

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Department: \_\_\_\_\_

**University of Vermont Libraries  
Electronic Journals Evaluation**

Please use this form to record your impressions as you explore and assess the e-journal collections. For each feature, circle the rating that best describes the e-journal collection's performance. Please bring your completed form to the debriefing session (Sept. 3, 9 a.m., Faculty/Staff Lounge), or return it to Trina Magi. Thanks for your help.

**Rating Scale: E=excellent, G=good, F=fair, P=poor, n/o=no opinion.**

<u>1. Access</u>	<b>Project Muse</b>	<b>SIAM</b>	<b>Springer</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Clarity of records representing e-journals in LUIS	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Ease of locating e-journals in SAGE	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	

*Other comments, issues:*

2. Search Capability

Usefulness of help screens/guides	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Usefulness of search levels (e.g. basic, advanced)	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Adequacy of search functions such as Boolean operators, truncation, field searching, limits, search history	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Adequacy of browsing capability (e.g. viewing tables of contents, browsing a specific issue, viewing indices)	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	

*Other comments, issues:*

	<b>Project Muse</b>	<b>SIAM</b>	<b>Springer</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b><u>3. Viewing and Output</u></b>				
Ease of viewing articles	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Ease of viewing images/graphics	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Ease of printing articles	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Ease of printing images/graphics	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Ease of e-mailing articles	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Ease of downloading articles	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Ease of downloading images/graphics	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	

*Other comments, issues:*

**4. Content and Coverage**

Quality of content of e-journal collection	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Adequacy of years of coverage	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Adequacy of update frequency	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	

*Other comments, issues:*

	Project Muse	SIAM	Springer	Comments
<b>5. Interface</b>				
Speed of access/processing	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Aesthetics of presentation	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Clarity of presentation and/or options	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	
Seamlessness of use within the Windows environment	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	E G F P n/o	

*Other comments, issues:*

**6. EXTRA CREDIT: Comparison to comparable print resources**

**Please note the title of the e-journal you evaluated:** \_\_\_\_\_

General evaluation of e-journals vs. print:

Comparison of a specific title in print and electronic form. Note any variations in content, presentation, enhancements in the electronic form (e.g., links to other material, references, etc.):

**7. EXTRA CREDIT: Remote Access**

**Please note the name of the e-journal collection you evaluated:** \_\_\_\_\_

Ability to access e-journals from outside the library:

Other observations: