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Human Behavior/Principle of Least Effort:

From the Preface—
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The Principle of Least Effort:

Zipf’s framing (p. 1):
“... a person in solving his immediate problems will view these against the background of his probable future problems as estimated by himself.”

“... he will strive ... to minimize the total work that he must expend in solving both his immediate problems and his probable future problems.”
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Rampaging research

Within Human Behavior and the Principle of Least Effort:

- City sizes
- # retail stores in cities
- # services (barber shops, beauty parlors, cleaning, ...)
- # people in occupations
- # one-way trips in cars and trucks vs. distance
- # new items by dateline
- weight moved between cities by rail
- # telephone messages between cities
- # people moving vs. distance
- # marriages vs. distance

Observed general dependency of ‘interactions’ between cities $A$ and $B$ on $P_A P_B / D_{AB}$ where $P_A$ and $P_B$ are population size and $D_{AB}$ is distance between $A$ and $B$. 
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Zipfian empirics:

- vocabulary balance: $f \sim r^{-1} \rightarrow r \cdot f \sim \text{constant}$
  ($f =$ frequency, $r =$ rank).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank ($r$)</th>
<th>Frequency ($f$)</th>
<th>Product of I and II ($r \times f = C$)</th>
<th>Theoretical Length of Ulysses ($C \times 10$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2,653</td>
<td>26,530</td>
<td>265,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,311</td>
<td>26,220</td>
<td>262,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>27,780</td>
<td>277,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>28,680</td>
<td>286,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>27,800</td>
<td>278,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>26,560</td>
<td>265,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>26,600</td>
<td>266,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>25,200</td>
<td>252,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>24,800</td>
<td>248,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>260,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>240,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>240,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>240,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29,899</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29,899</td>
<td>298,990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Zipfian empirics:

- \( f \sim r^{-1} \) for word frequency:

Fig. 2-1. The rank-frequency distribution of words. (A) The James Joyce data; (B) the Eldridge data; (C) ideal curve with slope of negative unity.
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Forces of Unification and Diversification:

- Easiest for the speaker to use just one word.

- Zipf uses the analogy of tools: one tool for all tasks.

- Optimal for listener if all pieces of information correspond to different words (or morphemes).

- Analogy: a specialized tool for every task.

- Zipf thereby argues for a tension that should lead to an uneven distribution of word usage.

- No formal theory beyond this...
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Zipfian empirics:

- Number of meanings $m_r \propto f_r^{1/2}$ where $r$ is rank and $f_r$ is frequency.

Fig. 2-2. The meaning-frequency distribution of words.
Zipfian empirics:

▶ Article length in the Encyclopedia Britannica:

![Graph showing a negative slope with a lowest common factor of 10, labeled as 11th Edition.](image)

*Fig. 5–3. The number of different articles of like length in samples of the 11th edition of the *Encyclopaedia Britannica*. Lengths in inches.*

▶ (?) slope of $-3/5$ corresponds to $\gamma = 5/3$. 
Zipfian empirics:

- Population size of districts:

![Graph](image)

Fig. 9-2. Metropolitan districts. One hundred largest in the U. S. A. in 1940, ranked in the order of decreasing population size.

- $\alpha = 1$ corresponds to $\gamma = 1 + 1/\alpha = 2$. 
Zipfian empirics:

- Number of employees in organizations

Fig. 9-8. Manufactures and their wage earners in the U. S. A. in 1939, with the manufactures ranked in the order of their decreasing number of wage earners.

\( \alpha = \frac{2}{3} \) corresponds to \( \gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{5}{2} \).
Zipfian empirics:

- # news items as a function of population $P_2$ of location in the Chicago Tribune
- $D =$ distance, $P_1 =$ Chicago’s population
- Solid line = +1 exponent.

![Graph showing the relationship between the number of news items and population, with a linear trend line.]

*Fig. 9–10. Number of different news items in The Chicago Tribune. (W is the dateline of Washington, D. C.).*
Zipfian empirics:

- # obituaries in the New York Times for locations with population $P_2$.
- $D = \text{distance}, \ P_1 = \text{New York's population}$
- Solid line = +1 exponent.

![Graph showing Zipfian empirics](image)

**Fig. 9–11. Number of obituaries in The New York Times (N represents Newark, New Jersey).**
Zipfian empirics:

- Movement of stuff between cities
- $D = \text{distance}$, $P_1$ and $P_2 = \text{city populations}$.
- Solid line = $+1$ exponent.

![Graph showing Zipfian empirics]

Fig. 9–14. Railway express. The movement by weight (less carload lots) between 13 arbitrary cities in the U. S. A., May 1939.
Zipfian empirics:

- Length of trip versus frequency of trip.
- Solid line = -1/2 exponent corresponds to $\gamma = 2$. 

Fig. 9–19. Trucks and passenger cars: the number of one-way trips of like length.
Zipfian empirics:

- The probability of marriage?
- $\gamma = 1$?

**Fig. 9-22.** Number of marriage licenses issued to 5,000 pairs of applicants living within Philadelphia in 1931 and separated by varying distances (the data of J. H. S. Bossard).
Recent Zipf action:

- Probability of people being in certain locations follows a Zipfish law...