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Random networks

Pure, abstract random networks:

- Consider set of all networks with $N$ labelled nodes and $m$ edges.
- Standard random network = one **randomly chosen** network from this set.
- To be clear: each network is **equally** probable.
- Sometimes equiprobability is a good assumption, but it is always an assumption.
- Known as Erdős-Rényi random networks or **ER graphs**.
Random network generator for $N = 3$:

- Get your own exciting generator [here](#).
- As $N \uparrow$, our polyhedral die rapidly becomes a ball...
Random networks—basic features:

- Number of possible edges:
  \[ 0 \leq m \leq \binom{N}{2} = \frac{N(N - 1)}{2} \]

- Limit of \( m = 0 \): empty graph.
- Limit of \( m = \binom{N}{2} \): complete or fully-connected graph.
- Number of possible networks with \( N \) labelled nodes:
  \[ 2^{\binom{N}{2}} \sim e^{\ln^2 2} N^2. \]

- Given \( m \) edges, there are \( \binom{N}{m} \) different possible networks.
- Crazy factorial explosion for \( 1 \ll m \ll \binom{N}{2} \).
- Real world: links are usually costly so real networks are almost always sparse.
Random networks

How to build standard random networks:

- Given $N$ and $m$.
- Two probabilistic methods (we’ll see a third later on)

1. Connect each of the $\binom{N}{2}$ pairs with appropriate probability $p$.
   - Useful for theoretical work.

2. Take $N$ nodes and add exactly $m$ links by selecting edges without replacement.
   - **Algorithm**: Randomly choose a pair of nodes $i$ and $j$, $i \neq j$, and connect if unconnected; repeat until all $m$ edges are allocated.
   - Best for adding relatively small numbers of links (most cases).
   - 1 and 2 are effectively equivalent for large $N$. 
Random networks
A few more things:

► For method 1, # links is probabilistic:

\[
\langle m \rangle = p \binom{N}{2} = p \frac{1}{2} N(N - 1)
\]

► So the expected or average degree is

\[
\langle k \rangle = \frac{2 \langle m \rangle}{N} = \frac{2}{N} p \frac{1}{2} N(N - 1) = \frac{2}{N} p \frac{1}{2} N(N - 1) = p(N - 1).
\]

► Which is what it should be...

► If we keep \( \langle k \rangle \) constant then \( p \propto 1/N \to 0 \) as \( N \to \infty \).
Random networks: examples

Next slides:
Example realizations of random networks
- $N = 500$
- Vary $m$, the number of edges from 100 to 1000.
- Average degree $\langle k \rangle$ runs from 0.4 to 4.
- Look at full network plus the largest component.
Random networks: examples

entire network:
largest component:

\[ N = 500, \text{ number of edges } m = 100 \]
\[ \langle k \rangle = 0.4 \]
Random networks: examples

entire network:  

N = 500, number of edges m = 200  
average degree \langle k \rangle = 0.8

largest component:
Random networks: examples

entire network:  
largest component:

$N = 500$, number of edges $m = 230$
average degree $\langle k \rangle = 0.92$
Random networks: examples

entire network:

largest component:

$N = 500$, number of edges $m = 240$
average degree $\langle k \rangle = 0.96$
Random networks: examples

entire network: largest component:

$N = 500$, number of edges $m = 250$
average degree $\langle k \rangle = 1$
Random networks: examples

entire network:  
largest component:

\[ N = 500, \text{ number of edges } m = 260 \]
\[ \langle k \rangle = 1.04 \]
Random networks: examples

entire network:

largest component:

\[ N = 500, \text{ number of edges } m = 280 \]
\[ \langle k \rangle = 1.12 \]
Random networks: examples

entire network:  largest component:

$N = 500$, number of edges $m = 300$
average degree $\langle k \rangle = 1.2$
Random networks: examples

entire network:

largest component:

$N = 500$, number of edges $m = 500$
average degree $\langle k \rangle = 2$
Random networks: examples

entire network:

largest component:

\[ N = 500, \text{ number of edges } m = 1000 \]
\[ \text{average degree } \langle k \rangle = 4 \]
Random networks: examples for $N=500$

$m = 100$
$\langle k \rangle = 0.4$

$m = 200$
$\langle k \rangle = 0.8$

$m = 230$
$\langle k \rangle = 0.92$

$m = 240$
$\langle k \rangle = 0.96$

$m = 250$
$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$m = 260$
$\langle k \rangle = 1.04$

$m = 280$
$\langle k \rangle = 1.12$

$m = 300$
$\langle k \rangle = 1.2$

$m = 500$
$\langle k \rangle = 2$

$m = 1000$
$\langle k \rangle = 4$
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Random networks: largest components

$m = 100$
$\langle k \rangle = 0.4$

$m = 200$
$\langle k \rangle = 0.8$

$m = 230$
$\langle k \rangle = 0.92$

$m = 240$
$\langle k \rangle = 0.96$

$m = 250$
$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$m = 260$
$\langle k \rangle = 1.04$

$m = 280$
$\langle k \rangle = 1.12$

$m = 300$
$\langle k \rangle = 1.2$

$m = 500$
$\langle k \rangle = 2$

$m = 1000$
$\langle k \rangle = 4$
Random networks: examples for $N=500$

$m = 250$

$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$\langle k \rangle = 1$
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Random networks: largest components

$m = 250$
$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$m = 250$
$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$m = 250$
$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$m = 250$
$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$m = 250$
$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$m = 250$
$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$m = 250$
$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$m = 250$
$\langle k \rangle = 1$

$m = 250$
$\langle k \rangle = 1$
Clustering in random networks:

- For method 1, what is the clustering coefficient for a finite network?
- Consider triangle/triple clustering coefficient: \([1]\)

\[ C_2 = \frac{3 \times \# \text{triangles}}{\# \text{triples}} \]

- Recall: \(C_2 = \text{probability that two friends of a node are also friends.}\)
- Or: \(C_2 = \text{probability that a triple is part of a triangle.}\)
- For standard random networks, we have simply that

\[ C_2 = p. \]
Other ways to compute clustering:

- Expected number of triples in entire network:
  \[ \frac{1}{2}N(N - 1)(N - 2)p^2 \]
  (Double counting dealt with by \( \frac{1}{2} \).)

- Expected number of triangles in entire network:
  \[ \frac{1}{6}N(N - 1)(N - 2)p^3 \]
  (Over-counting dealt with by \( \frac{1}{6} \).)

\[ C_2 = \frac{3 \times \#\text{triangles}}{\#\text{triples}} = \frac{3 \times \frac{1}{6}N(N - 1)(N - 2)p^3}{\frac{1}{2}N(N - 1)(N - 2)p^2} = p. \]
Other ways to compute clustering:

- Or: take any three nodes, call them $a$, $b$, and $c$.
- Triple $a$-$b$-$c$ centered at $b$ occurs with probability $p^2 \times (1 - p) + p^2 \times p = p^2$.
- Triangle occurs with probability $p^3$.
- Therefore,

$$C_2 = \frac{p^3}{p^2} = p.$$
Clustering in random networks:

- So for large random networks ($N \to \infty$), clustering drops to zero.
- Key structural feature of random networks is that they locally look like pure branching networks.
- No small loops.
Random networks

Degree distribution:

- Recall \( P_k \) = probability that a randomly selected node has degree \( k \).
- Consider method 1 for constructing random networks: each possible link is realized with probability \( p \).
- Now consider one node: there are \( \binom{N-1}{k} \) ways the node can be connected to \( k \) of the other \( N - 1 \) nodes.
- Each connection occurs with probability \( p \), each non-connection with probability \( (1 - p) \).
- Therefore have a binomial distribution:

\[
P(k; p, N) = \binom{N-1}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{N-1-k}.
\]
Limiting form of $P(k; p, N)$:

- Our degree distribution:
  \[ P(k; p, N) = \binom{N-1}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{N-1-k}. \]

- What happens as $N \rightarrow \infty$?
  - We must end up with the normal distribution right?
  - If $p$ is fixed, then we would end up with a Gaussian with average degree $\langle k \rangle \approx pN \rightarrow \infty$.
  - But we want to keep $\langle k \rangle$ fixed...

- So examine limit of $P(k; p, N)$ when $p \rightarrow 0$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $\langle k \rangle = p(N - 1) = \text{constant.}$
Limiting form of $P(k; p, N)$:

- Substitute $p = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N - 1}$ into $P(k; p, N)$ and hold $k$ fixed:

$$P(k; p, N) = \left(\frac{N - 1}{k}\right) \left(\frac{\langle k \rangle}{N - 1}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N - 1}\right)^{N - 1 - k}$$

$$= \frac{(N - 1)!}{k!(N - 1 - k)!} \left(\frac{\langle k \rangle}{N - 1}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N - 1}\right)^{N - 1 - k}$$

$$= \frac{(N - 1)(N - 2) \cdots (N - k)}{k!} \frac{\langle k \rangle^k}{(N - 1)^k} \left(1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N - 1}\right)^{N - 1 - k}$$

$$\approx \frac{\langle k \rangle^k}{k!N^k} \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{N}) \cdots (1 - \frac{k}{N})}{(1 - \frac{1}{N})^k} \left(1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N - 1}\right)^{N - 1 - k}$$
Limiting form of $P(k; p, N)$:

- We are now here:
  \[ P(k; p, N) \approx \frac{\langle k \rangle^k}{k!} \left( 1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N - 1} \right)^{N-1-k} \]

- Now use the excellent result:
  \[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{x}{n} \right)^n = e^x. \]
  (Use l'Hôpital’s rule to prove.)

- Identifying $n = N - 1$ and $x = -\langle k \rangle$:
  \[ P(k; \langle k \rangle) \approx \frac{\langle k \rangle^k}{k!} e^{-\langle k \rangle} \left( 1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N - 1} \right)^{-k} \rightarrow \frac{\langle k \rangle^k}{k!} e^{-\langle k \rangle} \]

- This is a Poisson distribution (⊞) with mean $\langle k \rangle$. 
Poisson basics:

\[ P(k; \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} \]

- \( \lambda > 0 \)
- \( k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \)
- Classic use: probability that an event occurs \( k \) times in a given time period, given an average rate of occurrence.
- e.g.: phone calls/minute, horse-kick deaths.
- ‘Law of small numbers’
Poisson basics:

- Normalization: we must have
  \[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(k; \langle k \rangle) = 1 \]

- Checking:
  \[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(k; \langle k \rangle) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle k \rangle^k}{k!} e^{-\langle k \rangle} \]
  \[ = e^{-\langle k \rangle} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle k \rangle^k}{k!} \]
  \[ = e^{-\langle k \rangle} e^{\langle k \rangle} = 1 \checkmark \]
Poisson basics:

- Mean degree: we must have

\[ \langle k \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P(k; \langle k \rangle). \]

- Checking:

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P(k; \langle k \rangle) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \frac{\langle k \rangle^k}{k!} e^{-\langle k \rangle}
\]

\[ = e^{-\langle k \rangle} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle k \rangle^k}{(k-1)!} \]

\[ = \langle k \rangle e^{-\langle k \rangle} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle k \rangle^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \]

\[ = \langle k \rangle e^{-\langle k \rangle} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle k \rangle^i}{i!} = \langle k \rangle e^{-\langle k \rangle} e^{\langle k \rangle} = \langle k \rangle \checkmark \]

- Note: We’ll get to a better and crazier way of doing this...
Poisson basics:

- The variance of degree distributions for random networks turns out to be very important.
- Use calculation similar to one for finding $\langle k \rangle$ to find the second moment:

$$\langle k^2 \rangle = \langle k \rangle^2 + \langle k \rangle.$$

- Variance is then

$$\sigma^2 = \langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle^2 = \langle k \rangle^2 + \langle k \rangle - \langle k \rangle^2 = \langle k \rangle.$$

- So standard deviation $\sigma$ is equal to $\sqrt{\langle k \rangle}$.
- Note: This is a special property of Poisson distribution and can trip us up...
General random networks

- So... standard random networks have a Poisson degree distribution
- Generalize to arbitrary degree distribution $P_k$.
- Also known as the configuration model. [1]
- Can generalize construction method from ER random networks.
- Assign each node a weight $w$ from some distribution $P_w$ and form links with probability

$$P(\text{link between } i \text{ and } j) \propto w_i w_j.$$  

- But we’ll be more interested in
  1. Randomly wiring up (and rewiring) already existing nodes with fixed degrees.
  2. Examining mechanisms that lead to networks with certain degree distributions.
Random networks: examples

Coming up:
Example realizations of random networks with power law degree distributions:

- $N = 1000$.
- $P_k \propto k^{-\gamma}$ for $k \geq 1$.
- Set $P_0 = 0$ (no isolated nodes).
- Vary exponent $\gamma$ between 2.10 and 2.91.
- Again, look at full network plus the largest component.
- Apart from degree distribution, wiring is random.
Random networks: examples for $N=1000$
Random networks: largest components

\[ \gamma = 2.1, \quad \langle k \rangle = 3.448 \]

\[ \gamma = 2.19, \quad \langle k \rangle = 2.986 \]

\[ \gamma = 2.28, \quad \langle k \rangle = 2.306 \]

\[ \gamma = 2.37, \quad \langle k \rangle = 2.504 \]

\[ \gamma = 2.46, \quad \langle k \rangle = 1.856 \]

\[ \gamma = 2.55, \quad \langle k \rangle = 1.712 \]

\[ \gamma = 2.64, \quad \langle k \rangle = 1.6 \]

\[ \gamma = 2.73, \quad \langle k \rangle = 1.862 \]

\[ \gamma = 2.82, \quad \langle k \rangle = 1.386 \]

\[ \gamma = 2.91, \quad \langle k \rangle = 1.49 \]
The edge-degree distribution:

- The degree distribution $P_k$ is fundamental for our description of many complex networks.
- Again: $P_k$ is the degree of randomly chosen node.
- A second very important distribution arises from choosing randomly on edges rather than on nodes.
- Define $Q_k$ to be the probability the node at a random end of a randomly chosen edge has degree $k$.
- Now choosing nodes based on their degree (i.e., size):
  \[ Q_k \propto kP_k \]
- Normalized form:
  \[ Q_k = \frac{kP_k}{\sum_{k'=0}^{\infty} k'P_{k'}} = \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle}. \]
The edge-degree distribution:

- For random networks, $Q_k$ is also the probability that a friend (neighbor) of a random node has $k$ friends.

- Useful variant on $Q_k$:

  $R_k = \text{probability that a friend of a random node has } k \text{ other friends.}$

  
  $$R_k = \frac{(k + 1)P_{k+1}}{\sum_{k'=0}^{\infty}(k' + 1)P_{k'+1}} = \frac{(k + 1)P_{k+1}}{\langle k \rangle}$$

- Equivalent to friend having degree $k + 1$.

- Natural question: what’s the expected number of other friends that one friend has?
The edge-degree distribution:

Given $R_k$ is the probability that a friend has $k$ other friends, then the average number of friends’ other friends is

$$
\langle k \rangle_R = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kR_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \frac{(k+1)P_{k+1}}{\langle k \rangle}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(k+1)P_{k+1}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)^2 - (k+1) P_{k+1}
$$

(where we have sneakily matched up indices)

$$
= \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j^2 - j)P_j \quad \text{(using } j = k+1 \text{)}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} (\langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle)
$$
The edge-degree distribution:

- Note: our result, $\langle k \rangle_R = \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \left( \langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle \right)$, is true for all random networks, independent of degree distribution.
- For standard random networks, recall
  
  $$\langle k^2 \rangle = \langle k \rangle^2 + \langle k \rangle.$$
  
  Therefore:
  
  $$\langle k \rangle_R = \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \left( \langle k \rangle^2 + \langle k \rangle - \langle k \rangle \right) = \langle k \rangle$$
  
- Again, neatness of results is a special property of the Poisson distribution.
- So friends on average have $\langle k \rangle$ other friends, and $\langle k \rangle + 1$ total friends...
Two reasons why this matters

Reason #1:

- Average # friends of friends per node is

\[ \langle k_2 \rangle = \langle k \rangle \times \langle k \rangle_R = \langle k \rangle \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} (\langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle) = \langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle. \]

- Key: Average depends on the 1st and 2nd moments of \( P_k \) and not just the 1st moment.

- Three peculiarities:
  1. We might guess \( \langle k_2 \rangle = \langle k \rangle (\langle k \rangle - 1) \) but it’s actually \( \langle k(k - 1) \rangle. \)
  2. If \( P_k \) has a large second moment, then \( \langle k_2 \rangle \) will be big. (e.g., in the case of a power-law distribution)
  3. Your friends really are different from you...
Two reasons why this matters

More on peculiarity #3:

- A node’s average # of friends: $\langle k \rangle$
- Friend’s average # of friends: $\langle k^2 \rangle / \langle k \rangle$
- Comparison:

$$
\frac{\langle k^2 \rangle}{\langle k \rangle} = \langle k \rangle \frac{\langle k^2 \rangle}{\langle k \rangle^2} = \langle k \rangle \frac{\sigma^2 + \langle k \rangle^2}{\langle k \rangle^2} = \langle k \rangle \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\langle k \rangle^2} \right) \geq \langle k \rangle
$$

So only if everyone has the same degree (variance $= \sigma^2 = 0$) can a node be the same as its friends.
- Intuition: for random networks, the more connected a node, the more likely it is to be chosen as a friend.
Two reasons why this matters

(Big) Reason #2:

- $\langle k \rangle_R$ is key to understanding how well random networks are connected together.
- e.g., we’d like to know what’s the size of the largest component within a network.
- As $N \to \infty$, does our network have a giant component?
- Defn: Component = connected subnetwork of nodes such that $\exists$ path between each pair of nodes in the subnetwork, and no node outside of the subnetwork is connected to it.
- Defn: Giant component = component that comprises a non-zero fraction of a network as $N \to \infty$.
- Note: Component = Cluster
Giant component
Structure of random networks

Giant component:

- A giant component exists if when we follow a random edge, we are likely to hit a node with at least 1 other outgoing edge.
- Equivalently, expect exponential growth in node number as we move out from a random node.
- All of this is the same as requiring $\langle k \rangle_R > 1$.
- Giant component condition (or percolation condition):

$$\langle k \rangle_R = \frac{\langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle}{\langle k \rangle} > 1$$

- Again, see that the second moment is an essential part of the story.
- Equivalent statement: $\langle k^2 \rangle > 2\langle k \rangle$
Giant component

Standard random networks:

- Recall $\langle k^2 \rangle = \langle k \rangle^2 + \langle k \rangle$.
- Condition for giant component:

$$\langle k \rangle_R = \frac{\langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle}{\langle k \rangle} = \frac{\langle k \rangle^2 + \langle k \rangle - \langle k \rangle}{\langle k \rangle} = \langle k \rangle$$

- Therefore when $\langle k \rangle > 1$, standard random networks have a giant component.
- When $\langle k \rangle < 1$, all components are finite.
- Fine example of a continuous phase transition (\[\square\]).
- We say $\langle k \rangle = 1$ marks the critical point of the system.
Giant component
Random networks with skewed $P_k$:

- e.g., if $P_k = ck^{-\gamma}$ with $2 < \gamma < 3$, $k \geq 1$, then

$$\langle k^2 \rangle = c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 k^{-\gamma}$$

$$\sim \int_{x=1}^{\infty} x^{2-\gamma} dx$$

$$\propto x^{3-\gamma} \bigg|_{x=1}^{\infty} = \infty \quad (\gg \langle k \rangle).$$

- So giant component always exists for these kinds of networks.
- Cutoff scaling is $k^{-3}$: if $\gamma > 3$ then we have to look harder at $\langle k \rangle_R$.
- How about $P_k = \delta_{k0}$?
Giant component
And how big is the largest component?

▸ Define $S_1$ as the size of the largest component.

▸ Consider an infinite ER random network with average degree $\langle k \rangle$.

▸ Let’s find $S_1$ with a back-of-the-envelope argument.

▸ Define $\delta$ as the probability that a randomly chosen node does not belong to the largest component.

▸ Simple connection: $\delta = 1 - S_1$.

▸ Dirty trick: If a randomly chosen node is not part of the largest component, then none of its neighbors are.

▸ So

$$\delta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \delta^k$$

▸ Substitute in Poisson distribution...
Giant component

Carrying on:

\[ \delta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \delta^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^k}{k!} e^{-\langle k \rangle} \delta^k \]

\[ = e^{-\langle k \rangle} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\langle k \rangle \delta)^k}{k!} \]

\[ = e^{-\langle k \rangle} e^{\langle k \rangle} \delta = e^{-\langle k \rangle} (1 - \delta). \]

Now substitute in \( \delta = 1 - S_1 \) and rearrange to obtain:

\[ S_1 = 1 - e^{-\langle k \rangle} S_1. \]
Giant component

- We can figure out some limits and details for $S_1 = 1 - e^{-\langle k \rangle S_1}$.
- First, we can write $\langle k \rangle$ in terms of $S_1$:

$$\langle k \rangle = \frac{1}{S_1} \ln \frac{1}{1 - S_1}.$$ 

- As $\langle k \rangle \to 0$, $S_1 \to 0$.
- As $\langle k \rangle \to \infty$, $S_1 \to 1$.
- Notice that at $\langle k \rangle = 1$, the critical point, $S_1 = 0$.
- Only solvable for $S_1 > 0$ when $\langle k \rangle > 1$.
- Really a transcritical bifurcation. \[2\]
Giant component
Giant component

Turns out we were lucky...

- Our dirty trick only works for ER random networks.
- The problem: We assumed that neighbors have the same probability $\delta$ of belonging to the largest component.
- But we know our friends are different from us...
- Works for ER random networks because $\langle k \rangle = \langle k \rangle_R$.
- We need a separate probability $\delta'$ for the chance that an edge leads to the giant (infinite) component.
- We can sort many things out with sensible probabilistic arguments...
- More detailed investigations will profit from a spot of Generatingfunctionology. \[3\]
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