin. Despite participants having extensive histories of opioid use, the nature of the identified relations may vary among type of opioid used, presence of addiction, frequency of use, and other descriptive variables. Future work should quantify the severity of a substance use disorder using the most recent diagnostic criteria. A portion of the current sample was involved in MMT, which may have affected their responses in the current study. No significant differences on demographic or key study variables – including SRP – were found between participants currently enrolled in an MMT program and those not in such a program. Further work should determine whether MMT mitigates the role of early childhood maltreatment, mental health symptoms, and trait impulsivity on substance-related problems. The sample size of the current study was modest relative to the number of paths tested in the model. The current study was unable to examine the potential moderating role of gender on the relations reported, which is important given the role gender plays in PTSD severity (Stein, Walker, & Forde, 2000). There may be an important alteration in this set of relations between males and females that would inform our understanding of SRP and guide treatment. Finally, a majority of the sample was classified as living in poverty, which itself carries substantial risk for maladaptive behavior. For example, participants may have engaged in impulsive or risky behavior to address a relevant financial need. The findings of the current model may not generalize to those with greater economic means.

The current study contributed to knowledge of how childhood maltreatment, trait-impulsivity and PTSD contribute to SRP. Results suggest that PTSD explains, in part, how abuse suffered as a child is associated with SRP as an adult. It is suggested that impulsivity in the context of negative emotions may be more related to impairment than other forms of impulsivity. These findings highlight the importance of assessing the trauma history and PTSD symptom severity of those with problematic opioid use to better understand the factors that may contribute to substance-related impairment. Furthermore, it contributes to the growing call for the treatment of co-occurring psychopathology as a way to address substance use disorders (Mills et al., 2006).
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