

# Beyond PubMed: Selected Sources for Biomedical Information on the Web EVALUATION

Thank you for recording your honest feelings on this evaluation. Your name is not being noted, it is an anonymous survey.

1. Please rate the usefulness of the resources discussed in this session:

NCBI Bookshelf <most useful> 1 2 3 4 5 <not useful>  
circle one

NCBI Bookshelf [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=books](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=books)  
A free list of biomedical books, from the US National Institutes of Health

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

a. PubMed Central <most useful> 1 2 3 4 5 <not useful>  
circle one

PubMed Central [www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov)  
Digital archive of biomedical and life sciences journal literature from the US National Library of Medicine.

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

b. African Journals Online <most useful> 1 2 3 4 5 <not useful>  
circle one

African Journals Online [www.ajol.info/](http://www.ajol.info/)  
Collection of journals published in Africa, covering the full range of academic disciplines.

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

c. Blackwell Publishing <most useful> 1 2 3 4 5 <not useful>  
circle one

Blackwell Publishing [www.blackwell-synergy.com](http://www.blackwell-synergy.com)  
Access to over 840 leading learned journals in science, technology, medicine, humanities and social sciences

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

d. Google Scholar <most useful> 1 2 3 4 5 <not useful>  
circle one

Google Scholar [scholar.google.com/](http://scholar.google.com/)  
Searches many disciplines of peer-reviewed papers, theses, books, abstracts and articles, from academic publishers, professional societies, preprint repositories, universities and other scholarly organizations. Organized by most popular, or most cited references.

Please complete evaluation on reverse side.

MUHAS Presentation  
March 2008  
Paul Philbin & Fred Pond

Beyond PubMed: Selected Sources for Biomedical Information on the Web  
EVALUATION

e. Annual Reviews <most useful> 1 2 3 4 5 <not useful>

Annual Reviews [arjournals.annualreviews.org/](http://arjournals.annualreviews.org/)

High quality reviews of the primary research literature in 33 different disciplines for the Biomedical, Life, Physical and Social Sciences.

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

f.. Were the handouts useful? <most useful> 1 2 3 4 5 <not useful>

g. Were the instructors knowledgable? <most > 1 2 3 4 5 <not>

2. Please name any resources or topics which were not in the workshop, but you wished were discussed:

Resources: \_\_\_\_\_

Topics: \_\_\_\_\_

3. What could have made this workshop better?

4. Do you have any suggestions for workshops in the future?

5. Please write any other comments.