

2006 Philadelphia Annual Meeting (22–25 October 2006)

Paper No. 65–12

Presentation Time: 10:45 AM–11:00 AM

EROSION IN AN OLD DECAYING MOUNTAIN RANGE – THE APPALACHIANS

BIERMAN, Paul¹, PAVICH, Milan², REUSSER, Lucas³, MATMON, Ari⁴, SULLIVAN, Colleen¹, DUXBURY, Jane⁵, LARSEN, Jennifer⁶, FINKEL, Robert⁷, and REUTER, Joanna M.⁸, (1) Geology Department, University of Vermont, 180 Colchester Ave, Delahanty Hall, Burlington, VT 05405, pbierman@uvm.edu, (2) U.S. Geological Survey, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, VA 20192, (3) Geology, University of Vermont, Delehanty Hall, Burlington, VT 05405, (4) Institute of Earth Sciences, Hebrew University, Givat Ram, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel, (5) Department of Geology, University of Vermont, Delahanty Hall, 180 Colchester Avenue, Burlington, VT 05405, (6) Geology Department, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405, (7) Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, Lawrence Livermore National Lab, MS L–202, Livermore, CA 94550, (8) Geology Department, Univ of Vermont, Delehanty Hall, Burlington, VT 05405

The Appalachian Mountains, close to half a billion years old, stretch north–south along the eastern margin of North America rising nearly 2000 m above the adjacent piedmont. These inspirational peaks have motivated generations of geomorphologists to understand their behavior and longevity. Davis built his paradigm of landscape evolution here, seeing rejuvenation and dissected peneplains in accordant Appalachian summits. Nearly a century later, Hack looked at the world differently, seeing the aged mountains as a dynamic, steady–state system where topography was adjusted to rock strength. Neither scientist had any idea how rapidly the Appalachians were actually eroding.

Thanks to ¹⁰Be and thermochron data, we can now say for sure, the Appalachians are eroding only slowly. Long–term unroofing rates at widely scattered sample sites along the range are 10s of meters per million years. Cosmogenically determined erosion rates (integrated over 10⁴ to 10⁵ years) match well rates determined thermochronologically (integrated over 10⁷ to 10⁸ years). Intensive sampling of river sediment and ¹⁰–Be analysis of quartz that sediment contains have constrained basin–scale erosion rates for over 100 drainages all the way from Pennsylvania to North Carolina. In both the Great Smoky Mountains and in the Susquehanna River Basin, slope and erosion rate are positively

correlated; steep slopes erode more quickly than gentle slopes. In Shenandoah National Park, metabasalt and quartzite appear several times more stable than granites and siliciclastic rocks. The Blue Ridge escarpment is an impressive topographic feature, perhaps a survivor of continental break up, but cosmogenic and U/Th/He analyses identify the escarpment, despite its steepness, as a stable feature eroding <20 m/My.

While Appalachian erosion rates appear steady and slow over varying time scales, the incision rates of rivers crossing the range are decidedly varied. For example, ^{10}Be dating of water polished bedrock surfaces along the Potomac and Susquehanna Rivers reveals rapid (m/ky) incision episodes over short (10 ky) intervals. Understanding how these local and regional base level falls affect the long-term megageomorphology of this ancient mountain range is a challenge Appalachian geomorphology now faces.

2006 Philadelphia Annual Meeting (22–25 October 2006)

General Information for this Meeting

Session No. 65

Erosion: Processes, Rates, and New Measuring Techniques

Pennsylvania Convention Center: Auditorium Lecture Hall

8:00 AM–12:00 PM, Monday, 23 October 2006

Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, Vol. 38, No. 7, p. 175