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1. The 1- $d$ theoretical percolation problem:

Consider an infinite 1- $d$ lattice forest with a tree present at any site with probability $p$.
(a) Find the distribution of forest sizes as a function of $p$. Do this by moving along the 1-d world and figuring out the probability that any forest you enter will extend for a total length $\ell$.
(b) Find $p_{c}$, the critical probability for which a giant component exists.

Hint: One way to find critical points is to determine when certain average quantities explode. Compute $\langle l\rangle$ and find $p$ such that this expression goes boom (if it does).
2. Show analytically that the critical probability for site percolation on a triangular lattice is $p_{c}=1 / 2$.

## Hint—Real-space renormalization gets it done.:

http://www. youtube.com/watch?v=JlkbU5U7QqU
3. $(3+3)$

## Coding, it's what's for breakfast:

(a) Percolation in two dimensions (2-d) on a simple square lattice provides a classic, nutritious example of a phase transition.
Your mission, whether or not you choose to accept it, is to code up and analyse the $L$ by $L$ square lattice percolation model for varying $L$.
Take $L=20,50,100,200,500$, and 1000.
(Go higher if you feel $L=1000$ is for mere mortals.)
(Go lower if your code explodes.)
Let's continue with the tree obsession. A site has a tree with probability $p$, and a sheep grazing on what's left of a tree with probability $1-p$.
Forests are defined as any connected component of trees bordered by sheep, where connections are possible with a site's four nearest neighbors on a lattice.

Each square lattice is to be considered as a landscape on which forests and sheep co-exist.
Do not bagelize (or doughnutize) the landscape (no periodic boundary conditions-boundaries are boundaries).
(Note: this set up is called site percolation. Bond percolation is the alternate case when all links between neighboring sites exist with probability $p$.)
Steps:
i. For each $L$, run $N_{\text {tests }}=100$ tests for occupation probability $p$ moving from 0 to 1 in increments of $10^{-2}$. (As for $L$, you may use a smaller or larger increment depending on how things go.)
ii. Determine the fractional size of the largest connected forest for each of the $N_{\text {tests }}$, and find the average of these, $S_{\text {avg }}$.
iii. On a single figure, for each $L$, plot the average $S_{\text {avg }}$ as a function of $p$.
(b) Comment on how $S_{\text {avg }}(p ; N)$ changes as a function of $L$ and estimate the critical probability $p_{c}$ (the percolation threshold).

Helpful reuse of code (intended for black and white image analysis): You can use Matlab's bwconncomp to find the sizes of components. Very nice.
4. $(3+3)$
(a) Using your model from the previous question and your estimate of $p_{c}$, plot the distribution of forest sizes (meaning cluster sizes) for $p \simeq p_{c}$ for the largest $L$ your code and psychological makeup can withstand. (You can average the distribution over separate simulations.)
Comment on what kind of distribution you find.
(b) Repeat the above for $p=p_{c} / 2$ and $p=p_{c}+\left(1-p_{c}\right) / 2$, i.e., well below and well above $p_{c}$.
Produce plots for both cases, and again, comment on what you find.
5. $(3+3)$

Repeat of the last question from Assignment 4, changing from $\gamma=5 / 2$ to $\gamma=3 / 2$. Now $1<\gamma<2$ so we should see a very different behavior.

You should be able to reuse everything you set up for Assignment 4.
Here's the question reprinted with $\gamma$ switched.
For $\gamma=3 / 2$, generate $n=1000$ sets each of $N=10,10^{2}, 10^{3}, 10^{4}, 10^{5}$, and $10^{6}$ samples, using $P_{k}=c k^{-3 / 2}$ with $k=1,2,3, \ldots$

How do we computationally sample from a discrete probability distribution?
Hint: You can use a continuum approximation to speed things up. In fact, taking the exact continuum version from the first two assignments will work.
(a) For each value of sample size $N$, plot the maximum value of the $n=1000$ samples as a function of sample number (which is not the sample size $N$ ). So you should have $k_{\text {max }}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ where $i$ is sample number. These plots should give a sense of the unevenness of the maximum value of $k$, a feature of power-law size distributions.
(b) For each set, find the maximum value. Then find the average maximum value for each $N$. Plot $\left\langle k_{\max }\right\rangle$ as a function of $N$ and calculate the scaling using least squares.

Does your scaling match up with your theoretical estimate?

How to sample from your power law distribution (and kinds of beasts):
We now turn our problem of randomly selecting from this distribution into randomly selecting from the uniform distribution. After playing around a little, $k=10^{6}$ seems like a good upper limit for the number of samples we're talking about.

Using Matlab (or some ghastly alternative), we create a cdf for $P_{k}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, 10^{6}$ and one final entry $k>10^{6}$ (for which the cdf will be 1 ).

We generate a random number $x$ and find the value of $k$ for which the cdf is the first to meet or exceed $x$. This gives us our sample $k$ according to $P_{k}$ and we repeat as needed. We would use the exactly normalized $P_{k}=\frac{1}{\zeta(3 / 2)} k^{-3 / 2}$ where $\zeta$ is the Riemann zeta function.

Now, we can use a quick and dirty method by approximating $P_{k}$ with a continuous function $P(z)=(\gamma-1) z^{-\gamma}$ for $z \geq 1$ (we have used the normalization coefficient found in assignment 1 for $a=1$ and $b=\infty)$. Writing $F(z)$ as the $\operatorname{cdf}$ for $P(z)$, we have $F(z)=1-z^{-(\gamma-1)}=1-z^{-1 / 2}$. Inverting, we obtain $z=[1-F(z)]^{-2}$. We replace $F(z)$ with our random number $x$ and round the value of $z$ to finally get an estimate of $k$.

