Inclusive educational practices require people to work together to maximize learning experiences for all children. This chapter presents ways of planning, adapting, and implementing inclusive educational experiences for students of varying abilities. It is a how-to chapter based on the assumption that inclusive educational experiences benefit all children. As Giangreco and Putnam (1991) pointed out, when people use terms such as inclusion, they may mean different things. To assist the reader in understanding what the authors mean, a five-point definition of inclusive education is presented in Table 8.1. Education is inclusive only when all five features occur on an ongoing, daily basis.

An inclusive school is “a place where everyone belongs, is accepted, supports, and is supported by his or her peers and other members of the school community in the course of having his or her educational needs met” (Stainback & Stainback, 1990, p. 3). It is designed to benefit everyone—students of varying characteristics (including those with disabilities) as well as teachers and other school personnel (e.g., Bauer & Shea, 1999; Hunt & Goetz, 1997; Lipsky & Gartner, 1997; McGregor & Vogelsberg, 1998; Stainback & Stainback, 1996; Thousand & Villa, 2000; Villa & Thousand, 1995).

The remainder of this chapter is divided into four sections. The first section presents contextual information regarding the challenges associated with educating a diverse group of students in general education environments and activities. The second section describes characteristics of effective problem solvers as well as the complete Osborn-Parnes Creative Problem-Solving (CPS) process. The third section delineates three variations of the CPS process that use the creative powers of children and adults to generate options for the inclusion of classmates with diverse needs. The final section discusses implications of using CPS in education.

**CHALLENGE OF EDUCATING DIVERSE GROUPS IN HETEROGENEOUS GENERAL EDUCATION ENVIRONMENTS AND ACTIVITIES**

> We can, whenever and wherever we choose, successfully teach all children whose schooling is of interest to us. We already know more than we need in order to do this. Whether we do it must finally depend on how we feel about the fact that we haven’t done it so far. (Edmonds, 1979, p. 29)
Edmonds’s (1979) vision of American education remains unfulfilled but acknowledges the challenges faced by schools in realizing this vision. Table 8.2 contrasts major distinctions between “traditional” approaches of dealing with student diversity and more contemporary, inclusion-oriented approaches. These distinctions are presented in order to set a context—to highlight the assumptions and approaches that enable educators to meet the challenge of educating diverse groups of students in heterogeneous general education environments and activities more effectively.

It should be noted that even if educators embrace the inclusion-oriented educational tenets presented in Table 8.2, they still should and do have legitimate questions about how educational alternatives work and what the impact of these practices will be. Their questions include

- How can I, as a teacher, accommodate a wide array of student needs without sacrificing quality?
- Is it not a lot of pressure on one person—the teacher—to generate all the accommodations that need to be made?
- How will the inclusion of students with diverse needs affect the social and academic outcomes of the other students?
- How will the inclusion of students with diverse needs affect my capacity to provide quality education to all of my students?

Research in North American schools has yielded sufficient evidence to convince us that the answers to these questions are positive, although much remains to be done. Specifically, we have concluded that

- Diverse student needs can be accommodated within general class activities while maintaining high quality for all students.
The responsibility for developing accommodations can and should be shared among many members of the classroom community that include not only the adults of the school but also the students.

Well-planned inclusion can have positive social and academic outcomes for students with and without disabilities.

Teachers who choose to meet the challenge of educating diverse groups of students improve their teaching for the entire class (Hunt & Goetz, 1997; McGregor & Vogelsberg, 1998).

OSBORN-PARNES CREATIVE PROBLEM-SOLVING (CPS) AS A METHOD FOR INCLUDING STUDENTS WITH DIVERSE NEEDS IN THE CLASSROOM

The CPS process (Parnes, 1985, 1988, 1992, 1997) is one method for empowering teams of teachers and students to work together to meet the challenges of educating a heterogeneous school population. CPS is a generic strategy designed for addressing a variety of challenges and opportunities. The process was articulated first by Osborn (1953/1993) and was further developed by Osborn’s protégé and colleague, Parnes, who promoted the use of CPS in many fields—advertising, product development, business, and education. Clearly, creativity is recognized as a valuable process and outcome in education and a necessary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional approaches</th>
<th>Inclusion-oriented alternatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher is the instructional leader.</td>
<td>Collaborative teams share leadership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students learn from teachers, and teachers solve the problems.</td>
<td>Students and teachers learn from each other and solve problems together.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students are purposely grouped by similar ability.</td>
<td>Students are purposely grouped by differing abilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction is geared toward middle-achieving students.</td>
<td>Instruction is geared to match students at all levels of achievement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade-level placement is considered synonymous with curricular content.</td>
<td>Grade-level placement and individual curricular content are independent of each other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction is often passive, competitive, didactic, and/or teacher directed.</td>
<td>Instruction is active, creative, and collaborative among members of the classroom community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People who provide instructional supports are located, or come primarily from, sources external to the classroom.</td>
<td>People who provide instructional supports are located, or come primarily from, sources internal to the classroom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some students do not “fit” in general education classes.</td>
<td>All students “fit” in general education classes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students who do not “fit in” are excluded from general classes and/or activities.</td>
<td>All students are included in general class activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The classroom teacher and general education team assume ownership for the education of general education students, and special education staff assume ownership for the education of students with special needs.</td>
<td>The classroom teacher and general education team (including special educators, related service staff, and families) assume ownership for educating all students attending the school.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students are evaluated by common standards.</td>
<td>Students are evaluated by individually appropriate standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students’ success is achieved by meeting common standards.</td>
<td>The system of education is considered successful when it strives to meet each student’s needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
skill for professionals faced with restructuring schools to meet the changing needs of modern society. Within education, CPS historically was associated with the education of children labeled gifted. Only since the late 1980s has CPS been applied to inclusion-oriented education issues. As a consequence, people increasingly recognize that approaches to teaching students at “opposite ends” of the academic achievement continuum hold benefits for the multitude of children in between. Following are some basic tenets of the CPS process represented as characteristics of effective problem solvers.

**CHARACTERISTICS OF PROBLEM SOLVERS**

To be optimally successful in using the CPS process, participants must exhibit certain behaviors and dispositions identified as characteristics of effective problem solvers. Six of these characteristics are described in this section:

1. Problem solvers are optimistic.
2. Problem solvers believe everyone is creative and has the capacity to solve problems.
3. Problem solvers alternate between divergent and convergent thinking.
4. Problem solvers actively defer and engage their judgment.
5. Problem solvers encourage “free-wheeling” and fun.
6. Problem solvers take action.

**Problem Solvers Are Optimistic**

CPS, or any other problem-solving method, is based on optimism. Problem solvers enter the process with the knowledge that every challenge they face can be solved, usually in more than one way.

**Problem Solvers Believe Everyone Is Creative and Has the Capacity to Solve Problems**

Everyone has heard statements such as “I’m not creative,” or “I could never come up with those kinds of ideas.” Many people limit the ideas they can generate by minimizing their personal creative potential. The fact is that people use their creative problem-solving abilities constantly in daily life without even noticing it. Creative abilities are being used every time a person rearranges furniture in a room, makes a substitution in a recipe, improvises by using an object in place of an absent tool, adapts a game to play with a child, or plans a schedule.

In education, as in many other fields, people have been encouraged to believe that certain experts hold the key to special knowledge or creative solutions. As a result, there is a tendency to become unnecessarily dependent on outside consultants to solve problems while becoming increasingly less confident in one’s own abilities. In contrast, we believe any group of people has the ability to solve the challenges of inclusion-oriented schooling through the use of CPS. By working together, teams of people can identify solutions and take actions that no individual could accomplish alone. The practice of using creative problem-solving strategies within teams also can enhance individual team members’ personal growth and creative capacity in a broader range of situations.
The steps of CPS take advantage of the abilities people already have and encourage people to deliberately use their existing abilities to solve problems. Learning the basics of CPS is easy. People already know how to do most or all of what is needed, and they have been doing it naturally all of their lives. The new learning comes in practicing the use of these existing skills in new and deliberate ways.

**Problem Solvers Alternate Between Divergent and Convergent Thinking**

A central concept embedded in the CPS process is actively alternating between divergent and convergent thinking. This means that at each stage of the CPS process, there is a time to consider the challenge in broad, divergent ways—to open up to many possibilities. Then, within the same stage, the problem solver is encouraged to think convergently—to narrow the focus and make a choice from among many possibilities, allowing the process to continue.

**Problem Solvers Actively Defer and Engage Their Judgment**

People frequently inhibit their creative abilities by prematurely engaging their judgment; in essence, they are generating ideas and attempting to evaluate them at the same time. Firestien (1989) likened this to driving a car with your feet on the brake and the gas pedal at the same time. Firestien’s analogy pointed out that such an approach is unlikely to get anyone very far. Effective problem solvers refrain from this practice and identify times to actively defer judgment and times to engage judgment purposefully. These times correspond with divergent and convergent thinking. In a divergent phase, judgment is actively deferred. In a convergent phase, judgment is purposefully engaged.

**Problem Solvers Encourage “Free-Wheeling” and Fun**

Having fun and being playful with ideas is crucial to effective problem solving. We might think of humor and playfulness as the oil that keeps the creativity engine lubricated and running smooth. Creative insights, as well as humor, can be facilitated by bringing together things that seem incongruent.

Sometimes it may be difficult for people to be playful when the challenges they are facing are serious; yet, playfulness is essential. During training workshops, we have observed teachers practicing the use of CPS on noneducational examples and doing an excellent job of being playful and having fun with their ideas. However, when the same teachers were asked to apply CPS skills to educational challenges, many reverted to old “school meeting behaviors” that were anything but fun and that seriously interfered with their capacity to creatively problem solve. It is easy for people to fall back into familiar patterns and traditional group interactions. Therefore, when using CPS, it is critical to be mindful of this hazard and guard against it with collective playfulness.

**Problem Solvers Take Action**

Problem solvers extend the power of their optimism by acting on their ideas. Ideas that are generated do not have to be earth shattering or world changing. Some people do not use the ideas they generate because they judge the ideas as “not good enough.” Yet, as Osborn observed, “A fair idea put to use is better than a good idea kept on the polishing wheel” (as
As people start to use CPS and get into new habits that accentuate their creative problem-solving abilities, they find themselves generating more and better ideas. The key is to act, not to wait for the perfect solution before taking action.

STAGES OF THE OSBORN-PARNES CREATIVE PROBLEM-SOLVING (CPS) PROCESS

The information regarding the six stages of the CPS process presented in Table 8.3 and described on the following pages is based on descriptions of the process outlined by Osborn (1953/1993) and Parnes (1985, 1988, 1992, 1997) and insights gained from the authors’ use of the process (Giangreco, 1993).

Developing creativity capabilities is a lifelong undertaking (Parnes, 1985, 1988) that should be thought of more as the development of a creative attitude than the learning and application of specific steps and procedures. Thus, the CPS process should be used as a springboard for inventing or personalizing CPS models and techniques. Some of the variations developed by these authors to help with the challenges of school and community inclusion are highlighted later in this section. Cycling and recycling through the CPS process and its variations internalize the creative attitude and make CPS a part of one’s daily routine rather than an isolated tool used only in certain contexts (e.g., school versus home or family) or with certain problems (e.g., student versus systems change issues in educational reform).

Table 8.3. Stages of the Osborn-Parnes creative problem-solving (CPS) process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 1: Envisioning or objective finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At this initial stage, the problem solvers heighten their awareness through imagining potential challenges. First, they are divergent, considering a variety of possible challenges. Then, they converge by selecting one challenge to begin solving.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 2: Fact finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Problem solvers gather as much information as possible about the selected challenge by using all of their perceptions and senses. By asking “who,” “what,” “where,” “when,” “why,” and “how” questions, problem solvers are divergent in considering multiple perspectives regarding the challenge. They finish this stage by identifying facts they believe to be most relevant to the challenge.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 3: Problem finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The purpose of this stage is to clarify the challenge or problem by redefining it in new and different ways; by rephrasing the challenge as a question, “In what ways might I/we . . . ?”; and by asking the question “Why?” or “What do I/we really want to accomplish?” This process is repeated until the problem solvers restate the problem in a way that makes the most sense and is most appealing to them.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 4: Idea finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At this stage, the objective is to defer judgment while generating as many ideas as possible to potentially solve the challenge. Playfulness and wild ideas are encouraged. To come up with ideas beyond the obvious, problem solvers attempt to make new connections between ideas through analogies, manipulation of ideas (e.g., magnifying, minifying, reversing, eliminating), and hitchhiking (i.e., making new associations by building on someone else’s idea).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 5: Solution finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At this stage of the process, a variety of criteria are considered and ultimately selected for evaluating the merit of ideas. Problem solvers use the criteria to assist in selecting the best solution.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 6: Acceptance finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The problem solvers refine the solutions to make them more workable. The objective is to turn ideas into action through the development and implementation of an action plan. Regular evaluation of the solution helps problem solvers discover new challenges and ways of addressing them as the action plan is carried out.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stage 1: Envisioning or Objective Finding

Have you driven down the same road many times and later realized that there was something on that road you had not noticed before? The first stage of CPS helps us become increasingly aware of challenges and opportunities around us by sharpening our powers of observation. It prepares us to use all of our senses and perceptions to explore new possibilities and search for opportunities. The following rules or dispositions will help a problem solver at this stage:

- Think of objective finding as a starting point or a general challenge.
- Think divergently by considering a variety of potential problems to solve; remember to defer judgment and have fun.
- Expand the possibilities and free yourself from real or perceived boundaries by imagining, wishing, dreaming, and fantasizing.
- Think convergently by focusing in on one challenge you really want to solve.
- Remember that challenges come in all different sizes. Pick one that is small enough to be solved in the time available. By starting with manageable challenges, teams and individuals are more likely to experience success, develop a creative attitude, and practice and improve creativity skills.

Stage 2: Fact Finding

The purpose of fact finding is to identify and list as many facts about the challenge as team members can think of. There is an important relationship between facts and potential solutions. From obvious facts come obvious ideas; from less obvious facts come less obvious and possibly more inventive solutions. To start fact finding, set a short time limit, such as 5–8 minutes. Fact finding is a quick-paced, rapid-fire listing of what people believe to be true about the challenging situation. The facts should be presented briefly without explanation, judgment, or discussion. In other words, use the approach of the fictional Joe Friday (the character from the famed television series, *Dragnet* [Webb, 1952–1959/1967–1971]) and solicit “just the facts, ma’am; just the facts.” Always record and save the list of facts for use later during the CPS process (e.g., during idea finding). The following are tips for increasing the likelihood that all of the relevant facts emerge:

- Use all of your senses and perceptions to describe what you know about the challenge. Remember that facts can be feelings.
- Ask “who,” “what,” “where,” “when,” “why,” and “how” questions about what is and is not true of the challenge situation.
- Be divergent and defer judgment to generate a large quantity and variety of facts. If someone states an opinion with which you do not agree, then do not dispute it; rather, accept the fact as that person’s opinion (e.g., “Larry believes that students act out because they simply are bored during class”).
- Stretch beyond the obvious facts.
- Ask yourself, “What does the challenge or facts about the challenge remind me of?”
Stage 3: Problem Finding

Sometimes the initial selection of a challenge is right on target; at other times, the initial selection is just a starting point. The purpose of problem finding is to clarify the challenge or problem by considering different ways of viewing it. When rephrasing the challenge at this stage, it is helpful to state the challenge in positive words by using the starter phrase, “In what ways might we . . . ?” and repeating the question until the team feels comfortable that it has teased out the real issues.

Next, be convergent and select one of the new challenge statements that the team agrees it wants to solve. Problem finding is an important stage of CPS because, as John Dewey observed, “A problem well defined is half solved” (as cited in Parnes, 1988, p. 72).

Stage 4: Idea Finding

Ideas are potential solutions to the challenge statement selected at Stage 3. Where do these ideas come from? Ideas may emerge through the deliberate use of approaches such as the awareness plans described in Chapter 10 of this volume. Central to idea finding is the awareness plan of brainstorming (Osborn, 1953/1993). Brainstorming is a divergent, idea-generating process in which judgment or even praise is deferred in order to help problem solvers stretch beyond the obvious. Quantity is the key, as it is likely that the first ideas generated will be the “same old” ideas. It is important to keep the ideas flowing quickly and to limit sessions to 5–10 minutes. Good brainstorming sessions do not look anything like a typical group meeting; in brainstorming sessions, there is little quiet time and people speak in single words or short phrases rather than sentences. Other important techniques are forced relationships (Parnes, 1988), incubation, and making the familiar strange.

Forced relationships are achieved when two objects, ideas, or concepts that appear to have little or no relationship to each other are combined or rearranged in some way to generate a new idea to solve a problem. These new connections are made by looking for similarities, analogies, metaphors, or other comparisons between characteristics of the two objects or ideas. Incubation involves moving away from the challenge for a time to engage in different activities and returning to the challenge later. Creativity and invention also are facilitated when people make the familiar strange. One way to make the familiar strange is to search for new ways of seeing the challenge and facts by identifying new relationships through paradox, analogies, metaphors, associations, and connections (e.g., Gordon, 1987; Gordon & Poze, 1979).

As mentioned previously, ideas also may emanate from facts. Thus, it is important to use facts from Stage 2 in conjunction with idea-joggers, by combining or manipulating facts or their dimensions. Idea-joggers include questions such as, “What would the situation look like if something (e.g., a fact about the situation) were: 1) minimized/made smaller, 2) magnified/made bigger, 3) rearranged, 4) eliminated, 5) reversed, or 6) turned upside down or inside out?”

Idea-joggers may involve manipulating dimensions of a fact—for example, if part of a problem situation is visual (e.g., “In what ways might the school building or classroom be improved in appearance?”). Applying idea-joggers to visual dimensions such as color,
shade, brightness, design, or contrast can generate ideas. Although facts can lead directly to ideas, theoretically, the more idea-joggers applied and combined, the more ideas are likely to be generated.

Some ideas that are generated may be wild and unusable. These ideas have tremendous potential, however, as other ideas may be spurred by them in a hitchhiking effect. For example, a class of first graders was presented with the forced relationship of a magazine photo of a tropical beach scene and the challenge, “In what ways might we help our new classmate, Amy, feel welcome?” One student enthusiastically blurted out, “Let’s take her to Bermuda!” The next student said, “I could play with her in the sandbox during recess.” This student apparently hitchhiked or piggybacked on the previous idea by identifying similarities between the beach in Bermuda and facts she knew about the schoolyard (e.g., both use sand for play; Giangreco, 1993). Idea finding concludes by focusing in on promising ideas.

Stage 5: Solution Finding

Solution finding involves evaluating and selecting ideas generated in Stage 4. It begins divergently by considering a wide variety of potential criteria that might be used to evaluate the ideas. For example, ideas about potential accommodations for an individual student might be judged by the following criteria framed in question form:

- Is the accommodation feasible?
- Is the accommodation time efficient for the teacher?
- Does the student like the idea?
- Will the accommodation likely enhance the image of the student among his or her peers?
- Is it consistent with the team’s philosophical orientation or shared values?
- Will the accommodation promote independence and responsibility rather than dependence and helplessness?

Next, the individual or team converges on a subset of criteria and uses them to evaluate the ideas. Select solutions by using a matrix in which ideas are listed along the side and criteria are listed across the top. The matrix offers space to rate each idea based on each criterion. Rating may be as simple as a plus versus minus scoring system or as complex as a scale that weighs criteria differently. Remember, whatever scoring method is used, it is not intended to be a formula that removes decision-making power. Rather, the criteria and rating method are intended to provide a rational framework for considering the merits of each idea. Fundamentally, solution finding is a convergent stage of CPS in which judgment is engaged to select or combine ideas for which a plan of action is then formulated and carried out.

Stage 6: Acceptance Finding

In acceptance finding, the problem-solving task is to first think divergently by asking and answered “who,” “what,” “where,” “when,” “why,” and “how” questions in order to explore a variety of ways to make the selected solution(s) workable and effective. The team then acts convergently developing a step-by-step plan of action. The entire process ends
with the problem solvers taking action and regularly evaluating the effectiveness of the selected solution(s). New challenges that arise during implementation may be viewed as opportunities—opportunities to cycle through the CPS process again, to invent yet more new solutions, to continue to develop a creative attitude and disposition, and to hone creativity skills.

**VARIATIONS OF THE OSBORN-PARNES CREATIVE PROBLEM-SOLVING (CPS) PROCESS THAT TAP STUDENTS’ NATURAL CREATIVITY**

This section describes three variations of the CPS process that have been field-tested in some Vermont classrooms. The variations are dedicated specifically to developing ways of enhancing meaningful participation for class members when the group includes students with a wide range of abilities and characteristics. The variations focus on the challenge of including an individual student. Although this approach was successful as a starting point, users of the variations are encouraged to consider the challenge as the meaningful inclusion of all class members in the classroom community.

The variations described in this section tap the innate creative abilities of students. Although it may be preferable to teach children a complete problem-solving process (e.g., Eberle & Stanish, 1985), less complete variations have proven to be effective for on-the-fly classroom use. CPS variations work so well because people are, by their nature, creative; the variations simply “fill in the blank” for steps missing from the creative processes each of us develops on our own. It should be emphasized that CPS and its variations are generic tools for students to use to address—individually or in groups—a range of academic, social, or personal challenges other than those described in this chapter.

**Heterogeneous Grouping and Inclusion-Oriented Education: A Prime Opportunity To Engage Creative Processes**

Before detailing each of the three CPS variations, we would like to return to an examination of the context in which the variations are useful. We all know educators who look at students who have differing educational needs and use that observation to justify ability-grouping within a classroom or the exclusion of some students from typical classes rather than to determine in what ways students’ uniqueness can be appreciated and supported. For problem solvers with an inclusive educational orientation, placement in the classroom of students with widely differing educational needs is a naturally occurring incongruity or forced relationship. Therefore, heterogeneous, inclusive classrooms offer a prime opportunity for many creative ideas and solutions to be developed and tried. Inclusive education and creative problem solving are positively interdependent characteristics of effective schooling.

CPS and its variations work best if a creative attitude, atmosphere, and culture exist within the classroom and school community. An additional issue, therefore, in using CPS with and for children in schools, is how to promote a culture of creativity so that students eventually identify and engage creative problem-solving strategies, even when they are not asked to. The following are some strategies classroom teachers and administrators have used to establish more creative school cultures.

- Establish and use a collaborative team approach in which members of the classroom and school community work together toward common goals (Thousand & Villa, 2000).
• Be sure adults model collaborative, open, creative, and problem-solving behaviors (e.g., deferring judgment) for students.
• Involve students in making important instructional decisions.
• Give students ongoing opportunities to solve important problems in an atmosphere in which their ideas are welcomed and acted on.
• Create opportunities for students to see that there can be more than one “right answer” to any problem or question.
• Create ongoing opportunities for learning to be active and fun.
• As adults, be ready, willing, and able to learn from your students as well as from each other.

Issues in Peer-Supported Problem Solving

Because the problem-solving strategies described here engage children in problem solving for a peer, concerns arise as to whether having classmates focus on a particular student unnecessarily draws negative attention to the student or otherwise infringes on the privacy and rights of the individual. Such concerns should always be considered seriously. Peer-supported problem solving can be a powerful and effective strategy if precautions designed to protect student rights and dignity are observed. Specifically, educators should be sure to

• Obtain parental consent and permission.
• Obtain student consent. Discuss in private the possibility of peer-supported problem solving with the student who will be the focus of discussion, and seek feedback and approval before proceeding. For students with communication challenges, explore various observational strategies and augmentative approaches to determine their interest in involving peers in planning processes.
• Respect student privacy and confidentiality needs. (For some students, the type of personal information that may be revealed and used in problem solving with classmates may be nonthreatening; for others, the same information may be considered extremely sensitive and private.)
• Use CPS variations respectfully with other class members, regardless of ability. This establishes the process as a general classroom tool for addressing daily challenges and building class community.

CPS Variation 1: “One-Minute Idea Finding” or “Ask the Kids”

The simplest and quickest variation used in inclusive classrooms is to have the teacher ask the students for their ideas, using the steps presented in Table 8.4. It is remarkable how many excellent ideas students will generate when they simply are presented with information, a challenge, and a request for their ideas. To illustrate the “Ask the Kids” variation, consider the experience of a class of third graders who are preparing a mural as a culmination of their social studies unit on cities (Giangreco, 1993).

The teacher divided the class into four heterogeneous groups of five students each. One group included Betty, a girl with intensive educational needs. The teacher assigned each group a part of the city to paint or draw (e.g., downtown business area, residential
neighborhoods, waterfront, industrial sites). Using cooperative group skills (Johnson, Johnson, & Holubec, 1993) the class had practiced throughout the year, each group was asked to reach consensus about what would be included in their part and decide who would be responsible for each part. Each group also had to coordinate with every other group so that the four pieces could be joined to make a single large mural of a city to be displayed in the hallway. The teacher told the students that they should be prepared to explain what they did within and between groups and why.

The teacher then asked the class, “How can we make sure that Betty has ways to participate in this activity?” Mark said, “She’s up there in her wheelchair and we’re here on the floor with this big paper; we could get her out of her chair and bring her down here with us.” Karen suggested, “It’s good for Betty to have her arms moved, and I know blue is her favorite color; I could help her hold and move the paintbrush to paint the sky and water.” Janet thought, “Betty could help carry our group’s list of ideas to the other group so we can see how our parts will fit together.” “Hey! That makes me think, maybe we could have Betty run the tape recorder so we can tape our list rather than writing it!” said Joe. (Giangreco, 1993, p. 122)

The key is to ask students for their ideas. This CPS variation is quick, easy, and effective but is limited for two reasons. First, students may come up short on ideas or, after using this strategy repeatedly, give “standard” answers rather than developing new, creative alternatives. Second, although student ideas may lead to meaningful inclusion of the classmate with disabilities, their suggestions may or may not address the individualized learning needs of the student. This represents a common problem in inclusion-oriented classrooms. A student may be welcomed and included, but individual learning objectives may not be adequately or deliberately addressed through participation in class activities. Despite its limitations, this simple variation is consistent with the notion of developing natural supports internal to a classroom and simultaneously facilitating inclusion and a culture of creativity.


The “One-Minute Idea Finding with a Fact-Finding Back-Up” variation addresses the problem of students’ getting stuck for ideas or giving standard solutions. This variation takes advantage of the relationship between facts and ideas. As previously noted, ideas can come directly from facts or idea-joggers used to consider facts from new perspectives.
The steps of this variation parallel those of the first “One-Minute Idea Finding” variation (see Table 8.4). The back-up procedure occurs at Step 3, as outlined in Table 8.5. Using the previous example about Betty’s participation in the social studies mural activity, Giangreco offered the following example of how a teacher might assist students to break through to new ideas.

The teacher could say, “Okay, what do we know about this activity?” As the students use their powers of observation to fact find, ideas might be spurred. The teacher could continue to facilitate idea finding by asking probing, idea-jogging questions, such as “What would happen if we took that fact and reversed it, cut it in half, or made it bigger?” Perhaps the teacher then would present an object as a forced relationship to stimulate the students to look for similarities, connections, analogies, or metaphors between the object and the challenge that might help solve the problem. Using these procedures, Andrea realized, “We need to get paper and paints from the supply room (fact finding); Betty could go to the supply room with us and help carry back the stuff we need and give it to the other kids” (idea finding). Marc added, “We’ll be painting with a lot of different colors (fact finding). Hey, maybe Betty could use her switch to turn on a fan. Then the paint would dry faster and we could do more painting” (idea finding). (1993, p. 123)

This variation is quick and addresses the issue of what to do if students get stuck for ideas. However, it does not address the problem of inclusion-oriented classrooms mentioned previously; that is, a student being welcomed and included but individual learning objectives not being adequately or deliberately addressed.

CPS Variation 3: “Get Some Help from SAM—A Good Friend”

The third variation was once called the “Short-Focused Option” (Giangreco, 1993) because the variation, being less extensive than the full CPS process, can be completed in a short period of time (i.e., less than 10 minutes) while deliberately focusing on the individualized learning objectives of a student. The deliberate attention on learning objectives distinguishes this third variation from the two previously described. Because the Short-Focused Option is not a friendly name, one colleague jokingly suggested renaming the variation “John.” Another hitchhiked, saying, “Why not just a name?” Using the forced relationship technique in combination with metaphors and connections between a person’s name and the Short-Focused Option were explored. The name Sam came to mind because of a good friend named Sam. The Short-Focused Option also could be considered a good friend in helping us pursue quality, inclusive education. Thus, this variation was fondly renamed SAM, which is not an acronym for anything.

When To Call on SAM for Assistance  
SAM may be called on prior to a lesson as a preplanning activity by the teacher or a team (e.g., teacher and paraprofessional, teacher
and special educator). When done in advance, the classroom teacher must have an idea of how the lesson or activity will be presented, as SAM can assist in adapting the original plans to address a mismatch between the planned lesson and the needs of one or more students.

Certain types of activities (e.g., large-group discussions, small-group tasks, independent work, quizzes, labs) may be a consistent part of a classroom scene. If the activities are reoccurring formats, with variations in content, then facts generated by observations of these activities may be useful in generating adaptation ideas for a series of similar situations. This avoids continually having to reinvent the same wheel. For example, a series of options may be developed for giving a quiz, planning a lab experiment, or implementing a large-group lesson.

**Examples: Double-Edged Sword** Although examples are desirable because they can illustrate a process, they are included here with some hesitation. Any time an example is used, there always is the danger that it will become a standard response. The caution, therefore, is to remember that the examples offered here are not the only solutions. They may prompt piggybacking or hitchhiking of ideas onto them, but they clearly are not the only usable ideas.

The examples embedded within the following steps are based on the student description presented next. As discussed previously, approaches that focus on the inclusive challenges of an entire class rather than an individual student may be beneficial. In such instances, knowing the learning objectives for other students is needed to use the SAM variation effectively.

Molly is 11 years old and attends fifth grade at Mountainview Middle School. Molly lives at home with her mom, dad, and younger brother. She is known for her smile and personality and is sought after for friendship by her classmates and the children who live in her neighborhood. Molly is considered stubborn and noncompliant by some people, but those who know her best view her simply as strong-willed. Molly enjoys using headphones to listen to music. She likes to go on outings with family or friends, especially shopping trips with her parents. Her favorite activities include playing on playground equipment, going swimming, playing with her dog, and sledding in the winter.

Molly seems to enjoy being around other people but does not always react as if she knows others are present. This may be due, in part, to the fact that Molly has some hearing and vision loss. Molly has some physical disabilities as well and no formal mode of communication. Thus, it is difficult to determine her sensory abilities precisely. Although Molly has been labeled "intellectually delayed," those who know her have been unwilling to accept any label that limits expectations of her abilities. As her dad pointed out, "We just can't be sure how much she understands or what her potential is, so let's proceed as if she understands everything!"

Currently, Molly communicates primarily through facial expressions (e.g., smiling, frowning). She makes some sounds to represent pleasure or discomfort that family members understand. Her parents expect few other people to understand the meaning of these vocalizations unless their meaning had been previously explained. People communicate with Molly by speaking (to take advantage of her residual hearing), using gestures, and showing her objects and pictures (to accommodate for her impairments).

Molly gets from place to place by having others push her wheelchair. Molly has limited use of her arms and needs at least partial assistance with most daily activities. Her favorite foods are tacos, fruit, and pizza; she needs to have these and other foods cut into small pieces and fed to her. Molly, her teacher, the paraprofessional who supports her, classmates, and family
members receive the support of an inclusion facilitator (special educator), occupational therapist, physical therapist, speech-language pathologist, and a dual sensory impairment specialist.

**Steps in Using SAM** Before getting assistance from SAM, it is important to become familiar enough with the basic principles of CPS (e.g., alternating between divergent and convergent thinking, deferring judgment, using idea-joggers) and the characteristics of problem solvers to apply them throughout the SAM process. The steps of SAM presented here parallel the six stages of the generic CPS process.

**Step 1: Identify the Challenge and Develop a Challenge Statement** SAM starts by identifying a class, activity, or situation in which the needs of a particular student differ significantly from the range of educational needs of other students. For example, Molly, described previously, attends a fifth-grade science class in which much of the curricular content appears not to match her individual educational needs. Yet, there are many opportunities for Molly’s educational needs to be met through existing class activities if the activities are adapted slightly or if new science activities are invented.

Next, a challenge statement is developed. Figure 8.1 offers a worksheet format for getting assistance from SAM. As Figure 8.1 illustrates, with the SAM variation of CPS, objective finding and problem finding have been combined into a single challenge statement. The challenge statement, “In what ways might we address the educational needs of (insert student name) in (insert name of class or activity) class/activity?” is applied to the student and the situation to become, for example, “In what ways might we address the educational needs of Molly in science class?”

**Step 2: Identify the Facts About the Student’s Educational Needs and the Class/Activity** The left-hand column of Figure 8.1 is used to list facts about the student’s program and educational needs. Student facts include a brief description of priority individualized education program (IEP) goals, desired learning outcomes beyond current IEP priorities, and the general supports necessary to successfully participate in the educational program. As the left-hand column of Figure 8.2 shows, the following are Molly’s priority learning outcomes identified by her support team, which includes her parents.

- Make choices when presented with options.
- Greet others.
- Follow instructions.
- React to people by displaying an observable change in behavior.
- Offer assistance to others.
- Engage in active leisure with others (e.g., play group games).
- Use adapted microswitch to activate battery-operated devices.
- Perform a classroom job with peer(s).

This is only a partial listing of all of the learning outcomes generated by Molly’s support team.

In the second column of the SAM worksheet (Figures 8.1 and 8.2), observations about the class or activity may be listed. These facts should include the things the teacher and students actually do (e.g., teacher shows a videotape, class plays an educational game, students draw diagrams, groups of students build a model). To gain accurate information
OBJECTIVE-FINDING
AND PROBLEM-FINDING:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACT-FINDING</th>
<th>IDEA-FINDING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facts about student's needs</td>
<td>Facts about class/activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In what ways might we address the educational needs of (student's name) in (class/activity)?

Figure 8.1. SAM creative problem-solving worksheet. (Sources: Osborn-Parnes Creative Problem-Solving Process [Parnes, 1985, 1988, 1992, 1997]).
Figure 8.1. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOLUTION-FINDING</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potential Ideas</td>
<td>Addresses student need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ACCEPTANCE-FINDING**

What needs to be done?

Who is going to do it?

When is it going to be done?

How can the ideas be improved?

Where will it be done?
**OBJECTIVE-FINDING AND PROBLEM-FINDING:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACT-FINDING</th>
<th>IDEA-FINDING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facts about student’s needs</td>
<td>Direct Ideas (partial listing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Makes Choices</td>
<td>Teach/practice greeting before bell rings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Greets others</td>
<td>Work on active leisure (game skills) during educational games and instruction following.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Follows instructions</td>
<td>Caring for class pets with a peer may be a classroom job.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Reacts to people</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Offers assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Engages in active leisure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Uses “switch”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Does classroom job with peer(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facts about class/activity (partial listing)</th>
<th>Indirect Ideas (partial listing)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Students greet each other and teacher before class bell rings.</td>
<td>Student chooses which game to play.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Students hand in homework to box on teacher’s desk.</td>
<td>Student gets opportunity to react to classmates by having “homework box” on her desk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Teacher tells students agenda for class.</td>
<td>Student offers assistance to others and gets opportunities to react by handing out quizzes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Teacher turns off lights and shows short video.</td>
<td>Student uses switch to activate TV/VC and listens to music when adapted quiz is completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Teacher assigns small groups to play educational games to reinforce video.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Teacher passes out quiz.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Some students who finish early feed class fish and gerbils.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 8.2.* SAM creative problem-solving worksheets completed for Molly.
### SOLUTION-FINDING

*(partial listing)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Ideas</th>
<th>Addresses student need</th>
<th>Neutral or positive for students without disabilities</th>
<th>Likely to support valued life outcomes</th>
<th>Perceived as usable by users (e.g., teacher)</th>
<th>Other:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Student chooses game</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Homework box on desk</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Handout quizzes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Switch for lights</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Switch for TV/VCR</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Switch for tape player</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Greeting before class</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Cares for class pets</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Plays educational games</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Grades quizzes with key</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Record and play tape of class agenda</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ACCEPTANCE-FINDING

What needs to be done?

Who is going to do it?

When is it going to be done?

How can the ideas be improved?

Where will it be done?
about a class may require one or more members of a student’s support team to observe in the classroom. Here, it is more crucial to identify what the teacher and students do than to identify the curricular content of the general education lesson. Thus, no observed event is insignificant, as any activity may prove to be useful in either prompting or being an idea for adapting a lesson. For example, what adaptations or accommodations for Molly do the following facts about science class (see Figure 8.2) bring to mind?1

- Before the bell rings, the teacher and students greet each other and talk informally.
- Students hand in homework to a box on the teacher’s desk.
- A student turns off the lights before a film is shown.
- The teacher passes out the quiz.

Remember, when facing the challenges of curriculum overlap, the nature of activity in a classroom is critical. When classroom approaches are primarily passive and teacher directed, opportunities for meaningful participation for curriculum overlapping are more limited. When classroom approaches are active and participatory, opportunities for meaningful participation expand. A goal of creative problem solving, therefore, is to increase teachers’ use of more active and participatory instructional approaches.2

**Step 3: Generate Direct and Indirect Ideas** A “first level” of idea finding involves a systematic comparison of each fact about the student (see the first column in Figure 8.2) with each fact about the class/activity (see the second column in Figure 8.2) to look for direct, obvious relationships. Any direct ideas that arise through this comparison are recorded in the third column of Figure 8.2, labeled Direct Ideas. Given eight to ten facts in each of the two fact columns, the comparison process should take no more than a few minutes.

Let us compare the facts about Molly and her class listed in Figure 8.2. It is immediately apparent that there is a direct relationship between the second fact in Column 1 (i.e., greets others) and first fact in Column 2 (i.e., students greet each other and teacher before the bell rings). This class appears to offer a natural time to teach and practice greetings. Notice also that Molly’s goal of participating in active leisure with peers relates directly with the teacher’s planned activity for students to play educational games. Their activity is a natural opportunity for Molly to follow instructions related to game playing (e.g., rolling dice, picking up cards, moving a marker). Another direct relationship exists between Molly’s need for doing a classroom job and the activity of feeding and caring for the classroom fish and gerbils. Clearly, caring for the classroom animals could be a class job done with a classmate.

Systematically comparing facts about a student’s needs and classroom routines may reveal that naturally occurring opportunities for meaningful inclusion already exist, with-

---

1The SAM worksheet presented in Figure 8.1 is meant to offer a format to facilitate systematic exploration of possibilities at each step of the SAM process. The authors acknowledge that the SAM form has limited space and likely will be insufficient for all of the ideas that will be generated. It may be easier, therefore, to simply have the form available as a reminder of the SAM process and to write ideas as lists on blank sheets of paper. SAM users also are encouraged to modify or develop their own SAM worksheet formats and share them with the authors.

2Information about the student may come from any of several sources. If using the COACH assessment (Giangreco, Cloninger, & Iverson, 1998), this information may come from one of three sources: 1) the Program-at-a-Glance, 2) the Scheduling Matrix, or 3) the student’s schedule. A Program-at-a-Glance lists a full set of facts regarding the content of the student’s educational program. A Scheduling Matrix provides a set of facts as they relate to particular classes or major class activities. Both identify priority objectives for a student, other anticipated learning outcomes, and general supports the child’s team has decided are needed for student participation in classes. SAM has been pilot-tested in environments where COACH was used to generate information about the focus student. Of course, information about a student may be generated or collected in many other ways, directly (e.g., direct observation) and indirectly (e.g., record review, interviews with the student, family members, friends, school personnel).
out the need for significant changes in routine. The number of such opportunities, how-
ever, may be insufficient for an educational experience of adequate quality; therefore, it
may be necessary to invent adaptations to existing routines or invent completely new
experiences.3

After identifying direct ideas, it may be necessary to look for indirect ideas by apply-
ing idea-joggers to facts. Following the same pattern used to find direct ideas, facts about
the student and facts about the class/activity are compared while applying an idea-jogger
(e.g., ask, “What would happen if we eliminated this fact or made it bigger or smaller?”). At
this point, it is critical to defer judgment about the quality, usefulness, or feasibility of
the ideas that result. For example, suppose the idea-jogger of reversing were applied to the
facts in Figure 8.2. The teacher intends to assign small groups to play educational games
to reinforce content presented in the videotape. By reversing who chooses the game from
teacher to student, an idea is generated for Molly to work on choice making—a priority
goal for her (see Column 4 of Figure 8.2).

Suppose the idea-jogger of rearranging were applied to Molly’s goal of reacting to the
presence of other people and the fact that, in this science class, students hand in home-
work by placing it in a box on the teacher’s desk. Rearranging the place where homework
is turned in so that the homework box is on Molly’s desk would create as many opportu-
nities for interaction as there are students in the class.

Combining rearranging with the idea-jogger of minimizing/making smaller and
applying them to the fact that the science teacher passes out quizzes and Molly needs prac-
tice reacting to and offering assistance to others could lead to the indirect idea of having
Molly and a classmate, rather than the teacher, pass out quizzes. To keep the pace of class-
room activities typical, the task could be made smaller so that Molly hands out five quizzes
in the same time that her partner hands out 20. Although all of the ideas just described
may seem small, they do match the student’s identified needs.

**Step 4: Evaluate Ideas and Choose Solutions**

Step 4 involves solution finding and convergent thinking. Here, direct and indirect ideas are evaluated based on a set of cri-
teria. The four criteria on the SAM worksheet (see Figures 8.1 and 8.2) are offered as start-
ing points for evaluating ideas. Ideas are listed in abbreviated form in the left-hand column
of the worksheet. Then, each idea is judged according to the selected criteria. Using the
four criteria included on the worksheet, one may ask

- Does this idea address an identified student need?
- Is the idea positive or at least neutral in terms of likely impact on students without
disabilities?
- Is the idea likely to yield valued life outcomes (e.g., friendships and affiliations; access
to meaningful places and activities; choice and control that matches a person’s age,
health, and safety)?
- Is the idea perceived as feasible and meaningful by the user (e.g., the teacher)?

As already noted, the process of applying criteria to potential ideas is intended to assist
decision making. Criteria, therefore, must match the situation and be adjusted, replaced,
eliminated, or otherwise changed to match the unique characteristics of a situation. Items
may be rated using whatever method is preferred and makes sense, as long as preferred
solutions have been selected by the end of this step.

---

3 Although the two fact-finding and idea-finding steps are presented here in a linear, sequential fashion, these authors
have found shifting attention back and forth between the two sets of facts to be a powerful technique for prompting ideas
for adaptations. For example, once educational needs are listed, each new class/activity fact can be compared with the
needs to see if an idea is immediately spurred. These ideas should be recorded as they are generated.
Step 5: Refine Ideas To Develop and Carry Out an Action Plan

Once solutions have been selected, they must be refined. Idea-joggers continue to be helpful in accomplishing this end. For example, suppose that a direct idea was generated about playing an educational game as an accommodation for Molly. When looking carefully at the nature of the game, Molly’s physical characteristics likely would prompt the question, “What if the game parts were bigger?” This type of simple adaptation might allow Molly to participate, at least partially, with game materials. The “who,” “what,” “where,” “when,” “why,” and “how” questions facilitate the development and delivery of a CPS action plan. As ideas are implemented, CPS users must remember to be alert to new facts and new ways to make the familiar strange. Also, it should be noted how repeatedly cycling through the SAM and other CPS variations develops a creative attitude and competence.

EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF USING THE OSBORN-PARNES CREATIVE PROBLEM-SOLVING (CPS) PROCESS

This chapter opened with the proposition that inclusive educational arrangements are desired alternatives to more exclusionary traditional approaches. The CPS process and its variations are offered as a set of procedures for empowering teams to meet the challenge of instructing heterogeneous groups of learners.

There are many implications of mastering and using CPS and its variations, particularly in the education of students who otherwise might be excluded from the general education opportunities. Table 8.6 offers anticipated benefits for students with disabilities and

Table 8.6. Implications of using the Osborn-Parnes Creative Problem-Solving (CPS) process for students with and without disabilities

| CPS engages students in the solution of real-life problems and challenges, which are an essential characteristic of effective education (Dewey, 1998). |
| CPS encourages students to believe they can solve problems, either independently or with the support of others in the class. |
| CPS offers students of low academic achievement levels the opportunity to assist in solving relevant challenges faced by them or their classmates and establishes all students as valued contributors. |
| CPS offers opportunities for students to be included in general class activities in ways that meet their individualized educational needs. |
| CPS offers opportunities for students to participate in the design of their own instruction. |
| CPS offers opportunities for students to learn and practice problem-solving skills on an ongoing basis to address relevant challenges. |

The collaborative, nonjudgmental, and action-oriented aspects of CPS encourage community building among classmates when the process is used to address challenges of concern to the group.

CPS encourages and reinforces many desirable academic and affective skills (e.g., observation, analysis, evaluation, perspective taking, building on another’s ideas, synthesizing ideas).

Table 8.7. Implications for professionals working with students in heterogeneous groups

| The Osborn-Parnes Creative Problem-Solving (CPS) process encourages teachers to be open to the possibility that there is more than one “right” answer. |
| CPS encourages teachers to provide active, problem-solving learning experiences that educational leaders have advised are essential as we begin the 21st century. |
| CPS encourages teachers to be ongoing learners and to open themselves to learn from the children in their classes. |
| CPS provides a method for distributing the pressures of instructional accommodations in inclusive classrooms across a wider group of problem solvers. |
| CPS used by teachers can enhance their capacity to teach all children by recognizing existing options for teaching heterogeneous groups, adapting other existing options, and inventing new options. |
| CPS encourages teachers to design interesting, active approaches to education that account for student input and result in motivating learning experiences. |
their peers without disabilities. Table 8.7 suggests positive outcomes educators should expect when they use the problem-solving methods described in this chapter.

Taking action is the first, middle, and culminating step for any problem solver, including those of us who are interested in excellence, excitement, and equity in education. We would do well, therefore, to follow the advice of Charles Kettering to “keep on going and chances are you will stumble on something, perhaps when you least expect it. I have never heard of anyone stumbling on something sitting down” (as cited in Parnes, 1988, p. 89). The creative problem-solving strategies offered in this chapter should help us to keep on going, for as Cheyette noted, “creativity is converting wishful thinking into willful doing” (as cited in Parnes, 1988, p. 105).
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