

Dispersed: Thursday, September 19, 2019.
Due: Friday, September 27, by 11:59 pm, 2019.
Some useful reminders:
Deliverator: Dr. Nick Allgaier (nicholas.allgaier@uvm.edu)
Assistant Deliverator: David Dewhurst (david.dewhurst@uvm.edu)
Office: To be disclosed
Office hours: To be emphatically determined through a democratic process
Course website: http://www.uvm.edu/pdodds/teaching/courses/2019-08UVM-300
Bonus course notes: http://www.uvm.edu/pdodds/teaching/courses/2019-08UVM-300/docs/dewhurst-pocs-notes.pdf

All parts are worth 3 points unless marked otherwise. Please show all your workingses clearly and list the names of others with whom you collaborated.

Please obey the basic life rule: Never use Excel. Or any Microsoft product except maybe Xbox (which sadly will likely not help you here.)

Graduate students are requested to use ${ }^{\Delta \Delta} T_{E} X$ (or related $T_{E} X$ variant).
email submission: 1. Please send to david.dewhurst@uvm.edu.
2. PDF only! Please name your file as follows (where the number is to be padded by a 0 if less than 10 and names are all lowercase): CSYS300assignment\%02d\$firstname-\$lastname.pdf as in CSYS300assignment06michael-palin.pdf

Please submit your project's current draft in pdf format via email. Please use this file name format (all lowercase after CSYS):
CSYS300project-\$firstname-\$lastname-YYYY-MM-DD.pdf as in
CSYS300project-lisa-simpson-1989-12-17.pdf where the date is the date of submission (and not, say, your birthdate).

1. Code up Simon's rich-gets-richer model.

Show Zipf distributions for $\rho=0.10,0.01$, and 0.001 . and perform regressions to test $\alpha=1-\rho$.
Run the simulation for long enough to produce decent scaling laws (recall: three orders of magnitude is good).

Averaging over simulations will produce cleaner results so try 10 and then, if possible, 100.

Note the first mover advantage.
2. $(3+3+3$ points) For Herbert Simon's model of what we've called Random Competitive Replication, we found in class that the normalized number of groups in the long time limit, $n_{k}$, satisfies the following difference equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n_{k}}{n_{k-1}}=\frac{(k-1)(1-\rho)}{1+(1-\rho) k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \geq 2$. The model parameter $\rho$ is the probability that a newly arriving node forms a group of its own (or is a novel word, starts a new city, has a unique flavor, etc.). For $k=1$, we have instead

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}=\rho-(1-\rho) n_{1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which directly gives us $n_{1}$ in terms of $\rho$.
(a) Derive the exact solution for $n_{k}$ in terms of gamma functions and ultimately the beta function.
(b) From this exact form, determine the large $k$ behavior for $n_{k}\left(\sim k^{-\gamma}\right)$ and identify the exponent $\gamma$ in terms of $\rho$. You are welcome to use the fact that $B(x, y) \sim x^{-y}$ for large $x$ and fixed $y$ (use Stirling's approximation or possibly Wikipedia).

Note: Simon's own calculation is slightly awry. The end result is good however. Hint-Setting up Simon's model:

Direct link: http://www. youtube.com/watch?v=0TzI5J5W1K0

The hint's output including the bits not in the video:

3. What happens to $\gamma$ in the limits $\rho \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho \rightarrow 1$ ? Explain in a sentence or two what's going on in these cases and how the specific limiting value of $\gamma$ makes sense.
4. $(6+3+3$ points $)$

In Simon's original model, the expected total number of distinct groups at time $t$ is $\rho t$. Recall that each group is made up of elements of a particular flavor.
In class, we derived the fraction of groups containing only 1 element, finding

$$
n_{1}^{(g)}=\frac{N_{1}(t)}{\rho t}=\frac{1}{2-\rho}
$$

(a) $(3+3$ points $)$

Find the form of $n_{2}^{(g)}$ and $n_{3}^{(g)}$, the fraction of groups that are of size 2 and size 3.
(b) Using data for James Joyce's Ulysses (see below), first show that Simon's estimate for the innovation rate $\rho_{\text {est }} \simeq 0.115$ is reasonably accurate for the version of the text's word counts given below.

Hint: You should find a slightly higher number than Simon did.
Hint: Do not compute $\rho_{\text {est }}$ from an estimate of $\gamma$.
(c) Now compare the theoretical estimates for $n_{1}^{(g)}, n_{2}^{(g)}$, and $n_{3}^{(g)}$, with empirical values you obtain for Ulysses.

The data (links are clickable):

- Matlab file (sortedcounts = word frequency $f$ in descending order, sortedwords = ranked words):
http://www.uvm.edu/pdodds/teaching/courses/2019-08UVM-
300/docs/ulysses.mat
- Colon-separated text file (first column = word, second column = word frequency $f$ ):
http://www.uvm.edu/pdodds/teaching/courses/2019-08UVM300/docs/ulysses.txt

Data taken from http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~rac101/concord/texts/ ulysses/http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~rac101/concord/texts/ulysses/. Note that some matching words with differing capitalization are recorded as separate words.
5. $(3+3)$

More on the peculiar nature of distributions of power law tails:
Consider a set of $N$ samples, randomly chosen according to the probability distribution $P_{k}=c k^{-\gamma}$ where $k \geq 1$ and $2<\gamma<3$. (Note that $k$ is discrete rather than continuous.)
(a) Estimate $\min k_{\text {max }}$, the approximate minimum of the largest sample in the network, finding how it depends on $N$.
(Hint: we expect on the order of 1 of the $N$ samples to have a value of $\min k_{\text {max }}$ or greater.)

Hint-Some visual help on setting this problem up:

Direct link: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4tq1EuXA7QQ
(b) Determine the average value of samples with value $k \geq \min k_{\text {max }}$ to find how the expected value of $k_{\max }$ (i.e., $\left\langle k_{\max }\right\rangle$ ) scales with $N$.
For language, this scaling is known as Heap's law.
6. $(3+3)$

Let's see how well your answer for the previous question works.
For $\gamma=5 / 2$, generate $n=1000$ sets each of $N=10,10^{2}, 10^{3}, 10^{4}, 10^{5}$, and $10^{6}$ samples, using $P_{k}=c k^{-5 / 2}$ with $k=1,2,3, \ldots$

How do we computationally sample from a discrete probability distribution?
Hint: You can use a continuum approximation to speed things up. In fact, taking the exact continuum version from the first two assignments will work.
(a) For each value of sample size $N$, plot the maximum value of the $n=1000$ samples as a function of sample number (which is not the sample size $N$ ). So you should have $k_{\text {max }}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ where $i$ is sample number. These plots should give a sense of the unevenness of the maximum value of $k$, a feature of power-law size distributions.
(b) For each set, find the maximum value. Then find the average maximum value for each $N$. Plot $\left\langle k_{\max }\right\rangle$ as a function of $N$ and calculate the scaling using least squares.

Does your scaling match up with your theoretical estimate?

How to sample from your power law distribution (and kinds of beasts):

We now turn our problem of randomly selecting from this distribution into randomly selecting from the uniform distribution. After playing around a little, $k=10^{6}$ seems like a good upper limit for the number of samples we're talking about.

Using Matlab (or some ghastly alternative), we create a cdf for $P_{k}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, 10^{6}$ and one final entry $k>10^{6}$ (for which the cdf will be 1 ).
We generate a random number $x$ and find the value of $k$ for which the cdf is the first to meet or exceed $x$. This gives us our sample $k$ according to $P_{k}$ and we repeat as needed. We would use the exactly normalized $P_{k}=\frac{1}{\zeta(5 / 2)} k^{-5 / 2}$ where $\zeta$ is the Riemann zeta function.

Now, we can use a quick and dirty method by approximating $P_{k}$ with a continuous function $P(z)=(\gamma-1) z^{-\gamma}$ for $z \geq 1$ (we have used the normalization coefficient found in assignment 1 for $a=1$ and $b=\infty)$. Writing $F(z)$ as the cdf for $P(z)$, we have $F(z)=1-z^{-(\gamma-1)}=1-z^{-3 / 2}$. Inverting, we obtain $z=[1-F(z)]^{-2 / 3}$. We replace $F(z)$ with our random number $x$ and round the value of $z$ to finally get an estimate of $k$.

