Can Horton and Tokunaga be happy?

Horton and Tokunaga seem different:

- In terms of network architecture, Horton’s laws appear to contain less detailed information than Tokunaga’s law.
- Oddly, Horton’s laws have four parameters and Tokunaga has two parameters.
- \( R_n, \ R_a, \ R_\ell, \) and \( R_s \) versus \( T_1 \) and \( R_T \). One simple redundancy: \( R_\ell = R_s \).

  Insert question from assignment 1

- To make a connection, clearest approach is to start with Tokunaga’s law...
- Known result: Tokunaga \( \rightarrow \) Horton \([18, 19, 20, 9, 2]\)

Let us make them happy

We need one more ingredient:

Space-fillingness

- A network is space-filling if the average distance between adjacent streams is roughly constant.
- Reasonable for river and cardiovascular networks
- For river networks: Drainage density \( \rho_{\text{dd}} = \) inverse of typical distance between channels in a landscape.
- In terms of basin characteristics:

\[
\rho_{\text{dd}} \approx \sum_{\omega=1}^{\Omega} \frac{\text{stream segment lengths}}{\text{basin area}} = \sum_{\omega=1}^{\Omega} \frac{n_\omega \bar{s}_\omega}{\bar{a}_\Omega}
\]
More with the happy-making thing

Start with Tokunaga’s law: $T_k = T_1 R_T^{k-1}$

- Start looking for Horton’s stream number law: $n_\omega / n_{\omega+1} = R_H$.
- Estimate $n_\omega$, the number of streams of order $\omega$ in terms of other $n_{\omega'}$, $\omega' > \omega$.
- Observe that each stream of order $\omega$ terminates by either:
  1. Running into another stream of order $\omega$ and generating a stream of order $\omega + 1$...
     - $2n_{\omega+1}$ streams of order $\omega$ do this
  2. Running into and being absorbed by a stream of higher order $\omega' > \omega$...
     - $n_{\omega'} T_{\omega'-\omega}$ streams of order $\omega$ do this

Finding other Horton ratios

Connect Tokunaga to $R_s$

- Now use uniform drainage density $\rho_{dd}$.
- Assume side streams are roughly separated by distance $1/\rho_{dd}$.
- For an order $\omega$ stream segment, expected length is
  $$\bar{s}_\omega \simeq \rho_{dd}^{-1} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\omega-1} T_k \right)$$

Horton and Tokunaga are happy

Altogether then:

- $$\Rightarrow \bar{s}_\omega / \bar{s}_{\omega-1} = R_T \Rightarrow R_s = R_T$$

Recall $R_\ell = R_s$ so

And from before:

$$R_n = \frac{(2 + R_T + T_1) \pm \sqrt{(2 + R_T + T_1)^2 - 8R_T}}{2}$$

(The larger value is the one we want.)
Horton and Tokunaga are happy

Some observations:
- $R_n$ and $R_\ell$ depend on $T_1$ and $R_T$.
- Seems that $R_a$ must as well...
- Suggests Horton’s laws must contain some redundancy
- We’ll in fact see that $R_a = R_n$.
- Also: Both Tokunaga’s law and Horton’s laws can be generalized to relationships between non-trivial statistical distributions. [3, 4]

Horton and Tokunaga are friends

From Horton to Tokunaga [2]

(a) Assume Horton’s laws hold for number and length
(b) Start with an order $\omega$ stream
(c) Scale up by a factor of $R_\ell$, orders increment
   Maintain drainage density by adding new order 1 streams

The other way round

Note: We can invert the expressions for $R_n$ and $R_\ell$ to find Tokunaga’s parameters in terms of Horton’s parameters.

- $R_T = R_\ell$,
- $T_1 = R_n - R_\ell - 2 + 2R_\ell/R_n$.
- Suggests we should be able to argue that Horton’s laws imply Tokunaga’s laws (if drainage density is uniform)...

... and in detail:
- Must retain same drainage density.
- Add an extra $(R_\ell - 1)$ first order streams for each original tributary.
- Since number of first order streams is now given by $T_{k+1}$ we have:
  $$T_{k+1} = (R_\ell - 1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} T_i + 1 \right).$$
- For large $\omega$, Tokunaga’s law is the solution—let’s check...
Horton and Tokunaga are friends

Just checking:

- Substitute Tokunaga’s law $T_i = T_1 R_i^{j-1} = T_1 R_k^{j-1}$ into
  
  \[ T_{k+1} = (R_{k} - 1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} T_i + 1 \right) \]
  
  \[ T_{k+1} = (R_{k} - 1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} T_i R_{i}^{j-1} + 1 \right) \]

  \[ = (R_{k} - 1) T_1 \left( R_{k}^{k-1} - 1 \right) + 1 \]

  \[ \simeq (R_{k} - 1) T_1 R_{k}^{k} \quad \text{... yep.} \]

Measuring Horton ratios is tricky:

- How robust are our estimates of ratios?
- Rule of thumb: discard data for two smallest and two largest orders.

Horton’s laws of area and number:

- In right plots, stream number graph has been flipped vertically.
- Highly suggestive that $R_n \equiv R_a$...

Mississippi:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\omega$ range</th>
<th>$R_n$</th>
<th>$R_a$</th>
<th>$R_i$</th>
<th>$R_s$</th>
<th>$R_a/R_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[2, 3]</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2, 5]</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2, 7]</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3, 4]</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3, 6]</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3, 8]</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[4, 6]</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[4, 8]</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5, 7]</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6, 7]</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7, 8]</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean $\mu_\ell$</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std dev $\sigma$</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma/\mu_\ell$</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amazon:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ω range</th>
<th>$R_n$</th>
<th>$R_a$</th>
<th>$R_l$</th>
<th>$R_s$</th>
<th>$R_a/R_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[2, 3]</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2, 5]</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2, 7]</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3, 5]</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3, 7]</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[4, 6]</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5, 6]</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6, 7]</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mean μ: 4.42  std dev σ: 0.17  $\sigma/\mu$: 0.038

Reducing Horton's laws:

Continued ...

$\alpha_\omega \propto \sum \omega \bar{s}_\omega / \rho_{dd}$

$\propto \sum \omega \left( R_n / R_s \right)^{\omega} \bar{s}_\omega 

= R_n^{\Omega} R_s \bar{s}_1 \sum \omega \left( R_n / R_s \right)^{\omega-1} 

\sim R_n^{\Omega} \bar{s}_1 \sum \omega \left( R_n / R_s \right)^{\omega-1}$

So, $\alpha_\omega$ is growing like $R_n^{\Omega}$ and therefore:

$R_n \equiv R_a$

Reducing Horton's laws:

Not quite:

$\alpha_\omega \propto \sum \omega \bar{s}_\omega / \rho_{dd}$

... But this only a rough argument as Horton's laws do not imply a strict hierarchy

Need to account for sidebranching.

Insert question from assignment 1 (⊞)
Equipartitioning:

Intriguing division of area:

- Observe: Combined area of basins of order $\omega$ independent of $\omega$.
- Not obvious: basins of low orders not necessarily contained in basis on higher orders.
- Story:
  \[ R_n \equiv R_a \Rightarrow n_\omega \bar{a}_\omega = \text{const} \]
- Reason:
  \[ n_\omega \propto (R_n)^{-\omega} \]
  \[ \bar{a}_\omega \propto (R_a)^{\omega} \propto n_\omega^{-1} \]

Scaling laws

The story so far:

- Natural branching networks are hierarchical, self-similar structures.
- Hierarchy is mixed.
- Tokunaga’s law describes detailed architecture: $T_k = T_1 R_T^{k-1}$.
- We have connected Tokunaga’s and Horton’s laws.
- Only two Horton laws are independent ($R_n = R_a$).
- Only two parameters are independent: $(T_1, R_T) \Leftrightarrow (R_n, R_s)$

A little further...

- Ignore stream ordering for the moment.
- Pick a random location on a branching network $p$.
- Each point $p$ is associated with a basin and a longest stream length.
- Q: What is probability that the $p$’s drainage basin has area $a$? $P(a) \propto a^{-\tau}$ for large $a$.
- Q: What is probability that the longest stream from $p$ has length $\ell$? $P(\ell) \propto \ell^{-\gamma}$ for large $\ell$.
- Roughly observed: $1.3 \lesssim \tau \lesssim 1.5$ and $1.7 \lesssim \gamma \lesssim 2.0$. 
Scaling laws

Probability distributions with power-law decays

- We see them everywhere:
  - Earthquake magnitudes (Gutenberg-Richter law)
  - City sizes (Zipf’s law)
  - Word frequency (Zipf’s law)
  - Wealth (maybe not—at least heavy tailed)
  - Statistical mechanics (phase transitions)
- A big part of the story of complex systems
- Arise from mechanisms: growth, randomness, optimization, ...
- Our task is always to illuminate the mechanism...

Scaling laws

Finding $\gamma$:

- Often useful to work with cumulative distributions, especially when dealing with power-law distributions.
- The complementary cumulative distribution turns out to be most useful:
  \[ P_>(\ell_*) = P(\ell > \ell_*) = \int_{\ell=\ell_*}^{\ell_{\text{max}}} P(\ell) \, d\ell \]
- \[ P_>(\ell_*) = 1 - P(\ell < \ell_*) \]
- Also known as the exceedance probability.

Scaling laws

Connecting exponents

- We have the detailed picture of branching networks (Tokunaga and Horton)
- Plan: Derive $P(a) \propto a^{-\tau}$ and $P(\ell) \propto \ell^{-\gamma}$ starting with Tokunaga/Horton story
- Let’s work on $P(\ell)$...
- Our first fudge: assume Horton’s laws hold throughout a basin of order $\Omega$.
- (We know they deviate from strict laws for low $\omega$ and high $\omega$ but not too much.)

Scaling laws

Finding $\gamma$:

- The connection between $P(x)$ and $P_>(x)$ when $P(x)$ has a power law tail is simple:
- Given $P(\ell) \sim \ell^{-\gamma}$ large $\ell$ then for large enough $\ell_*$
  \[ P_>(\ell_*) = \int_{\ell=\ell_*}^{\ell_{\text{max}}} P(\ell) \, d\ell \]
  \[ \sim \int_{\ell=\ell_*}^{\ell_{\text{max}}} \ell^{-\gamma} \, d\ell = \frac{\ell_{-\gamma+1}}{-\gamma+1} \bigg|_{\ell=\ell_*}^{\ell_{\text{max}}} \]
  \[ \propto \ell_*^{-\gamma+1} \quad \text{for} \quad \ell_{\text{max}} \gg \ell_* \]
Scaling laws

Finding γ:

Aim: determine probability of randomly choosing a point on a network with main stream length > ℓ∗

Assume some spatial sampling resolution ∆

Landscape is broken up into grid of ∆ × ∆ sites

Approximate \( P_>(\ell_*) \) as

\[
P_>(\ell_*) = \frac{N_>(\ell_*; \Delta)}{N_>(0; \Delta)}.
\]

where \( N_>(\ell_*; \Delta) \) is the number of sites with main stream length > ℓ∗.

Use Horton’s law of stream segments:

\[
s_ω/s_{ω-1} = R_s
\]

Cleaning up irrelevant constants:

\[
P_>(\ell_ω) \propto \sum_{ω'=ω+1}^{Ω} (1 \cdot R_n^{Ω-ω'})(\bar{s}_1 \cdot R_s^{ω'-1})
\]

Change summation order by substituting \( ω'' = Ω - ω' \).

Sum is now from \( ω'' = 0 \) to \( ω'' = Ω - ω - 1 \) (equivalent to \( ω' = Ω \) down to \( ω' = ω + 1 \))

\[
\sum_{ω''=0}^{Ω-ω-1} (1 \cdot R_n^{Ω-ω''})(\bar{s}_1 \cdot R_s^{ω''-1})
\]

Scaling laws

Finding γ:

Set \( ℓ_*=ℓ_ω \) for some \( 1 \ll ω \ll Ω \).

\[
P_>(\ell_ω) = \frac{N_>(\ell_ω; Δ)}{N_>(0; Δ)} \approx \sum_{ω'=ω+1}^{Ω} n_ω s_ω'/Δ
\]

\[
\frac{Ω}{ω'=ω+1} n_ω s_ω'/Δ
\]

Δ’s cancel

Denominator is \( \Omega \rho/dd \), a constant.

So... using Horton’s laws...

\[
P_>(\ell_ω) \propto \sum_{ω'=ω+1}^{Ω} n_ω s_ω'/Δ
\]

\[
\sum_{ω'=ω+1}^{Ω} (1 \cdot R_n^{Ω-ω'})(\bar{s}_1 \cdot R_s^{ω'-1})
\]

Scaling laws

Finding γ:

Set \( ℓ_*=ℓ_ω \) for some \( 1 \ll ω \ll Ω \).

\[
P_>(\ell_ω) = \frac{N_>(\ell_ω; Δ)}{N_>(0; Δ)} \approx \sum_{ω'=ω+1}^{Ω} n_ω s_ω'/Δ
\]

\[
\frac{Ω}{ω'=ω+1} n_ω s_ω'/Δ
\]

Cleaning up irrelevant constants:

\[
P_>(\ell_ω) \propto \sum_{ω'=ω+1}^{Ω} (1 \cdot R_n^{Ω-ω'})(\bar{s}_1 \cdot R_s^{ω'-1})
\]

Since \( R_n > R_s \) and \( 1 \ll ω \ll Ω \),

\[
P_>(\ell_ω) \propto \left( \frac{R_n}{R_s} \right)^{Ω-ω} \Omega^{-ω-1}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{R_n}{R_s} \right)^{-ω}
\]

again using \( \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} a^l (a^n - 1)/(a - 1) \)
Scaling laws

Finding $\gamma$:

- Nearly there:
  \[ P_>(\ell_\omega) \propto \left( \frac{R_n}{R_s} \right)^{-\omega} = e^{-\omega \ln(R_n/R_s)} \]

- Need to express right hand side in terms of $\ell_\omega$.
- Recall that $\ell_\omega \simeq \bar{\ell}_1 R_\omega^{\omega-1}$.
- \[ \ell_\omega \propto R_\omega^\omega = R_s^\omega = e^{\omega \ln R_s} \]

Scaling laws

Finding $\gamma$:

- Therefore:
  \[ P_>(\ell_\omega) \propto e^{-\omega \ln(R_n/R_s)} = \left( e^{\omega \ln R_s} \right)^{-\omega \ln(R_n/R_s)/\ln(R_s)} \]

- \[ \propto \ell_\omega^{-\omega \ln(R_n/R_s)/\ln(R_s)} \]

- \[ = \ell_\omega^{-\omega \ln(R_n/R_s) \ln(R_s)/\ln(R_n)} \]

- \[ = \ell_\omega^{\omega - \gamma + 1} \]

Insert question from assignment 1 (⊞)
- Such connections between exponents are called scaling relations
- Let's connect to one last relationship: Hack's law: \[ \ell \propto a h \]

- Typically observed that $0.5 < h < 0.7$.
- Use Horton laws to connect $h$ to Horton ratios:
  \[ \ell_\omega \propto R_s^\omega \quad \text{and} \quad a_\omega \propto R_n^\omega \]

- Observe:
  \[ \ell_\omega \propto e^{\omega \ln R_s} \propto \left( e^{\omega \ln R_n} \right)^{\ln R_s/\ln R_n} \]

- \[ \propto (R_n^{\omega \ln R_s/\ln R_n}) \propto a_\omega^{\ln R_s/\ln R_n} \Rightarrow h = \ln R_s/\ln R_n \]
Connecting exponents
Only 3 parameters are independent: e.g., take $d$, $R_n$, and $R_s$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>relation:</th>
<th>scaling relation/parameter: $^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\ell \sim L^d$</td>
<td>$d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_k = T_1(R_T)^{k-1}$</td>
<td>$T_1 = R_n - R_s - 2 + 2R_s/R_n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_T = R_s$</td>
<td>$R_n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_o/n_o+1 = R_n$</td>
<td>$R_n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{a}<em>{o+1}/\bar{a}</em>{o} = R_a$</td>
<td>$R_a = R_n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell_o+1/\ell_o = R_t$</td>
<td>$R_t = R_s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell \sim a^h$</td>
<td>$h = \log R_s/\log R_n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a \sim L^D$</td>
<td>$D = d/h$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_L \sim L^H$</td>
<td>$H = d/h - 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P(a) \sim a^{-\tau}$</td>
<td>$\tau = 2 - h$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P(\ell) \sim \ell^{-\gamma}$</td>
<td>$\gamma = 1/h$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda \sim a^3$</td>
<td>$\beta = 1 + h$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda \sim L^\varphi$</td>
<td>$\varphi = d$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Equipartitioning

- What about $P(a) \sim a^{-\tau}$?
- Since $\tau > 1$, suggests no equipartitioning: $aP(a) \sim a^{-\tau+1} \neq \text{const}$
- $P(a)$ overcounts basins within basins...
- While stream ordering separates basins...

Fluctuations

Moving beyond the mean:

- Both Horton’s laws and Tokunaga’s law relate average properties, e.g.,
  
  $\bar{s}_o/\bar{s}_o-1 = R_s$

- Natural generalization to consideration relationships between probability distributions
- Yields rich and full description of branching network structure
- See into the heart of randomness...
A toy model—Scheidegger’s model

Directed random networks [11, 12]

\[ P(\downarrow) = P(\uparrow) = 1/2 \]

- Flow is directed downwards
- Useful and interesting test case—more later...

Generalizing Horton’s laws

- How well does overall basin fit internal pattern?
- Actual length = 4920 km (at 1 km res)
- Predicted Mean length = 11100 km
- Predicted Std dev = 5600 km
- Actual length/Mean length = 44%
- Okay.

Generalizing Horton’s laws

- \( \ell_{\omega} \propto (R_\ell)^{\omega} \Rightarrow N(\ell | \omega) = (R_\ell R_\ell)^{-\omega} F_\ell (\ell / R_\ell^\omega) \)
- \( a_{\omega} \propto (R_a)^{\omega} \Rightarrow N(a | \omega) = (R_a R_a)^{-\omega} F_a (a / R_a^\omega) \)

Comparison of predicted versus measured main stream lengths for large scale river networks (in \(10^3\) km):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>basin</th>
<th>( \ell_{\Omega} )</th>
<th>( \bar{\ell}_{\Omega} )</th>
<th>( \sigma_\ell / \bar{\ell}_{\Omega} )</th>
<th>( \ell / \bar{\ell}_{\Omega} )</th>
<th>( \sigma_\ell / \bar{\ell}_{\Omega} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>9.18</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nile</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Scaling collapse works well for intermediate orders
- All moments grow exponentially with order
Combining stream segments distributions:

- Stream segments sum to give main stream lengths
  \[ \ell_\omega = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\mu=\omega} s_\mu \]
- \( P(\ell_\omega) \) is a convolution of distributions for the \( s_\omega \)

Generalizing Horton's laws

- Sum of variables \( \ell_\omega = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\mu=\omega} s_\mu \) leads to convolution of distributions:
  \[ N(\ell|\omega) = N(s|1) * N(s|2) * \cdots * N(s|\omega) \]
  \[ F(x) = e^{-x/\xi} \]
  Mississippi: \( \xi \approx 900 \text{ m.} \)

Generalizing Horton's laws

- Next level up: Main stream length distributions must combine to give overall distribution for stream length

Mississippi: length distributions

- \( P(\ell) \sim \ell^{-\gamma} \)
- Another round of convolutions [3]
- Interesting...

Number and area distributions for the Scheidegger model

\[ P(n_{1,6}) \text{ versus } P(a_6) \]
Generalizing Tokunaga’s law

Scheidegger:

- Observe exponential distributions for $T_{\mu,\nu}$
- Scaling collapse works using $R_s$

So

$$P(T_{\mu,\nu}) = (R_s)^{\mu-\nu-1}P_t\left[\frac{T_{\mu,\nu}}{(R_s)^{\mu-\nu-1}}\right]$$

where

$$P_t(z) = \frac{1}{\xi_t}e^{-z/\xi_t}.$$ 

$$P(s_\mu) \Leftrightarrow P(T_{\mu,\nu})$$

- Exponentials arise from randomness.
- Look at joint probability $P(s_\mu, T_{\mu,\nu}).$

Mississippi:

- Same data collapse for Mississippi...

Network architecture:

- Inter-tributary lengths exponentially distributed
- Leads to random spatial distribution of stream segments
Generalizing Tokunaga’s law

- Follow streams segments down stream from their beginning
- Probability (or rate) of an order $\mu$ stream segment terminating is constant:
  \[ \tilde{p}_\mu \simeq 1/(R_s)^{\mu-1}\xi_s \]
- Probability decays exponentially with stream order
- Inter-tributary lengths exponentially distributed
- $\Rightarrow$ random spatial distribution of stream segments

Generalizing Tokunaga’s law

- Joint distribution for generalized version of Tokunaga’s law:
  \[ P(s_\mu, T_{\mu,\nu}) = \tilde{p}_\mu \left( \frac{s_\mu - 1}{T_{\mu,\nu}} \right) p_\nu^{T_{\mu,\nu}} (1 - p_\nu - \tilde{p}_\mu)^{s_\mu - T_{\mu,\nu} - 1} \]
  where
  \[ p_\nu = \text{probability of absorbing an order } \nu \text{ side stream} \]
  \[ \tilde{p}_\mu = \text{probability of an order } \mu \text{ stream terminating} \]
- Approximation: depends on distance units of $s_\mu$
- In each unit of distance along stream, there is one chance of a side stream entering or the stream terminating.

Generalizing Tokunaga’s law

- Now deal with thing:
  \[ P(s_\mu, T_{\mu,\nu}) = \tilde{p}_\mu \left( \frac{s_\mu - 1}{T_{\mu,\nu}} \right) p_\nu^{T_{\mu,\nu}} (1 - p_\nu - \tilde{p}_\mu)^{s_\mu - T_{\mu,\nu} - 1} \]
- Set $(x, y) = (s_\mu, T_{\mu,\nu})$ and $q = 1 - p_\nu - \tilde{p}_\mu$, approximate liberally.
- Obtain
  \[ P(x, y) = N x^{-1/2} [F(y/x)]^x \]
  where
  \[ F(v) = \left( \frac{1 - v}{q} \right)^{-1-v} \left( \frac{v}{p} \right)^{-v} \]
  where
  
  
  \[ F(v) = \left( \frac{1 - v}{q} \right)^{-1-v} \left( \frac{v}{p} \right)^{-v} \]
Generalizing Tokunaga’s law

▶ Checking form of $P(s_{\mu}, T_{\mu,\nu})$ works:

**Scheidegger:**

\[
\log_{10} P(T_{\mu,\nu} / l_{\mu}(s))
\]

(a)

\[
\log_{10} \left[ \left( T_{\mu,\nu} / l_{\mu}(s) \right)^{\nu} - \rho_{\nu} \right] (R_{\nu}(s))^{\nu/2 - \nu/2}
\]

(b)

**Mississippi:**

\[
\log_{10} P(T_{\mu,\nu} / l_{\mu}(s))
\]

(a)

**Random subnetworks on a Bethe lattice**\(^{[13]}\)

▶ Dominant theoretical concept for several decades.

▶ Bethe lattices are fun and tractable.

▶ Led to idea of “Statistical inevitability” of river network statistics\(^{[7]}\).

▶ But Bethe lattices unconnected with surfaces.

▶ In fact, Bethe lattices $\simeq$ infinite dimensional spaces (oops).

▶ So let’s move on...
Scheidegger's model

Directed random networks\cite{11, 12}

\[ P(\searrow) = P(\swarrow) = 1/2 \]

Functional form of all scaling laws exhibited but exponents differ from real world\cite{15, 16, 14}

A toy model—Scheidegger's model

Random walk basins:

\[ \text{Boundaries of basins are random walks} \]

Prob for first return of a random walk in (1+1) dimensions (from CSYS/MATH 300):

\[ P(n) \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} n^{-3/2}. \]

and so \( P(\ell) \propto \ell^{-3/2} \).

Typical area for a walk of length \( n \) is \( \propto n^{3/2} \):

\[ \ell \propto a^{2/3}. \]

Find \( \tau = 4/3, h = 2/3, \gamma = 3/2, d = 1 \).

Note \( \tau = 2 - h \) and \( \gamma = 1/h \).

\( R_n \) and \( R_\ell \) have not been derived analytically.
Optimal channel networks

Rodríguez-Iturbe, Rinaldo, et al. [10]

- Landscapes $h(\vec{x})$ evolve such that energy dissipation $\dot{\varepsilon}$ is minimized, where
  \[ \dot{\varepsilon} \propto \int d^2 \vec{r} \left( \text{flux} \right) \times \left( \text{force} \right) \sim \sum_i a_i \nabla h_i \sim \sum_i a_i \gamma \]
- Landscapes obtained numerically give exponents near that of real networks.
- But: numerical method used matters.
- And: Maritan et al. find basic universality classes are that of Scheidegger, self-similar, and a third kind of random network [8]

Theoretical networks

Summary of universality classes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>network</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-convergent flow</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directed random</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undirected random</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>5/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-similar</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCN's (I)</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCN's (II)</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCN's (III)</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real rivers</td>
<td>0.5–0.7</td>
<td>1.0–1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ h \Rightarrow \ell \propto a^h \] (Hack’s law).
\[ d \Rightarrow \ell \propto L^d \] (stream self-affinity).
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