1/26 Real Food Working Group Minutes

In attendance:

Katie

Alison

Emily Irwin

Emma Hefner

Rachel

Emily Portman

Sophia

Emily Barbour

Lauren Berkley

Emma G

Communications:

- Working on real food challenge posters for dorms and RAs
- Recruiting!

Outreach:

- Working on communicating with Professors whose courses affiliate or over lap with the goals of RFWG

_

Procurement/production:

- Decision needs to be made on farm-forward project.
- Brand labeling with dinning halls is in the works
- Point person needed

Calculator:

- October data will be updated by next meeting.

Job listing for student intern has been updated by Allison- members should push job listing to other student who may be interested.

Migrant justice:

- Emily has met with members of migrant justice, discussed how our product procurement works; served as an informational session between two groups.
- Migrant justice is in the process of implementing the third-party benefactors to initiate priorities now that deal with Ben and Jerry's (Milk with Dignity) has passed and started to be implemented.
- Always looking for interns

- End goal of working with migrant justice: to further understand our supply chain, to filter out smaller incidences of production facility issues by being a part of it.

Crowd funding Campaign

- Page up thru UVM foundation
- Asks for funding to support interns, conferences, travel, registration fees etc.
- \$100 so far!
- Katie will send out link again
- Members can share link thru facebook/instagram etc.

Advisory Board Proposal:

- Board would meet twice a year, members would contribute to RFWG
- Need to vote on name
- They won't be a decision making board- truly an advisory committee
- Met once: decided to start with a smaller number of people (7 to start)
- Would ideally start this Spring for their first meeting
- Set up should provide a natural cycle of turnover, in order to avoid a situation where the entire board will change over at the same time
- For todays meeting- voting on name, and discuss our opinions of proposal
- One person from the working group may be the chair of the board in order to bridge a connection between the two parties: co-chair or not? Open for debate
- Potentially have the calculator, one co-chair, and a third member be part of the board; or the board meetings are open to every member?
- Efficiency is something to consider with a student co-chair member
- Partnership between advisory board chair and RFWG designated board member, to have the responsibility to orchestrate meetings and communicated between the two groups
- Consider adding a farmer to the group that we don't currently buy from?
- Back up options for proposed members; how do we want to invite every one-letter of invitation? Consider asking the members why they want to be involved, only because then it helps the person collect their thoughts (could be a little awkward of an offer)
- "What motivates you to be involved with this group?"
- Another member nomination: someone from the food venture places (i.e. the bean guy- Joe)
- Worried about having the repeat of the Vermont First Board
- What is the rational for having someone from RFC national? Thoughts on adding the New England rep, could be knowledgeable and beneficial may be the weakest addition, not necessary (especially when we are trying to keep the group small)
- Nix Hannah Weinronk, and perhaps Chuck Ross—they exist at such a high level, and we may want to opt for people who are more involved with the "nitty gritty" i.e. from a perspective we want to utilize
- First meeting will probably be more of an information session for the new advisory council members to catch everyone up to speed
- Informal decisions:
- 1. Name: Advisory Council

- 2. RFWG member involvement: should have a minimum, and an open invite to other members with the understanding that not everyone can go
- 3. Leadership model: One student member will be involved, and it can be the co-chair, but it doesn't need to be.
- 4. Length of meetings? Hour and a half, no longer than 2 hours
- 5. How do we want to approach the process: option 1- trust in the four members who have been working to devise the council. These members will put together final decisions, and send out a group email to confirm that there are no strong objections to proposed list.
- 6. Invitation should include a synopsis of the vision for the advisory council (5 or 6 sentences), where UVM stands, what the RFC is,